CERA KINGS

OF THE

SANGAM PERIOD



LUZAC & CO. LONDON 1907



CERA KINGS OF THE SANGAM PERIOD





CĒRA KINGS

OF THE

SANGAM PERIOD

6300 1110013

K. G. SESHA AIYAR, B.A., B.L.,

Ex-Judge of the High Court of Travancore, Member of the Royal Asiatic Society 1906—30. Member, Mythic Society, Bangalore. Member, Madura Tamil Sangam.

> LUZAC & CO., LONDON. 1987



His Highness Vañci Pāla Sri Bāla Rāma Varma Kulaśēkhara, Knight Grand Commander

> Of The Most Eminent Order Of The Indian Empire,

Mahārāja Of Travancore, Who Worthily Represents

The Ancient And Historic House Of Cera Kings:

This Book

Is With His Highness's Gracious Permission Respectfully Dedicated.



1 1937

PREFACE.

Every student of South Indian history knows that of the three great Tamil kingdoms that flourished in ancient days in South India. the Cola and Pandya kingdoms have long ago ceased to exist, while the Cera kingdom still continues under the rule of its indigenous kings. Prof. K. A. Nilakanta Sastri of the Madras University has in his scholarly works on the Cölas and the Pandyas given us the stories of those two royal houses, gathered from authentic sources; but as yet no intelligible account of the ancient Cera kingdom based on reliable sources has been attempted. In the following pages, I have for the first time, tried to construct that story, so far as it can be recovered from ancient Tamil literature, which is the only available source from which it can be built up.

Tamil literature of the Sangam period is the oldest native source that supplies relevant material for ancient South Indian history; for it is antecedent to the era of dated inscriptions relating to South Indian kings. This important source has now been made available principally by the labours of the greatest living Tamil scholar, Mahāmahōpādhyāya Dr. V. Swaminatha Aiyar,—clarum et venerabile nomen—whose indefatigable energy and selfless work of nearly half-a-century have succeeded, not only in saving from the ravages of white anta almost

the whole range of the Sangam classics which had existed only in the form of fast-decaying palm-leaf manuscripts in remote corners of the southern peninsula, but also in bringing out critical editions of those priceless literary treasures. It is no exaggeration to say that it is impossible to repay the debt which the students of Tamil India owe to the venerable and learned Mahāmahōpādhyāya, by whose great work alone it has become possible to recover the outlines of the lost history of ancient South India, and to gain some knowledge of the state of civilization, the social, literary, and political life, and the religious ideas of the Tamil people in the early centuries of the Christian era.

Almost the first to recognise and demonstrate the supreme value of ancient Tamil literature in the construction of early South Indian history was my friend Dewan Bahadur Dr. S. Krishnaswamy Aiyangar, who worthily. filled the chair of Ancient Indian History and Archaeology for many years in the Madras University, and by his numerous writings and his able direction of historical research in the post-graduate courses in the University has, as Sir Jadunath Sarkar, the well-known Indian historian, once said, founded the Madras School of Indian History. At a time when archaeology and epigraphy alone were regarded as supplying relevant material for purposes of history, he emphasised that for satisfactory historical research, close study of ancient literature was also of prime importance; and by the systematic use in his works of material supplied by ancient Tamil classics, he successfully paved the way for succeeding workers in the field of ancient South Indian history. To-day Sangam literature is freely acknowledged by all to be an indispensable, and in some cases, the only source of historical information in regard to the early Tamil kingdoms.

The material for the present work has been entirely gathered from the Sangam works. One of them, Patirrup-pattu, relates exclusively to the Ceras, and several other Sangam works are in some way or other connected with the Cera kingdom and Cera royalty. My object has been to draw as clearly as possible, from Sangam literature alone, the outlines of the story of the early Cera kings and their achievements. Ancient South India had no Herodotus or Thucydides, Livy or Tacitus. Its literature, however, abounds in materials from which a careful student can build up a reliable story of the social and religious life of the people and their progress in arts, sciences and literature. Patirrup-pattu gives a dynastic list of Cera kings, with the length of the different reigns and the outstanding events of each reign; and though chronology as such is absent, and there is an entire absence of dated inscriptions, it is not impossible to construct a sort of chronological framework for the period with which

this book deals. I have attempted to construct such a framework; and though I do not claim for it absolute accuracy, I venture to think it will not be found unreasonable or wholly unacceptable.

The book has grown out of lectures delivered in Trivandrum published in Indian historical journals; and my thanks are due to the editors of those journals who readily agreed to the use of the articles for this book. It is my duty and it gives me pleasure to acknowledge my indebtedness to Mahāmahōpādhyāya Dr. V. Swaminatha Aivar, the learned editor of the Sangam classics from which I have drawn my materials. To Mr. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar, M.A., Lecturer in Indian History in the University of Madras, I am particularly under obligation for his valuable suggestions and his untiring service in seeing the work through the press with scrupulous care. My sincere thanks are also due to Mr. T. V. Mahalingam, M.A., who gladly undertook the preparation of the Index and Bibliography.

TRIVANDRUM, 15th January 1937.

K. G. SESHA AIYAR.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I

				Pages.
S. 1.	Sources and Their	1-4		
S. 2.	Udiyan Cēralātar	n, the fi	rst	
	Cēra King			4-10
S. 3.	Imayavaramban M	Vedum-		
				10-14
S. 4.	Palyanai Sel-kelu	Kuttuv	an.	14-17
S. 5.	Nārmudic-Cēral	1/9 * Long		17-21
S. 6.		100/00		21-27
S. 7.	Ādu-kōtpāṭṭuc-Cēr	ralātan	h.,	27-32
	CHAPT	ER II		
S. 1.	Karuvūr-ēriya Ko	5-Perum-	77	
	Cēral Irumporai			33-35
S. 2.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	5		36-38
S. 3.	- C. R B.			38-41
S. 4.	Perum-Cēral Irumporai			41-44
S. 5.	그리고 있다면 나가 있어요. 이 경기 없는 사람이 있다면 하다 하고 있다면 하는데			44 46
	CHAPTI	ER III		
Exar	nination of Results	ı	• •	4752
	CHAPTI	ER IV		
S. 1.	Kuttuvan Kodai	0.00		53 - 55
4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ilam-Kuttuvan			5556
S. 3.	Pālaipādiya-Peru	m-		
	Kadumko	• •		5660
8. 4.	Mā-veņkō			60-61

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER V

				Pages.
S. 1.	Yānaik-kaţ-Śēy M	<u>Läntarar</u>	n	\$140.70026
				62 - 66
S. 2.	Kök-Ködai Märba	an	4.4	66-67
S. 3.	Kaņaikkāl Irump	orai		67 - 70
S. 4.	Rule of Succession	n		71 - 75
S. 5.	A Strange View	of		
	Patirrup-pattu			76 - 77
S. 6.	Kēraļōţpatti	**		77-79
	CHAPT	ER VI		
Vañci	-Mūtār—	117		
S. 1	35/12/21	W00/00		80-85
S. 2		1301		85-89
S. 3	3. /雌、		1	89-92
S. 4				92—96
	CHAPTI	er vii		Y
The I	ate of the Sangan	Epoch-	-	
S. 1				97-99
S. 2	2. The Ninth or To	onth Cen	tury	
	Theory		.4.4	99-100
S. 3		Eighth	Cen-	
	tury Theory			100-109
S. 4		ury The	ory.	109-111
8. 5	5. The Second Cen	tury Th	eory	112-119
S. 6	6. Conclusion		• •	119—122
	CHAPTE	R VIII		
Chron	ology of the Sang	am Cēra	8	123—131

Political, Social Background—	and Religious		Pages.	
S. 1.				132-136
S. 2.				137-140
S. 3.				140-142
8. 4.				142-146
S. 5.				146-152
8. 6.				152-156
Bibliography				157-159
Index	a	TUJ-02-	٠.	161—183

CONTENTS.



ABBREVIATIONS EMPLOYED AND THEIR EXPLANATION.

Agam .. Aga-Nānūṛu

Ain .. Ain-Kuru-Nūru

I.H.Q. . . Indian Historical Quarterly

J.I.H. .. Journal of Indian History

J.O.R. .. Journal of Oriental Research

Kurum .. Kuruntokai

Mani .. Manimēkalai

Marutam .. Kalit-tokai; Marutak-kali

Mullai ... Mullaik-kali

Nar .. Narrinai

Neytal .. Kalit-tokai; Neytal-Kali

Pālai .. Kalit-tokai; Pālaik-Kali

P.p. .. Patirrup-pattu

Puram .. Pura-Nanūru

Q.J.M.S. .. Quarterly Journal of the

Mythic Society

Silap. .. Silappadhikāram

Tol. .. Tol-Kāppiyam



1337

CHAPTER I.

S. 1. Sources and Their Nature.

The only source from which the story of the old Cera Kingdom can be constructed is the literature of the Sangam period, for that story is unknown to epigraphy; but even Sangam literature can help us only to prepare an outline which at best can be treated merely as tentative in regard to the results that it may disclose. Of the Sangam works, the most important for the present purpose are Pura-nānūru, Patirruppattu, and Śilappadkikāram. Pura-nānāru is a collection of 400 lyrics by different poets, numbering about 160; and the collection derives its name from the circumstance that the lyrics therein relate to puram, one of the two fundamental categories of poetic material according to the ancient rules of Tamil poetics and rhetoric. Puram or Purap-porul is concerned with the external relations of princes. which embrace war and politics. Though these are generally court lyrics, panegerizing in the main a king or a chieftain of the ancient Tamil land, they are usually direct and natural in language and sentiment and free from the exaggerated artificiality of later poetry; and most poems are by singers who are contemporaries of the personages sung about. One can easily see that for purposes of history, the lyrics of the Pura-nānūru collection must be of supreme value. Patirrup-pattu is a collection of poems dealing exclusively with the wars and

other achievements, including those in the art of peace, of Cera kings. As the name implies, there were ten poems, each consisting of a decad of lyrics; but of these, two have not till now been discovered—the first and the tenth. Each one of the existing eight decads deals with the achievements and merits of a separate Cera king, and the author of each decad a contemporary of the hero of his song. It is, therefore, obvious that for an account of the early Ceras, Patirrup-pattu is of incalculable value. Silappadhikāram is the first regular the Tamil language, and it is epic in indispensable for a knowledge of the early Cera Kingdom, as its real hero is a Cera monarch, Sen-Kuttuvan, and its author a Cera prince.

Besides, from Aganānūru and Narrinai also, which are well-known Sangam collections of poems relating to agam or love, we derive some help in building up our story. I regard all these sources as of the nature of contemporary documents in respect of the kings of whom they speak. They are poetic accounts of the achievements of princes, who according to the conception of those early times were worthy of being extolled as high examples. The conception of biography as a faithful portrait of a man's life is entirely modern; but that circumstance cannot detract from the value of these poems as sources of authentic history; and I have unhesitatingly utilized them in constructing my narrative.

In this connection, it may be mentioned that the information supplied by the author of each Patirrup-pattu is sometimes found amplified or augmented in the Patigam or epilogue appended to it; and there can be no doubt that the Patigam was added later by some one other than the author of the poem. So too, for a correct assessment of the historical value of the information conveyed by the lyrics of the Pura-nānūru collection, we have to rely on the colophons appended to them; and there is no means of knowing when and by whom these colophons were appended to the poems. cannot, however, be any doubt about the antiquity of the patigams and the colophons; and it is rational to hold that their authors gave fixity to the ancient traditions of the Tamil country current in their day.

Tradition is really human testimony regarding the long past; and though like all human testimony it is liable to error, it should not on that account be discarded as wholly unworthy of attention, unless, indeed, we hold that in ancient times people were incapable of discriminating between truth and false-hood. Tradition is, in effect, reputation arising from the concurrence of many parties unconnected with each other, but all interested in investigating the subject; and in the absence of trustworthy first-hand evidence, tradition may and ought to be accepted as the ground-work for history, especially in matters where the

probability for personal bias is little. In this view, I see no reason to doubt the correctness of the information conveyed by the patigams and the colophons, and I have accepted the veracity of these very ancient documents which the Tamil world has never thought of questioning for long centuries, ever since they were written by persons who could have had no personal bias whatever.

S. 2. Udiyan Cēralātan, the first Cēra King.

The extant sections of Patirrup-pattu deal with the achievements of eight Cera kings, and they are:—

Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan,
Pal-yānai Šel-Keļu-Kuţţuvan,
Kaļankāik-kaṇṇi-Nārmuḍie-Cēral,
Kaḍal-Pirakōţţiya Šen-Kutţuvan,
Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭuc-Cēralātan,
Śelvak-kaḍunkō-Vāliyātan,
Takaḍūr-erinta Perum-Cēral Irumporai, and
Kuḍakkō Iļam-Cēral-Irumporai.

For the names of the Cera kings celebrated in the Pura-nānāru lyrics, we have to depend on the colophon appended to each lyric of that collection. From the colophons, we gather the names of seventeen Cera kings; and they are:—

> Cēramān Perum-Šorru Udiyan Cēralātan, Cēramān Karuvūr-ēriya Oļvāļ Kōp-perum-Cēral Irumporai,

Cēramān Kadunko Vāļiyātan, Cēramān Pālaipādiya Perum Kadunkō, Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irumporsi, Cēramān Yānaikkat Śēy Māntaram-Cēral Irumporai.

Cēramān Kök-Ködai Mārban,

Cēramān Takadūrerinta Perum-Cēral Irumporai,

Cēramān Kuţţuvan Kōdni,

Cēramān Kuḍakkō Nedum-Cēralātan,

Cēramān Perum-Cēralātan,

Cēramān Kaņaikkāl Irumporai,

Cēramāu Kuḍakkō Cērai-Irumporai,

Cēramān Köttambalattut-tuneiya Māk-kōdai,

Cēramān Vancan,

Cēramān Kadalōţţiya Vēl-keļu Kuţţuvan, and

Cēramān Mā-Ven Kō.

As we can see easily, some of these names are re-duplications. It will be my attempt in the following pages to arrange these Cēras in their chronological order, ascertain their approximate dates and show by what deeds or achievements their names live in literature. Perhaps in the discussion, it will be possible to relate these kings to one another and to get a glimpse of the social, religious and political life of the people in the Cēra country in those distant days.

For the earliest Cēra known to Tamil literature, we have to go to Puranānūru. He is Cēramān Perum-Śorru Udiyan Cēralātan, who is celebrated in song by Murañciyūr Muḍināgarāyar in Puram 2. That lyric, which, if we except some possible references to him in Aga-nānūru, is the only poem sung in his honour, is given in translation below.

Hail, noble king! whose nature well combines The qualities of all the elements;

Whose long forgiving suff'ring is a match To mother earth's; whose judgment wise is wide As all-pervading ether, and whose might Like air illimitable, and like fire Resistless, with refreshful mercy still Is tempered, which thy glorious sway upholds, As water cool enlivens nature's face. Hail, warrior-king! Thy land with plenty smiles, With untold wealth the deep sea's bosom yields, And treasures new that ceaseless to thy ports From foreign lands rich merchant vessels bring. The sun, that in thy eastern sea is born. In thy foam-crested western ocean seeks His rest at eve. Sky bounds thy land alone! Majestic monarch! When the ten times ten Kauravas, crowned with golden tumbai wreaths, Wrathful in battle 'gainst the heroes five-Lords of the fiery steeds with tossing mane-Their patrimony lusting after, fought, Thou didst unstinted savoury food supply To either host, till all the Kurus fell. Illustrious king! though luscious milk may sour, The sun his brilliance lose, and e'en the four Vedas themselves their holy teachings change. Mayst thou by ministers be served, whose love For thee and wisdom in thy councils shall Constant through all vicissitudes remain! Mayst thou in power and glory steadfast shine Throughout all time like Potiya's sacred mount And golden-peaked Himalaya, where rest The dainty headed fawn and large-eyed deer Securely by the holy triple fire Which for their ev'ning rites the sages raise!

In this translation, I have followed the ancient commentator of Pura-nānūru, who

makes out that this Cera king was a contemporary of the Pandavas and the Kauravas. and in the great battle of Kuruksētra, he supplied the rival armies with food. Silappadhikāram also seems to favour the view that there was a Cera king who supplied food to the rival hosts at Kuruksētra. The words of the lyric need not, it seems to me, be taken to refer to him. Perhaps the king celebrated in this poem gave a memorial offering to the spirits at the anniversary of the Mahābhārata War, in which the heroes from whom he traced his descent, had died; and that is probably what the poet refers to. This seems to be supported by a lyric by Māmūlanār (Agam 233) where we read:

> மறப்படைக்கு இதை மாகுமைக் இற் அதக்கமெய் இய தொட்யாகல் விசை முதியர்ப்பேணிய வுதியஞ்சேரல் பெருஞ்சோற கொடுத்த ஞான்றை.

and Pandit R. Raghava Iyengar of Rāmnād also seems to hold that view.²

Udiyan Cēral was obviously famous for his lavish hospitality; and his kitchen has become a bye-word for sumptuous feeding. Thus Köttambalattuñciya Cēramān has in Agam 168: Garantian Garant Garant Garantian Garantian Who is stated to have died at Köttambalam is, perhaps, identical with

Śilap. Välttuk-kādai-ūśalvari, 1.

² Vide Preface to Aganānūru—Rajagopala Aiyangar's Edition, p. 42. Vide also P. T. Srinivasa Aiyangar's 'History of the Tamile', p. 492.

Kõttambalattut-tuñeiya Māk-kōdai, the author of Puram 245, of whom we shall hear more, later on. He tells us in this lyric that Udiyan's royal kitchen was at Kulumūr, perhaps Ptolemy's Kourellour, a place, so far as I can see, situated possibly in North Travancore. I suggest that it is very probably the place now known as Kumuli, near the source of the Perivar river, in the Devikolam Division of Travancore. Kulumür may, by metathesis, easily become Kumuli, just as Musiri, the seaport of the Cera Kingdom so famous in Sangam literature, appears as Murasi in Sanskrit works. We cannot say whether Kulumur was the original capital of the Ceras, taking for the present that Kulumür is a proper noun denoting a place; possibly it was; but we can only say that Tamil tradition does not appear to have known a time when the Cera capital was not Vañci. We see from Aga-nānūru that Udivan Cēral extended his kingdom by his conquests. Māmūlanār refers to him in Agam 65 as:

தாடு கண்ணகற்றிப உடுயன் சேரல்

that is, Udiyan Ceral who conquered others' territories and annexed them to his own.

In Puram 2, Udiyan Cëral is called Vänavaramban, and this term means 'one whose kingdom is bounded by the sky' or as explained in the Cūdāmaṇi Nighaṇḍu, 'by the sea'. It has been suggested that, perhaps the form of the word was originally Vānavar-anban, which

reminds one of Aśoka's Dēvānām-priya; but it seems to me that the suggestion is far-fetched. Pānini explains Dēvānām-priya as meaning 'an obstinate fool'. It appears that in Vedic and allied Sanskrit literature, Dēvānām-priya is employed as a term of reproach to denote a heretic.² For another liberty taken with the name of this Cera king, some Malayalam scholars of to-day are responsible; for the grotesque transformation of the name Vāna-Varamban Udiyan Cēral into Udayan Bāna Varman is their leger-demain. Vānāvaramban is a descriptive epithet, having reference to his extensive territory and conquests or his maritime kingdom. If, as we see from Aga-nānūru,* he was great in war, he was also great in the arts of peace. Trade and commerce flourished in the land and foreign merchantmen called at its ports. He was a munificent patron of letters, as we see from the same Agam, where we read:

> உதியஞ்சேசல் பாடிசென்ற பரிசிலர்போல வுவலினிவாழி,

Udiyan Cēral may be regarded as the founder of the Cēra dynasty of the Sangam period. Having regard to the scheme of

³ Vide Sri Sankaracharya's Madras Discourses.
pp. 147—163. See also V. R. R. Dikshitar, The Mauryan
Polity, p. 291 where reference is made to other kings
bearing that title.

⁴ Agam 65.

arrangement adopted in Patirrup-pattu, there can be no doubt that he was the hero of the first decad of that collection, which is now unfortunately missing; for we find from the Patigam of second Patirrup-pattu that Udivan Ceral was the father of Imayayaramban Nedum-Ceralatan, the hero of that decad. From the same source we learn that Udivan Ceral had married Nallini, the daughter of Velivan Vēnmān, afterwards known as Colan Porvaikko-Perunarkilli, whose father Tittan was, perhaps, the earliest of the Cola kings who ruled at Uraiyur. It is interesting to note that, among the names by which the Cēra is known, the Nighandus mention Udiyan and Vana-varamban, the names that this king bore. It may be permissible to suggest that, perhaps, Udiyan-pērūr, the Diamper of the Christians, which is on the northern boundary line of Travancore, was founded by him,

S. 3. Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan.

We may now follow the lead of Patirruppattu. The hero of the second decad of that
work is Imayavaramban Nedum-Cëralātan, the
son of Udiyan Cëral by Veliyan's daughter
Nallini. Imayavaramban was a great warrior,
and he extended his kingdom to the north. He
is said to have conquered seven kings and
annexed their territories to his kingdom; and
he is praised as having set or imprinted his

⁵ Patirrup-pattu 14.

bow on the slope of the Himalayas,6 so that his prowess was known, in the words of his panegyrist, from Cape Comorin to the Himalayas. Among his successful wars were those against the 'Kadambu' clan.7 The territory of the 'kadambu' clan, the clan that had the Kadambu (adina cordifolia) as its totem or guardian tree, is the territory of the Nannans, the 'gold producing Konkanam' of ancient Tamil literature;8 and the Ceras seem to have had several wars with this tribe, which apparently was a source of harassment on the border. The description in Patirrup-pattu 12 shows that Imayayaramban's victory over the Kadambas was an event of supreme importance; for the poet compares Imayavaramban's victory to that of the War-God Subrahmanya over Sūra-Padma.º It was obviously first among the outstanding events of his reign; for in references to him, prominent mention frequently made to his victory over the Kadambas. 10 In Patirrup-pattu 20 the poet propounds the question: "Do you ask me who is my king?" and gives the proud answer:-"He is the invincible Nedum-Cēralātan who utterly destroyed the Kadambu". In praising

⁶ Agam 127.

⁷ Patirpup-pattu 11, 12, 20; also Agam 127, 347.

⁸ Nar. 391; Agam 173.

⁹ Patirrup-pattu 11.

¹⁰ Ibid., 12 and 20.

the ancestry of Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, Perum-Kunrūr Kilār acclaims him¹¹ as—

கடம்பின் முழுமுதர டிக்து பொரு முரணெய்திய பெரி போர் மருக.

Māmūlar in Agam 127, begins the narration of this Cēra's great achievements with his conquest of the Kadamba country:—

வவம்ப9முர்சிற் சேதலாதன் முக்கோட்டிக் கடம்பறத் இமயத்த முன்னேர் மஞ்சு வணங்குவிற்பொறித்து என்னகர் மாங்கை முற்றத்தொன்னர் பணிஇறைதர்த பாசசால்கள்கலம்,

It is difficult to say whether these Kadambas were the stock from which the Banavasi Kadambas came; perhaps they were. A view has gained ground among some scholars that the Kadambu clan were pirates, 12 and Ptolemy's phrase Ariake andron peiraton has at least in part been responsible for it. The latter words, as was pointed out by a recent writer may be regarded as a corruption of Andrabhṛtya; but I am inclined to think that the view therein expressed, that the Kadambas were not a piratical people at all, cannot be maintained, in view of the language of the opening lines of Patiṛrup-pattu 11 and expressions like—

முக்கோட்டிக்கடம்பெறிக்கு (Agam 127.) மால்கடனோட்டிக்கடம்பறத்த (Agam 347.)

that Māmūlar employs.

¹¹ Patirrup-pattu, 87.

¹² Vide Dr. Krishnaswami Aiyangar's Beginnings of South Indian History, p. 232.

This Cēra is also said to have won a victory over the Yavanas. There is no means of knowing where exactly the colony of the Yavanas was or who exactly they were. The term Yavana has been taken to denote the Greeks; but I am not sure if it did not originally denote the Javanese or the Arabs; and it is noteworthy that Ptolemy makes no mention of a Greek colony. The punishment meted out to the Yavanas by Imayavaramban is singular. Their arms are said to have been pinioned behind their back, and ghī poured on their head.

In Agam 127, Māmūlar also tells us of his conquest of Māntai which not improbably is the Mandagara of the Periplus.

Obviously the land of the Cera was a rich and flourishing country. Among jewels worn in the land were those made of flashing gems set in gold. Besides rice, meat was also used as food; and drinking palm wine was prevalent. There was no limit to the bounty of this great Cera who was as liberal as Akkuran himself, one of the reputed seven first Vallals of Tamil tradition. In the words of the poet:

மாரிபொய்க்குவதால் ஐஞ் சேதவாதன்பொய்யவனரையே | 16 க

¹³ For the latest discussion on the identification of the Yavanas, see J.I.H., Vol. XIV, Pt. I.

¹⁴ Patirru-pattu 16.

^{15 &}amp; 15a Ibid., 18.

"The rains may fail; but never the munificence of Ceralatan". And indeed, the poet may well be acquitted of exaggeration, when we remember that, according to the colophon, he was, for composing the second decad of Patirrup-pattu, rewarded with the free gift of 500 villages in Umbark-kādu (literally elephant-forest), perhaps the region about the Anaimalai in North-West Travancore, and the revenue for thirty-eight years from the southern province of the kingdom. It is interesting to note that there is still, a house bearing the name of Umbarkkādu-vīdu in Vaikam in Travancore. He is praised as a man of his word; and his life was dedicated to acts of bounty and beneficence. Even in seasons of drought, he could not bear to see any one suffer from hunger.16 He made rich donations and presents of jewels to temples; and he shone like Visnu himself.17 We learn that silk, diamond and gold ornaments were in use in the land.18 He is said to have reigned for fifty-eight years. In regard to his name also, some Malayalam scholars have taken undue liberty and transformed Imayavaramban into Imaya Varman!

S. 4. Pal-yānai Śel-Keļu-Kuţţuvan.

Next in order comes Pal-yānai Šel-Keļu-Kuṭṭuvan, brother of Imayavaramban.¹⁹

¹⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 20.

¹⁷ Ibid., 15.

¹⁸ Ibid., 12; Agam, 127.

¹⁹ Ibid., III, Patigam.

His capital stood on the banks of the broad Periyar,20 and near the sounding ocean.21. He was also a great warrior and made extensive conquests,22 among which the conquest Konkar-Nādu is specifically mentioned.23 He was the lord of Puli-Nadu and the Ceruppumountains24 as well as of the Aiyirai-Malaiprobably the same as Aivitai-Malai in Central Travancore near the source of the Periyarand the region of the Periyar which even in times of protracted drought, when the falls in the hills have gone dry, is rich in water 125 In the early years of his reign, he seems to have been a fierce warrior; and it is significant that out of the ten lyries in Patirrup-pattu III, as many as seven praise his irresistible prowess in war. He is eulogized as Kuttuvan, "the ever victorious lord of vast armies and the protective armour of fierce-eyed warriors'.26 The march of his army into the territories of his foes meant the utter devastation of those lands and their conversion into deserts infested by robbers.27 But a great change came over the spirit of his dream in later years; and like

²⁰ Patirrpu-pattu, 28.

²¹ Ibid., 21.

²² Ibid., 23.

²³ Ibid., 22.

²⁴ Ibid., 21.

²⁵ Ibid., 28.

²⁶ Ibid., 21, 22 and 24.

²⁷ Ibid., 24, 25 and 26.

Aśoka after his war in Kalinga, Pal-yānai Sel-Kelu-Kuttuvan turned away from war and carnage, and came under the influence of the spirit. As a warrior he used to worship Korravai, to whom he made elaborate sacrifice when starting for war;28 but afterwards he followed the law of the Brahmanas, who daily performed their six-fold functions,29 and accepted Nedumbāratāyanār as his preceptor. helped the Brahmana poet He Gautamanar, the author of Patirrup-pattu III, to perform ten Yāgas.30 He never swerved from his word, but kept straight on even like the sun. 31 He was a patron of letters, and all branches of learning, Carp Queer saile Came 314 both religious and secular, throve in the land. His kingdom was so rich and prosperous, that his unstinted liberality did not affect in any manner the wealth of the country. He duly performed Dēvayajña and Atithiyajña,32 and acquired merit and greatness, 'vaster in extent than even the five elements' 33 and apparently came to deserve the name of Dharmaputra.34 After a reign of twenty-five

²⁸ Patigrup-pattu, 30.

²⁹ Ibid., 24,

³⁰ Patigam Patirrup-pattu, III.

³¹ Patierup-pattu 21.

³¹a Ibid., 21.

³² Ibid., 21.

³³ Ibid., 24.

³⁴ Puram 366.

years he followed the example of his preceptor and became an anchoret, after dividing his kingdom among his kindred.*5

S. 5. Nārmudiccēral.

Pal-yānai Šel-Keļu Kuţţuvan was succeeded by Kaļankāik-kaṇṇi Nārmuḍiccēral, son of Imayavaramban Neḍum-Cēralātan. Nārmuḍiccēral is the hero of the 4th decad of Patirrup-pattu of which Kāppiyārru Kāppiyanār is the author. Though he was a great warrior, he treated his enemies with great clemency and magnanimity; a circumstance that the author of IV Patirrup-pattu particularly mentions with admiration:

வசையுர்க்குத்த பகைவர்தோத்தாவினுர் செலையாக குதலி அம்பூதார்பெரிதே

We shall be able to appreciate the full significance of this praise when we remember that in those early days the victors in the field of battle are said to have pulled out the teeth of their enemies, and exposed them to public view at the gates of their capital. The most important of the expeditions of this Cēra were those against Nedumidal or Añci, and Nannan both of whom he defeated in battle. Añci was perhaps a predecessor of Auvai's friend who is known in literature as Atiyamān

³⁵ Patirrup-pattu III, Patigam.

³⁶ Agam 211; Nay. 18.

³⁷ Patirrup-pattu 32.

³⁸ Ibid., 40.

Neduman Anci; if so, he must have been an Atiyaman, and the chief of Kutiraimalai39 with his head-quarters at Takadūr,30a now in Mysore. I suggest Atiyaman is the Satiyaputra of Aśoka's inscription. The initial a becomes ha, which again becomes sa in prakrit; and man is abbreviation for magan which means putra: and thus Atiyaman becomes Satiya-putra on the analogy of Ceraman equals Kerala-putra. I know other identifications of Satiyaputra have been suggested; but so far as I remember, previous writers have, consciously or unconsciously, read the name in the Edict as Satyaputra, including even the latest writer on the subject, Mr. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar. who has contributed to the Indian Culture 390 an article on "Who are Satvaputras"? The word is not Satyaputra but Satiyaputra; and no attempt has been made before to explain the presence of i in the name. The identification here suggested of Ativamān Satiyaputra satisfactorily accounts for the presence of the particle i in the name appearing in Aśoka's Edict. Atiyamān's territory will

³⁹ Agam 32.

³⁹a Some scholars identify this with Dharmapuri in Salem District.

³⁹b Vol. I, Pt. III, Mr. Govinda Pai in his paper on Satyaputra in Krishnasawmi Aiyangar Commemoration Volume (pp. 33—47) gives the following involved equation: Śāntika (S. Kanara)—Sāntika—Sāmtika—Sātika—Sātiya—Satiya.

exactly occupy the place where, having regard to the order in which the principalities or kingdoms of South India are mentioned in Aśoka's Edict, Satiyaputra's territory may be expected to be situate. The Atiyamans were an independent line of chiefs till they were subdued by the Cera, whose vassal they ultimately became. Nannan, as has already been stated, was the chief of Puli and the head of the Kadambu clan. 40 This Nannan appears to have recovered some of his lost territory; and Närmudicceral advanced against the insurgent chief and won a decisive victory over him at Vägaipperunturai, wrested back the territory⁴¹ and subjugated the clan. Nannan became thereafter a vassal or feudatory of the Cera. Thus in Agam 258, Paranar refers to him as Nannan Udiyan, and in Agam 44 he is referred to as one of the feudatory generals of the Cēra. We may, therefore, hold that some time after the crushing defeat at Vagaipperunturai, Nannan became the ally and supporter of the Cēra, whom he recognised as his overlord.

Nārmudiccēral was apparently an ideal monarch; and Kāppiyārru Kāppiyanār, who has sung the fourth decad of Patirrup-pattu in his honour, tells us that the king lived for the good of others; the poet's words being Priz Gass wrip & Burgain 42. He freely gave away elephants as

⁴⁰ Vide also Patirrup-patti III Patigam.

⁴¹ Agam 119.

⁴² Patirrup-pathu, 38; 39.

present.43 Among the shrines in his kingdom, prominent mention is made of the shrine of கமழ்ஞரத் அழுத்து யலக்கத் செல்வன்; and the old scholiast explains this to mean the deity in Tiruvanantapuram (i.e. Trivandrum).44 This explanation is probably correct. In Agam 359, Māmūlar has வானவரம்பன் வெளியத்தனை which means 'like வெளியத்து of Vana Varamban'. This would show that in Māmūlar's time Veliyattu or Veliyam was in the Cera Kingdom. Veliyam is most probably the modern Vilincam, a coast town some miles south of Trivandrum, where in later years a well-known naval battle was fought. Balita, which appears to be a corruption of Veliyattu, is said in the Periplus to be in the Cola Kingdom; but that probably is a misdescription. We may, therefore, hold, in the state of our present knowledge, that in this Cēra's reign his kingdom embraced Trivandrum in the south, as the commentator indicates. This monarch is also called Vanavaramban, 45 possibly because in his reign had been attained the ideal of peace and safety graphically described in Puram 2, as having existed in the land in the days of Udiyan Ceral, the first to bear the title of Vanavaramban. He was, like his predecessors, a liberal patron of letters; and we learn from the colophon that the author of the fourth decad of Patirrup-pattu was given forty lakhs

⁴³ Patirrup-pattu, 40.

⁴⁴ Ibid., 31.

⁴⁵ Ibid., 31.

of gold coins in appreciation of his poem. Nărmudicceral reigned, according to the colophon, for twenty-five years.

S. 6. Sen-Kuttuvan.

The next in order in the main line is Sen-Kuttuvan, stated to be another son of Imayavaramban. Sen-Kuttuvan's name perhaps the best known among the Cera Kings to the ordinary student of Tamil literature. He is the hero of the fifth decad of Patirruppattu, of which the author is Paranar, one of the greatest poets of the Sangam age. He is also the real hero of Silappadhikāram, the well-known Tamil epic by the Cera prince Ilanko Adikal, though there are some who, it seems to me, needlessly entertain doubts about it. He was a great warrior, and with his victorious army he is said to have conquered vast regions from Cape Comorin in the South to the Himalayas in the North "where the gods dwell":-

> கடவுணியைகல்வோக்குகெடுவரை வடதிசையெல்ல இடியரசகத் தென்னக்குமரிடுபாடியிடையரசர் முரசடைப்பெருஞ்சமர்த்தையவரர்ப்பெழக் சொல்பவராட்டைத்தொர்களின் ழித்த போரசென்பப்பொலக்தார்குட்கும் 14

He was always in front in the field of battle, leading his army; and his soldiers used shields made of tough bull-hide which effectively protected them from the darts of

⁴⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 43.

their enemies.47 Early in his reign, there seem to have been disturbances on the borders of his kingdom, which had to be quelled. Among the chiefs that he subjugated was Mogur-Mannan, a proud warrior well-known in ancient Tamil literature.48 His name was Palaiyan, and his totem was the neem tree,49 which shows he was a feudatory of the Pandya. One of the Cēra's principal allies was Arugai, an enemy of the Chief of Mögur. 50 Palaiyan went to war against Arugai, who sought Sen-Kuttuvan's help; and in that war the lord of Mogur, though he was assisted by other reigning princes and chieftains, was utterly defeated by the great Sen-Kuttuvan also waged a successful Cēra.51 war against the Kongar.52 He won a great naval victory13 by reason of which there came to be attached to his name the distinctive great naval victory53 by reason of which there epithet Kadal-Pirakkõttiya,54 which means, 'who destroyed the efficacy of the sea as a refuge'. It was apparently one of the most decisive naval victories in Cera history.55 and Sen-Kuttuvan falsified by that achievement the

⁴⁷ Patirrup-pattu, 45.

⁴⁸ Ibid., 44 and 49.

⁴⁹ Ibid., 49; V Patigam and Silap. XXVIII, 124-6.

⁵⁰ Ibid., 44.

⁵¹ Ibid., 49.

⁵² Silap. XXV, 152-5.

⁵³ Patirrup-pattu 45, 46, 48; Agam 212.

⁵⁴ Ibid., V Patigam.

⁵⁵ Ibid., 45.

popular belief that an island enemy was pnassailable and invincible.56 I consider that it was by this naval victory that Musiri was made a safe port of call; for we know that in Pliny's days, (c. 80 A.C.) pirates were a constant source of danger in those waters, and in Kuttuvan's days Yavana ships called in large numbers at the port of Muśiri and in exchange for gold took back with them cargoes of pepper and other products. 57 The irreppressible Nannan again rose in revolt: but Sen-Kuttuvan put down the disturbance and destroyed Viyalūr, one of Nannan's strongholds,58 and Kodukur, possibly another of Nannan's strongholds. 59 According to Silappadhikāram, Nannan was helped in this war by the Cola and the Pandya.60 As trophy of his success against the kings and chieftains he had vanquished, he wore on his breast as a personal ornament the crest jewels of seven ruling Drinces.61

After the destruction of Viyalür, Sen-Kuttuvan turned his attention to the Cola country where a war of succession had broken out after the death of Karikāla. We find that nine princes contested the right of Perum-Killi afterwards

⁵⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 45.

⁵⁷ Agam, 149.

⁵⁸ Patirrup-pattu, V Patigom and Agam, 97.

Poid., V Patigam.
 Śdap., XXV, 153-5.

⁶¹ Patirrup-pattu, 45 and Silap., XXVIII, 169.

known as Nalam-Killi Śēt-Cenni, the lawful claimant, who is said to be the brother-in-law of Sen-Kuttuvan. Sen-Kuttuvan went to his help, defeated his rivals at Nērivāvil, and placed him securely on the throne.62 We also learn that this Cera undertook an expedition to North India for consigning the remains of his deceased mother to the holy waters of the Ganges. 63 when he met Aryan princes in battle and defeated them. Later too, he led an expedition to the Gangetic region for fetching suitable stone from that hallowed ground for the effigy of Kannaki, the anotheosised wife or Pattini-Kadavul. which he intended to consecrate in a temple that he proposed to build. He had received a request from his friend the Satakarni for assistance, and he took advantage of it. The story of this northern march is not found in Patirrup-pattu. It must have taken place some time after Paranar's poem had been composed and possibly after Paranar's death. It is, however found in Silappadhikāram; and I see no reason to reject it. I have shown elsewhere that there is no historical improbability in it;64 and the learned writer on Ancient India in the Cambridge Shorter History of India states there

Patirrup-pattu, V Patigam and Šilap., XXVIII,
 11. 115-19 also XXVII, II, 118 ff.

⁶³ Silap., XXV, 160 ff.

⁶⁴ Vide my article 'A Problem of Ancient South Indian History' in III, J.I.H. pp. 648 ff., also Chap. VII, S. 5 infra.

is probably a substratum of fact in the account of Sen-Kuttuvan's expedition to the north.65 Reading that epic and Paranar's fifth decad of Patirrup-pattu, we can easily see that Sen-Kuttuvan was pre-eminently a warrior, who always sought fresh conquests. Patirrunpattu 50 tells us that he spent long nights in thinking out plans for successful campaigns. We learn from Silappadhikāram that for fifty out of the fifty-five years of his reign, he was engaged in gaining the laurels of war.66 He was the most powerful monarch of his day in the South, and his might was such that he could domineer over the Cola and the Pandya. This will be patent from the fact that the insignia that his royal decrees bore, consisted of the bow, the fish, and the tiger.67

In spite of his constant wars, his internal administration of the country left nothing to be desired. He was a great patron of arts and letters, 68 and he was so lavish that even the treasures he had brought from his naval victory he bestowed on bards and singers without strict regard to merit; so indiscriminate was he in his bounty. He was probably partial to Kūttu or dancing and the drama which he patronised very liberally; 69 and we read in

⁶⁵ Shorter History, p. 174.

⁶⁶ Śūap., XXVIII, 129-32.

⁶⁷ Silap., XXV, 171. 2; 87-90; XXVI, 168 ff.

⁶⁸ Patirrup-pattu, 49.

⁶⁹ Ibid., 42.

Silappadhikāram that on his return from his eventful expedition to the North, a Śākkaiyan of Paravur, a town in North Travancore, well versed in the art of Kūttu, entertained him and the queen by reciting with appropriate action the story of Trpura-Samhara. To He is said to have made a free gift of the revenue derived from Umbarkkādu to Paranar in appreciation of the fifth decad of Patirrup-pattu. He did much to develop trade and commerce; and at his ports, foreign merchantmen called and carried on brisk business. We read that large and beautiful ships of the Yavanas brought gold in great quantity to the port of Musiri and went back laden with pepper, and that Kuttuvan distributed as presents the rare products of the sea and the mountain.71 His was a rich country, with a never failing supply of water. The Periyar, the principal river of the Cera country, is described as full even in seasons of drought,72 and the people that it attracted for bath are stated to be 'innumerable like the sands of the beach'. 73 In luxuriance, the land was even as rich as the country 'watered not only by the Kāvēri but by the accumulated waters of Mukkūdal"14 or the confluent waters of three

⁷⁰ Silap., XXVIII, Il. 76-7.

⁷¹ Agam, 149; and Puram, 343.

⁷² Patirrup-pattu 43.

⁷³ Ibid., 48.

⁷⁴ Ibid., 50.

rivers. Toddy was freely consumed in large measure.75 In hot weather, he used to camp in shady forests; 78 and we find from Silappadhikāram that it was when he was so camping on one occasion that he received news of Kannaki's death from hillmen who had witnessed it. A detailed and informing account of Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan is supplied by Pandit M. Raghava Iyengar in his learned monograph on that monarch. 77 This great Cera King reigned for 55 years; and in his kingdom Hindus, Jains and Buddhists lived together in perfect amity. His consecration of a temple to Pattini-Dēvi was an event of international significance; and among those who attended the function was King Gaja-Bāhu of Cevlon. Probably this event contributed much to the spread of Bhagavati worship, now so common on the Malabar coast.

S. 7. Adu-köt pättuc-Cēralātan.

Next in order to Sen-Kuṭṭuvan, Patiṛṛuppattu deals with Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭuc-Cēralātan,
alleged to be another son of Imayavaramban
Neḍum-Cēralātan and brother of Nārmuḍiccēral. He is the hero of the sixth decad
of Patiṛṛup-pattu, the author of which is
Kākkai-pāḍiniyār Naccellaiyār. The prefix

⁷⁵ Patirrup-pattu, 43. Vide Puram, 316 for a poem in praise of toddy.

⁷⁶ Ibid., 48.

⁷⁷ The book has run to a Third Edition.

Ādu-kōtpāṭṭu to his name Cēralātan is apparently explained by the statements in Patirrup-pattu 56 and 57 that he used in the flush of victory to dance with sword held high in the field of battle. The Patigam to this decad gives another explanation, namely that he recovered a herd of cattle, lifted probably as a prelude to war by a neighbouring hostile chieftain. We know that in early days cattle-lifting was a common method of inaugurating hostile operations in war.79 Or perhaps, AG here only means God or victory, as it often does in Sangam literature. Naccellaiyar does not mention any particular battle that this Cera fought; but her poem enables us to see that he must have been a great warrior, as he is described as பெருஞ்சினப் புபமேறினைய and கூற்றம் வணே **வி**ரித்தன்ன கேசக்களே செருவகத்து⁹⁰.

Trade and commerce on a large scale flourished in the country. She mentions that valuable commodities brought into this Cēralātan's port were stored in godowns.⁸¹ There were so few needy people in his city of ancient fame situated on the sea shore, that the king used to send vehicles to other places to bring men that he might bestow gifts

⁷⁸ *Cf. வாளுபர்த்து* பேரர்களத்தாடும் கோ (*Patirrup-pattu*, 56, 11. **4**, 8).

⁷⁹ Agam, 372. See also Dikshitar's Studies in Tamil Literature and History, pp. 229-30.

⁸⁰ Patirrup-pattu 51.

⁸¹ Ibid., 55. என்கலவே றக்கை அஞ்சம்பர் நர்

on them. ** Music and dancing were encouraged by him*3 and were richly rewarded. Many feudatory chiefs owed him allegiance. ** His kingdom extended beyond the port of Naravu, *5 probably the Naoura of the Periplus or the Nitria of Pliny, which has been identified by Yule and others with Mangalore at the mouth of the river Nētravati. This is the first mention we have of Naravu in connection with the Cēras; and we may not unreasonably hold that Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭu Cēralātan captured this sea-coast town. Perhaps, it is to this event that Vellivitiyār alludes when she writes in Agam 45—

சடல்கால்கொர்க்க வெள்றிசல்வேல் வானவரம்பன்.

She must have been proximate in date to Auvai who refers to her domestic history and, therefore, proximate also to Rājasūyam-Vēṭṭa Peru-Naṛ-Kiḷḷi and Cēramān Mākkōdai, and must have lived after Karikāla Cōḷa, as she pathetically exclaims that her fate should not be similar to that of Ādi-Manti, an alleged daughter of that Cōḷa; and during this period, no Cēra answers to the name or title Vānavaramban except Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭu Cēralātan.

From Puram 65 and 66 and Agam 55 we gather that the Cola Karikal Valavan won a battle at Venni, and the name of his opponent

⁸² Ibid., 55.

⁸³ Patirrup-pattu, 57, 58 and 60.

⁸⁴ Ibid., 58, IV Patigam.

⁸⁵ Ibid., 60.

in that battle appears as Cēramān Perum-Cëralatan in the colophon; and in a foot-note we are told that another reading of the name is Perum-Tolatan. In Agam 55 he is called only Cēralātan. The identification of the Cola and the Cera mentioned in these poems has caused me much anxious thought; and though I once held otherwise, I now hold that the probabilities are that Karikālan is the well-known Cola emperor, the son of Uruva-pahrer Ilam-Set-Cenni and the hero of Porunar-arru-padai and Paţţinap-pālai, and the Cēra, Perum-Cēralātan is Ādu-kōtpāttu Cēralātan. There is no doubt that the great Karikāla did win a famous battle at Venni, in which the Cera, the Pandya and the Velir chieftains were defeated.86 Agam 246 is by Paranar, who has also sung of Uruvapahrer Ilam-Set-Cennist and Sen-Kuttuvan,88 and the former was the father and the latter a contemporary and relation of Karikala. I see no compelling necessity to postulate two Karikālas, each of whom won a battle at Venni against a Cēra. The Cēralātan that fell at the battle of Venni, where the great Karikāla won a signal victory, must have been proximate in date to Kuttuvan; and Ādu-kotpāttu Cēralātan alone satisfies the test. If we be guided by Kalingattuparani, Karikāla's victory at Venni must have been one of his late achievements; for

⁸⁶ Porunar, Il. 143-48; Agam 246.

⁸⁷ Puram 4.

⁸⁸ Patirrup-pattu V; Puram 369.

it was after his return from his northern expedition that he engaged the Cera and the Pāṇḍya in battle and defeated them. Probably these two kings and the neighbouring chiefs took advantage of Karikāla's absence from his territory in northern India, and attempted to portion out his kingdom among themselves; and hence the great battle of Veṇṇi that Karikāla fought against the confederate kings and chiefs. I am inclined to hold, therefore, that Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭu Ceralātan met with his death at the battle of Veṇṇi. Perhaps Patiṛrup-pattu 58, ll. 10-12 will afford some explanation for the alternative name Perum-Tōlātan.

The battle of Venni is of special interest, as Puram 65 and 66 mention a peculiar practice among famous warriors in those early days. We are told that the Cera king while facing his foe in battle was pierced by a shaft which ran through his body, wounding also his back; and as a wound on the back was regarded as a blot on heroism, the Cera sat facing north and courted death by starvation. Starvation unto death as a penance has always been regarded in India, especially in ancient times, as an act of supreme fortitude and merit; and by this act the Cera wiped out the humiliation that the wound on the back implied. Agam 55 shows that the act evoked the sympathy and admiration of several people who also gave up their

⁸⁹ Kalinga, 183.

life along with him; and brilliant as his victory was, Karikāla seems to have felt that the heroism of the vanquished Cēra's self-immolation surpassed his own as the victor of the day, and he had to be consoled with the assurance that the Cēra king was not greater than he in glory.⁹⁰

Naccellaiyār was richly rewarded with gold for jewels by Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭu Cēralātan, and he further took her to himself. He is stated to have reigned for thirty-eight years.



CHAPTER II.

S. 1. Karuvūr-Ēriya Kō-perum-cēral Irumporai.

At this stage a digression into Pura-nānūru appears necessary. The Cera Kings we have considered so far are, according to Patirruppattu, descendants of Ceraman Perum-Corru Udiyan Ceralatan. The remaining Cera kings sung about in that work belong to another line of which the ancestor it is impossible to determine from that work. Before, however, taking up the consideration of the kings of that line celebrated in Patirrup-pattu, it is at this stage necessary, it seems to me, to speak of a Cera ruler who is not mentioned in Patirruppattu. It will have been seen from the foregoing account that there was a vigorous expansion of the Cera kingdom under the early Cēras. The work of conquest of the neighbouring territories and their annexation to the Cera kingdom which began with Udivan Ceralatan. the first Cera king known to Tamil literature, was steadily continued in the reigns of his two sons Imayavaramban and Pal-yanai Selkelu-Kuttuvan. The last of these kings completed the conquest of Püli-Nādu or Konkānam, the territory of the Nannans, who as ancient Tamil literature shows, were war-like chiefs who rarely missed an opportunity to harass their neighbours. He had also annexed the land of the Kongar which in those early times was the

theatre of endless warfare among the three Tamil kings. Round about that region were numerous war-like tribes. In the circumstances, the Cēra, after the conquest and annexation of these northern regions, would as a measure of political wisdom and necessity, have thought of strengthening his position by establishing a viceroyalty in the north; and I suggest that Pal-yānai Śelkeļu-Kuṭṭuvan, conqueror of Pūḷi-Nāḍu and Kongu-Nāḍu appointed the first viceroy investing him with palatine powers, and sent him to the newly acquired northern province.

This consideration induces me to bring in here as contemporary of Pal-vānai Selkelu-Kuttuvan, a Cēra king who, so far the materials now available go, appears to stand unrelated to any other Cera known to literature. He is Cēramān Karuvūr-ēriya Olvāl-Koperum-Cēral Irumporai. This name occurs in the colophon to one single poem alone1 which is by a poet, Narivērūttalaiyār, who does not appear to have sung about any other king or chieftain. It is not possible, therefore, to state from literature alone where exactly this Cera king should be placed. We shall find presently that there was a line of Ceras who bore the name of Irumporai; and in my view, the Ceral Irumporais who figure in Tamil literature, represented, perhaps a junior branch of the

¹ Puram 5.

Cēra dynasty and were in the position of Cēra viceroys with palatine powers stationed in the North Cera country with head-quarters at Tondi, after the Cera Kingdom had extended northward. Olvāl - Kō - Perum - Cēral Irumporai may be regarded as the first of these viceroys of the north, as he is said in the colophon to have gone to reign at Karuvūr.1 I take this Karuvûr to be different from Vañci, which according to me is Tiruvañcikalam; and I tentatively hold that Olvāl-Kō-Perum-Cēral Irumporai, as the first king of the branch, may be placed before Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irumporai, mentioned in Patigam of Patirruppattu VII. We have no information about Oļvāļ Kō-Perum Cēral Irumporai except what is contained in Puram 5. He was apparently a great warrior, as the epithet Olvāl (bright sword) implies. It is easy to gather from Puram 5 that his lust for war and carnage was almost insatiable; and the poet gives him a timely admonition to turn to the prime duty of protection of his subjects. The poem may be rendered as follows:-

> Lord of the forest region where, like herds Of cattle, roam, among the huge black rocks Resembling buffaloes, wild elephants! Permit me—thou art great!—submit a word. Detach thyself from those devoid of love And mercy; they indeed, are marked for hell! Be thou like parents who their children tend! Protect thy land and people; 'tis worth-while!

¹a Puram 5.

S. 2. Antuvan Cēral.

We may now pursue the study of the other decads of Patirrup-pattu. The Patigam to the seventh decad of Patirrup-pattu tells us that the hero of that decad was the son of Antuvan. His full name is Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irunporai.2 There is a complete lack of materials about the activities of this Cera. We can, however, say from the occurrence of Irumporai in his name that he belonged to the northern line that began with Karuvūr-ēriya Perum-Cēral Irumporai. I hold that Antuvan Cēral was, perhaps, the son and successor of Karuvūrcriva Perum-Ceral. The only incident connected with him of which we can be reasonably certain. is gathered from the colophon to Puram 13, sung by Uraiyür Enicceri Muda-Möśiyar. We read that, by misadventure, the Cola, Mudittalai Kō-Perunarkilli, entered the precincts Karuvūr, which then belonged to the Cera, on a rutted elephant; and the poet in this poem tries to dissuade Antuvan Ceral from falling into the mistake of regarding the entry as an act of hostility on the part of the Cola King. This was a period when in the land of the Colas, rival chiefs or clans, the Cennis and the Killis, were striving to extend their territory with a view to gain supremacy over the whole Cola Kingdom, Sometimes as a diversion from their internal feuds and fights, a Cenni

² Puram 13.

now or a Killi at another time led a raid into the neighbouring Cēra Kingdom; and Antuvan Cēral had, therefore, good reason for his mistake, if mistake it really was.

However, another predatory invasion of the Cēra territory seems to have been led by Neytalankānal Ilam-Set-Cenni, probably contemporary in the Cenni line of Mudittalai Perunar-Killi. We cannot affirm whether this Cenni was the same as Uruvapahrer Ilam-Set-Cenni or was different from him; probably they were the same. The invading Cenni succeeded in capturing Pamalur, which belonged to the Cēra; and this act of war naturally led to retaliation by the Cera who advanced into the territory of the Cola. The Cera and the Cola met in battle at Por, a place in the Cola territory in the basin of the Kāvēri; and both the royal combatants met with their death in that battle.6 These two kings are said in the colophon to have been Ceraman Kudakko Nedum-Cēralātan and Cōlan Velpahradakkai Peru-Virar-Killi, who had an alias Perunar-Killi. Possibly Velpahradakkai Perunar-Killi and Mudittalai Perunar-Killi are the same; and the Cera may be taken to be Antuvan Ceral, who was undoubtedly a contemporary of that Cola. In this view, we may hold that Antuvan Ceral

³ Puram 203.

⁴ Ibid., 62; 63.

⁵ Agam 186; 326.

⁶ Puram 62: 63.

also went by the name of Nedum-Cëralātan at least in the latter part of his reign. We do not know how long his reign lasted.

S. 3. Śelvak-kadunkō-vāļiyātan.

The next king we read of is his son, Selvak-Kadunkō-vāliyātan, the hero of the seventh decad of Patirrup-pattu, which was sung by Kapilar, one of the greatest of the Sangam poets. It is recorded that this king won a victory over the combined armies of the Cola and the Pandya; and he was in such plentitude of power, that soon he had no enemy anywhere.8 His country was very prosperous; and there was pearl fishery in his sea ports. Kapilar went to his court after the demise of Pari, whose name has come to be a by-word in Tamil literature to denote a most munificent patron of literature and art. What Maccenas was to Virgil and Horace, that Pari was to Kapilar, who was his staunch and faithful friend and admirer. Kapilar says this Cera King rivalled Pari in munificence;10 and his bountifulness, says Paranar, became a by-word.11 The poet exultantly exclaims: "There are many kings; but what benefit do we derive from them? If bards appear even on the outskirts of your capital

⁷ Patirrup-pattu 63; also 85.

⁸ Ibid., 69.

⁹ Ibid., 67.

¹⁰ Ibid., 61.

¹¹ Agam 142.

city, it is your command they should be liberally rewarded, and they should not be put to the trouble of even seeing you. You shower ambrosia even more profusely than the clouds pour down rain.12 Whatever may happen. your word remains unshakeable. Foes who have won renown in battle with others, gladly bow to you, regarding it as a privilege; and your benign love and generosity to them unlimited."13 He held Brahmanas in great respect.14 The Patigam tells us that at the close of a Yaga he dedicated, as a gift, Okantur, a village, to Visnu, the deity he worshipped. He rewarded Kapilar beyond the dreams avarice. We are told that the poet was given 100,000 gold pieces or coins, and all the land that one could see from the top of a hill. Apparently this gift of land is not a myth; for we find Perum-Kunrür-Kilär, another Sangam poet, exlaims14" siedensi aderir Guip extenis valut which means, 'more numerous than the villages that the renowned poet Kapilar obtained'.

Selva-Kadun Kō was almost an idol of the poets. Besides the VII decad of Patirrup-pattu, Kapilar has also sung two lyrics in Pura-nānūru about this Cēra. 15 In Puram 8 the king is extolled as transcending the sun and the moon

¹² Patirrup-pattu 64,

¹³ Ibid., 63.

¹⁴ Ibid., 63, 1.1.

¹⁴a Patirrup-pattu 85.

¹⁵ Purom 8; 14.

in glory; and thus inferentially we are told that he is greater than the Cola and the Pāṇḍya, who are regarded as descended from the Sun and the Moon respectively, and that they owned his supremacy. I give below a translation of the poem:—

The Cēralātan of victorious arms,
Though small his kingdom, yet in prowess stands
Without a rival 'mong the ruling kings
Who his supremacy dare not contest!
In his munificence he stands alone!
Canst thou, O Sun! with that great king compare!
E'en though thou hast the wide expanse of heaven,
Thou shinest but by day! Thou turnest back
And dost conceal thyself before the moon!
Canst thou, O Moon! whose light streams down at
night?

Thou art too fitful! Thou dost often change And close behind the mountain safety seek!

Puram 14 is also interesting, as throwing light on the might, greatness and geniality of this Cēra. The king seems to have made a playful remark about the softness of the poet's hand; and then the poet sang the following praise of the monarch:—

Thy hands, O King! that to thy knees extend, Control with well-shaped iron goad in time. Thy fierce-eyed elephant that breaks with ease. Fortified gates, with tough wood bolted fast, And rein thy rushing charger that can clear. Deep trenches filled with water. Seated high. In thy war-chariot, from thy quiver slung. O'er thy broad back, thou dost with forceful skill. Rain darts on foes and so thy hands are scaled, Which on the poets presents rich bestow!

Like Muruga thou shinest, gracious king!
Thy chest, as tough and broad as earth itself,
Though threatening to thy foes, fills womankind
With pain of love insatiate. Thy hands
With constant kingly acts are firm and strong,
While we, thy bards, who thriving on thy gifts
Employ our hands in doing nothing else
Than eating meat well seasoned in sweet smoke,
Or rice with condiments and curry mixed,
Have hands that are effiminate and soft!

Paranar too has sung about the unparalleled liberality of this Cera in Agam 142, in language that reminds us of Māmūlanār's praise of Udiyan Cëral's bounty in Agam 65. He writes: 'Rejoice, O heart! like the suppliants who return from the court of Kadunko, laden with largesse'. The king is there called Mantaran Poraiyan Kadunko; and perhaps it is to the same king that reference is made in Agam 62 as Poraiyan, in Agam 303 as Pasum-pūt-Poraiyan and in Kuruntogai 89 as Perumpüt-Poraivan. Selvak-Kadundo-valivatan reigned for twenty-five years and died at Cikkarppalli.16 We learn from Patirrup-pattu 67 that his territory included Kodumanam, probably the modern Kolumam near Coimbatore.

S. 4. Perum-Cēral Irum porai.

He was succeeded by his son, Perum-Cēral Irumporai, a great warrior in whose praise Ariśil Kilār has sung the eighth decad of Patirrup-pattu. He defeated Kaluval, the

¹⁶ Puram 387.

Idayar chief,¹⁷ and won a great victory at Takadūr in Kollikkūṛṛam against Atiyamān Elini and two great kings.

> கொல்லிக்கடற்றத் து பல்பேற்குளே அதிகமானே டிருபெருவேக்தரையும்டனி வென்ற 15க

It is said that his conquest of Takadur has been celebrated in an old Tamil work Takadur Yāttirai, now missing. His fame as a hero spread so rapidly that soon princes and chiefs and others bowed to him in submission; and he spared the lives of his foes when they submitted to him and paid him tribute, even as spirits spare their victims when sacrifice is offered to them. His sway and power extended so far that he was not only the lord of Kolli and the protecting armour of the people of Pūli-Nādu, but he was even regarded as the lord of Pugār.—

காவிரிகண்டியசெய்விரிவனப்பிற்புகா அர்செல்வ 186

His ministers were men of wisdom who made the good of the people their chief concern.¹⁹ Both inland and sea-borne trade flourished in the land.²⁰ The king performed Yāgas in accordance with the rules laid down,²¹ and the

¹⁷ Patirrup-pattu, 71.

¹⁷a Ibid., 78, VIII Patigam and Puram, 230.

¹⁸ Ibid., 75.

¹⁸a Ibid., 71.

¹⁸b Ibid., 73.

¹⁹ Ibid., 72.

²⁰ Ibid., 76.

²¹ Ibid., 74.

whole country was rich and prosperous.22 He was a great patron of learning; and the respect and regard with which he treated bards that sought him are exemplified by a supremely lovable act of high-souled magnanimity recorded in Puram 50 by Môśu Kiranār. The poet's art yielded him in those days high praise and rich gifts, and made him a welcome guest in every court. Mōśu Kīranār, when he arrived at the court of this great Cera King, found the latter had gone out for a hunt; and the bard, who was tired, fell asleep by mistake on the couch intended for the king's drum. The king discovered the poet sleeping; and with a greatness and nobility of heart that was beyond all praise, he not only did not disturb him but kept fanning him till he awoke refreshed! King and hero as he was, Perum-Ceral found light and life in the immortal song of the poet, whom he held in the greatest esteem. The colophon says that as reward he offered to Ariśil Kilar, the author of the eighth decad of Patirrup-pattu. even his very throne, and everything of value in the palace, which the poet, wisely declined to accept; and the king thereupon made Ariśil Kilar his minister. This king reigned for seventeen years. It is interesting to note that this king is addressed as Kōdai Mārba23 which means: he who holds Laksmi in his breast. It

²² Patirrup-pattu, ₹1.

²³ Ibid., 79.

must be after him that a subsequent Cera came to bear that name.

S. 5. Ham-Cēral Irumporas.

He was succeeded by his son Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, the hero of the ninth decad of Patirrup-pattu of which the author is Perum-Kunrūr Kilār. This poet had met with bitter disappointment once when he sought this Cēra's bounty.²⁴ I give Puram 210.—

> Forgetting thy high duty to protect Mankind, thou seest with eyes devoid of love And helpful charity thy suppliants. If other kings unsympathetic prove Like thee, it would be best if men like me Were never born! My pure and blameless wife Devoted unto me, if there be left Breath in her body, will be thinking still Of me. Afraid am I if even now Unjust and coward Death hath snatched her off! I hasten home this instant her to save. She hath, unable her distress to bear, Been wishing oft in dire despair for death! Live long, O King! Behold! Weighed down in heart, I hence depart and carry back with me My indigence, as helpless as the forts Of foes that cannot stand 'gainst thy attack!

Later, the king and the poet understood each other, and the poet says: "I had thought that Ilam-Ceral was a fierce prince, as all had been lauding his great prowess in war. I now see I was mistaken. Having known him personally, I see he is as sweet as the waters of

²⁴ Puram 210, 211.

Vāni river."25 Parenthetically, it may be observed that Vāni (Skt. Vāhini) is a river running north of Coimbatore, and is different from Bhavāni, and also from Ani-Vāni which is another name for the Periyar. He sustained the glory and munificence of his illustrious ancestors like Selvak-Kadunko and the victors of Vagai-parantalai and Viyalūr.26 His land abounded in sandal wood, agil (Dysoxylum malabariam) and sugar-cane.27 He was the lord of Tondi, Kongar-Nādu, Kuttuvar-Nādu and Püli-Nādu.28 He defeated Perum Cola, Ilam Palaiyan Māran and Vicci, and destroyed the 'five forts'.29 He was a brave, good, just and impartial ruler; and the poet pronounces in exultation the following benediction: "As the result of thy just and impartial rule, may the clouds never fail to yield bounteous rain! May there be rich pasturage for cattle; may the joyous birds thrive in groves; may fruits and edible roots be plenteous; may grain grow in abundance; may the whole land offer thee reverent praise! May good and virtuous men fill the land! Swerve not from thy kingly duty! May thy arms be victorious; and may thou and thy consort be blessed with long life, health

²⁵ Patirrup-pattu 86.

²⁶ Ibid., 88, 90.

²⁷ Ibid., 87.

²⁸ Ibid., 88, 90.

²⁹ Ibid., IX Patigam.

and happiness." ³⁰ There can be no doubt that peace and plenty reigned over the land of this bountiful king. It is no wonder that Perum-Kunṛūr Kilār asks his brother bards to proceed to the court of this monarch, where they would have liberal largesse bestowed on them. ³¹ Perum-Kunṛūr Kilār ³² was most munificently rewarded with land, money and jewels for his poem by Ilam-Cēral Irumpoṛai, who seems to have delighted in rewarding secretly. ³³ He reigned, according to the colophon, for sixteen years.



³⁰ Patirrup-pattu, 89.

³¹ Ibid., 87.

³² Perum-Kunrur Kilar is said to have sung Puram 266 in honour of Uruvapahrer Ilam-Set-Cenni (Colophon to Puram 266); but it seems to me the name of the Cola is wrongly given there, and it should be Nalam-Killi alias Set-Cenni, who is also referred to as Ter Van Killi.

³³ Colophon of Patigam IX, Pattirrup-pattu.

CHAPTER III.

Examination of Results.

This finishes the list of Ceras sung about in Patirrup-pattu. Of the Ceras we have sofar considered, we can assert with confidence that Olvāl Perum-Cēral Irumporai, Antuvan-Cēral Irumporai, Šelva-kadunkō-vāliyātan, Perum-Cēral Irumporai of Takadūr fame and Ham-Ceral Irumporai ruled over the northern palatinate with Tondi as their capital; and we similarly assert that Vanavaramban Udiyan Ceralatan, Imayayaramban Nedum-Cēralātan, Palyānai Selkelu-Kuttuvan, Kalankāikanni-Nārmudic-Cēral and Sen-Kuttuvan ruled at Vanci in the south. There can be no doubt that both branches were of the same family. Ilam-Ceral Irumporai, the last of the Irumporais celebrated in song Patirrup-pattu is described as descendant of Imayavaramban and Nārmudic-Cēral as well as of Perum-Cēral Irumporai. How the necessity for two lines of Ceras may have risen I have already tried to explain; and it may be remembered that Palyanai Selkelu-Kuttuvan is also stated to have divided his kingdom among his kin. To which group should Adukotpattu-Ceralatan be assigned? After anxious consideration, I have come to the conclusion that

¹ Patirrup-pattu, 88.

he should be regarded as a ruler of the northern palatinate which had its capital at Tondi.

Let us try to examine the results we have obtained. We saw Imayayaramban reigned for fifty-eight years. He had a brother, Palyānai Selkelu-Kuttuvan, who succeeded him and reigned for twenty-five years. Imayayaramban is said to have had three sons, all of whom are said to have been reigning Ceras. Of these three, Narmudicceral reigned for twenty-five years; and on his death he was succeeded by Sen-Kuttuvan who reigned for fifty-five years. Supposing Adu-kötpättu-Cēralātan was a son of Imayayaramban and ruled in Vañci, he must have succeeded Sen-Kuttuvan; and even on the supposition that Adu-kotpattu-Ceralatan was but a babe in arms when Imayayaramban diedand that is obviously all but impossible-he must have been at least one hundred and five years old when he became king, and thereafter reigned for thirty-eight years. This is palpably absurd. We may be told that, perhaps, the high eminence that Imavavaramban and Sen-Kuttuvan occupied as conquering heroes, who made the military prowess of their armies felt even in North India, induced the ascription of an exaggerated period to the reign of each of these two kings; but this hypothesis alone would not help us to solve the difficulty; for even if we allowed the conventional period of twenty-five years to each king that preceded Ādu-kōtpāttu-Cēralātan, he must have waited

seventy-five years after his father's death to ascend the throne of the Cera kingdom. If, however, we could possibly hold that one of the alleged three sons of Imayavaramban ruled at Toṇḍi, instead of regarding all the three of them as having ruled at Vañci, the difficulty would be almost entirely obviated.

I may here glance at a suggestion that the learned author of Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan has made. He is inclined to hold that there were not only two lines of Ceras ruling simultaneously in Vañci and Tondi, but there was also a third line co-existing with them that ruled in Mantai! He accordingly thinks that while Sen-Kuttuvan was reigning in Vanci, Nārmudiccēral was reigning in Māntai, and the Irumporais in Tondi. There is no warrant for this suggested disintegration of the Cera kingdom into several small Cera principalities. This was the time when the Cera kingdom was growing into power, and stood in need of consolidation; and there was particularly a strong line of kings who were capable of keeping the Cera kingdom intact, not to speak of further extending it. When for the elucidation of a difficulty, a hypothesis has perforce to be postulated, the hypothesis should be as simple and free from complication as possible. We know as a fact of history that there were two lines of Ceras, one ruling in Vanci and the other at Tondi. If we can find authority for holding that one of Imavavaramban's sons

ruled at Tondi and not at Vañci, the complication will be easily resolved.

Patirrup-pattu affords some material that would help us in this direction. The Patigam of sixth Patirrup-pattu says that the lifted cattle which Adu-kotpattu-Ceralatan recovered from Dandāraniyam, a place in Ārya-Nādu, was removed by him to Tondi and he there distributed cows among Brahmans, to whom a village in Kuda-Nādu was also given. From this we may assume that Ādu-kōtpāttu-Cēralātan was ruling in Tondi. This assumption receives support from the fact that he is associated with Naravu or Mangalore.2 I have before postulated that Olval-Perumceral Irumporal of Karuvur fame was sent by Palyanai Selkelu-Kuttuvan as the first viceroy of the northern palatinate and that he was succeeded probably by Antuvan-Cēral as ruler at Tondi. Of these two Cēras, little is known definitely. We do not know how long they reigned. In dealing with Antuvan-Cēral Irumporai, I have suggested that his reign witnessed an invasion by a Cola who succeeded in capturing Pamalur, and that Antuvan-Ceral died in the battle of Por, which was fought against the Cola. In the circumstances, a strong man was needed in the northern province to succeed Antuvan-Ceral. and I suggest that such a man was found in Ādu-kotpāttu-Cēralātan. Even if he be held to

² Patirrup-pattu 60.

be the brother of Nārmuḍic-Cēral, I suggest that he was sent by his elder brother, the reigning king of the Cēra kingdom, to rule over the Toṇḍi province, as perhaps, Śelvak-kaḍunkō-Vāliyātan was too young to succeed his father Antuvan-Cēral as ruler in the critical and troublous times that then existed.

This is not a preposterous suggestion. But, was Ādu-kōtpāttuc-Cēralātan, really the brother of Nārmudic-Cēral? Are Cēralātan, the father of Nårmudic-Cēral and Kudakkō-Nedum-Cēralātan, the father of Ādu-kōtpāttuc-Cēralātan, identical? I venture to hold that they are different, and that while Ceralatan, the father of Narmudic-Ceral according to the patigam of IV Patirrup-pattu, belonged to the Vañci line, Kudakkō-Nedum-Cēralātan mentioned in patigam of VI Patirrup-pattu as the father of Adu-kötpättu belonged to the Tondi branch. In dealing with the battle of Por, I made the suggestion that the Cera King, Kudakko-Nedum-Cēralātan who died in that battle was Antuvan-Ceral Irumporai; and if that identification be accepted, it makes it easy to hold that Āḍu-kōṭpāṭṭu Cēralātan's father was otherwise known as Antuvan-Ceral, that Adukötpättu and Selva-Kadunkö were consanguined brothers of whom the former was the elder, and he naturally succeeded Antuvan-Ceral as the ruler of the northern Palatinate. On this hypothesis which does not seem to be violent, the following table of contemporaneous kings

in the two branches may be constructed tentatively.

Cēras of Vañci.	Cēras of Toṇḍi.
1. Perum-Śörru Udiyan- Cēralātan.	
Imayavaramban Neḍum-Cēralātan.	
3. Palyānai Śelkelu Kuṭṭuvan.	Karuvür Ēriya Perum Cēral Irumporai.
4. Kaļankāik-kaņņi Nārmuģic-Cēral.	Antuvan Cēral; Āḍu-kōṭ- pāṭṭuc-Cēralātan.
5. Śen-Kuţţuvan.	Šelvak-kadunkō-vāļiyātan; Perumcēral Irumporai,
	Iļam-Cēral Irumporai.

CHAPTER IV.

S. 1. Kuţţuvan Kōdai alias Māk-kōdai.

For the remaining Ceras of whom we read in Sangam literature, we have to depend mainly on the colophons appended to Puranānūru lyrics; and our results can only be tentative. And first as regards the main line, we see from the fifth decad of Patirrup-pattu that Sen-Kuttuvan had a son Kuttuvan-Cëral. who was delivered by the former to Paranar. Pandit M. Raghava Aiyangar makes a happy suggestion that this probably means that the king entrusted his son for training and literary culture to the great poet. Accepting this suggestion, we may conclude that on the death of Sen-Kuttuvan, Kuttuvan-Ceral probably succeeded him. We find among the Ceramans a king bearing the name Kuttuvan-Ködai; and I have little doubt that he is the same as Kuttuvan-Cēral. Kuttuvan-Kōdai is eulogised in Puram 51 by a poet called Könättu Ericcalür Mādalan Maturaikkumāranār. This poet has also sung of Ilavantip-pallit-tuñciya Nalam-Killi Set-Cenni,1 the Cola whom in the war of succession that followed the death of Karikāla, we saw Sen-Kuttuvan helped to ascend the throne. This renders it probable that Kuttuvan-Kōdai was the next king in the main line after

¹ Puram, 61.

Šen-Kuttuvan. If Ādu-kōtpāttuc-Cēralātan had reigned at Vañci, preceding Kuttuvan-Ködai. Maturaik-kumāranār must have waited at least 40 years after he had sung Puram 61 to sing Puram 54; and this circumstance lends additional strength to my suggestion that Adukötpättuc-Ceralatan belonged to the Tondi line. Possibly Kōdai's reign was not eventful and hardly counted in the way of wars and conquests. However it be, it is clear from Puram 54 that poets had easy access to his presence and that they were lavishly rewarded. This is not to be wondered at in a pupil of the great Paranar. It is my belief that Kuttuvan-Ceral alias Kodai is identical with Kottambalattut-tuncia Māk-Kōdai, a royal poet, who like Hrothgar in Beowulf, 'the harp's sweet note awoke, and a song entoned both sooth and sad.' We have already referred to his poem, Agam 168, when dealing with Perum-Sörru Udiyan-Cēralātan. I give below an English adaptation of a pathetic lyric,2 he has sung on the demise of his queen.

"What charm hath life hence-forth for me?"
The king in his bereavement cried.
"My queen, how good and sweet was she!
The innocent of soul hath died.
My swelling sorrow knows no bound.
Alas! like to the common dead
I bore her to the burning ground

Where nought but spiny cactus spread.

A heap of fuel there arrayed.

Stood smould'ring her remains to claim:

² Puram, 245.

With cruel hands my queen I laid
Upon her couch of rising flame.
My queen hath left me. Like the sea
Though deep and surging is my grief,
It has no strength, alas! to me
From hated life to bring relief.
Would that grim death had come to me!
The fairest queen on earth is dead!
The mainstay of my life was she!
And I survive when she hath fled!"

S. 2. Ilam-Kuttuvan.

Aganānāru mentions as the author of Agam 153 one Cēramān Ilam-Kuṭṭuvan. This Ilam-Kuṭṭuvan or Kuṭṭuvan the younger is, perhaps, the son of Kuṭṭuvan-Cēral, the son of Śen-Kuṭṭuvan. Kuṭṭuvan is the name of the king; and the descriptive word Ilam is prefixed to it to distinguish him from, perhaps, Śen-Kuṭṭuvan, the great Kuṭṭuvan. Except that he was a Cēramān or reigning Cēra, nothing further is known about him. The following is an English translation of Agam 153 attributed to this Cēramān—

My heart with grief and pain intense is filled! E'en when with sweet-voiced playmates she pursued

The shining ball, tired out she used to feel!

And now, cajoled by one hard-hearted, she

Hath sudden yeilded to his warm embrace,

And with him left at night, deserting us!

How can her tender feet traverse the dry
And trackless desert, where from bamboos tall,
That in the wind against each other rub,
Burst leaping flames, and to the mountain slope
Convey her, where tall Kongu trees, which reach
The star-bespangled sky, shook by the wind,
Shed flowers honey-filled, like flambeaux flung,
Which are too hot to hold, by hands away!

S. 3. Pālaipādiya-Perum-Kadumkō.

Perhaps next in order in the main line came Pālaipāḍiya-Perum-Kaḍumkō. As it is, Pālaipāḍiya-Perum-Kaḍumkō stands unrelated to anyone. We can see from his Pālaikkali that he was a friend of the Pāṇḍya, and the Pāṇḍya Kingdom was well-known to him²; and we see from Puṛam 11, perhaps the only poem sung about him, that he was the ruler of Vañci, and was a liberal patron of bards and minstrels. I give here an English rendering of the poem, the author of which is a lady named Pēy-makaļ Iļa-Vēyini.

The valiant king renowned in song, who rules
O'er far-famed Vañei, rising to the skies,
Where bashful maids with rounded arms that shine
With glistening hair and decked with jewels bright,
To images of sand make offerings
Of flowers gathered from o'erhanging boughs,
Before they plunge into the waters cool
Of Porunai, he through the fortress broke
Of valorous foes and made them turn and flee!

²a Palai, 34; 29 and 30.

With jewels rare of burnished gold immense Did he reward her who his prowess sang! And on him, who her song accompanied Bestowed he lotus flow'rs of flaming gold With threads of shining silver closely strung.

In the scheme of succession that has been so far constructed from material supplied by ancient Tamil literature, there appears to be no room for introducing another reigning prince between Udiyan Cēralātan and Ilam-Kuttuvan. Pālaipādiva-Perum-Kadumko is not an imaginary figure; but he was undoubtedly one of the ancient Cera Kings who reigned in Vancion-the-Porunai. I had once suggested that he was anterior in date to Sen-Kuttuvan; but on further consideration, I have come to entertain the belief that he was posterior Sen-Kuttuvan. He must be somewhat anterior to Nallantuvanār, the redactor of Kalit-tokai. Nallantuvanār has been sung about by Marudan Ha-Nākanār,3 who was a contemporary of the Pandya, Ilavantikaippallit-tunciya Nanmaran of whom another contemporary was Nakkīrar; 5 and Nakkīrar, as we shall see presently, has sung of a succeeding generation of Kings. I, therefore, propose to place Pālaipādiya-Perum-Kadumko after Ilam-Kuttuvan. It is obvious that Pālaipādiya Cēra cannot be placed later, as it was at the instance of the Pandya King Ugrap-peruvaluti, that Kalit-tokai was redacted.

³ Agam, 59.

⁴ Puram, 55.

⁵ Thid., 56.

About the kingly exploits of Pālaipādiya Perum-Kadumkō, we have no record: but as I have shown elsewhere he has left an imperishable name as a Tamil poet, His poems, all of which relate to Pālai-tinai in Agap-porul, reveal the highest spirit of chivalry. In Narrinai 9, he says that the gaining of the lady that one loves is like meeting the god one seeks. In Agam 185, he says that the man who leaves his love must be one with a heart of hard iron. It is pleasing to note in his poems the loyalty and tenderness of the wife, and the fidelity of the lady's companion. In Agam 267, where the lady's maid tries to comfort the lady, whose husband has left in quest of riches, the wife declares that if a husband leaves his sponse, it is due entirely to the inability of the latter to detain him with her. He frankly denounces the unsympathetic minister who misleads the king into deeds of injustice and oppression.6 It is clear from Narrinai that the lighting of lamps on Kārtigai day was common even in those days, as he likens a tree in blossom to the appearance of a town illuminated on Tirukārtigai day. In Pālai I he refers to the reaction of elephants to music, which has the power of soothing them. Toddy was a favourite drink in his day,8 and people believed in

⁶ Pālai, 7 and 9.

⁷ Nar. 202.

⁸ Pālai, 3.

omens.⁹ Women wore along with their $t\bar{a}li$ ($a^{n_1}e^{\beta}$) a gold jewel fashioned like or encasing the teeth of leopards.¹⁰

I have elsewhere given English renderings of several lyries by this Cēra from his Pālaik-kali and from Kuruntokai. He is a master of Pālai-tiņai. The appropriate motif of Pālai amatory poetry is separation; and it describes the effect on the wife when her husband proposes to go to distant lands across an intervening desert in quest of wealth. As an illustration of the royal poet's art, imagery and poetic imagination, I shall give here an English echo of the opening lines of his Pālaik-kali and of a short poem by him included in Kuruntokai.

As blazed the wrath of Śiva, when to save Ayan and other gods, who sought his aid, He smote the dreaded, troublons Rākṣasas, And their destructive triple fortress laid In utter ruin, with like fierceness burns The sun, whose heat intense beats on the rocks And bursting them, with wreckage blocks the way In that vast desert!

—Pāloi, 1.

Great is my spouse's love for me! His early coming I foresee! Where he has gone, he eke will see Bull elephant with gallantry Break branches from the Atti tree

⁹ Pālai, 10.

¹⁰ Agam, 7.

¹¹ J.I.H., XI, Part 2; Q.J.M.S., XXIII, No. 3.

And give them to its famished mate, It may its hunger satiate! That sight will speed him on to me!

-Kurum, 37.

S. 4. Mā-Venkō.

Next, perhaps, came Cēramān Mā-Veņkō, of whom there is mention made in the colophon to Puram 367. We may gather from it that he was a friend of Ugrap-peruvaļuti, the Pāṇḍya, and Rājasūyamvēṭṭa Perunaṛ-kiḷḷi, the Cōḷa, whom I regard as the same as Neḍumuḍik-kiḷḷi mentioned in Maṇimēkalai as the Victor of Kāriyāṛu.¹² No other information is available about him.

We have no means of knowing who succeeded this Cera at Vanci; but I hazard the guess that, perhaps, it was Ceraman Vancan whose capital is described as the unapproachable ancient city of renown, Puram 398. We see from Agam 149, that a Pandya, probably Ugrap-Peruvaluti's successor, sacked Muśiri, the port of Vanci and Puram 373 records that Vanci itself was invested and captured by Killi-valavan. From Puram 36, in which Alattur Kilar tries to dissuade him from his march on Vañci, we gather that the Cera ruling then at Vanci was effiminate and afraid to take the field against the advancing Cola. However, the Cola advanced and Vanci-mutur fell, an

¹² Mani, XIX, l. 126.

event over which even a poetess of Killivalavan's court, Mārōk-kattu Nappaśalaiyār, expresses grief in Puram 37. The fall of Vañci was obviously an event of great moment, and was unexpected; and in Puram 39, the same lady, addressing the Cola exclaims: 'In what strains shall I praise thy great might and glory when thou hast brought down the renowned Cera, whose ancestor had set the imprint of his bow on the long, golden peaked range of the Himalaya and whose impregnable capital city of Vanci has fallen before thy advance!13 Killi-Valavan is said to have invaded Kūdal also,14 and he is referred to as the Cola of that name who died at Kulamurram. Dr. Pope thinks, and perhaps he is correct, that Kulamurram and Kurāppalli are the same.

¹⁸ யாங்கன மொழிகோ பானே போங்கிய வரையனர் தறியாப் பொன்படு நெடுங்கோட் டியயஞ் சூட்டிய வேடி வீற் பொறி மாண்கிக்க செரிச்தேர் வாவைன் ஒருவேய வாடா வஞ்சி வாட்டு தின் பீடு கேழு கோன்றுன் பாடுங்காலே. Purum, 39. 14 Agam 346.

CHAPTER V.

S. 1. Yānaik-kaţ-Śēy Māntaram-Cēral.

We may now turn to the northern line. In that branch, the outstanding figure is Yanaikkat-Śēy Māntaram-Cēral Irumporai, whom I would place some time after Ilam-Ceral Irumporai; for before Mantaram-Ceral we must place Ātan-Avini, of whom Örampökiyar has sung in Ain-kurunūru, a Sangam collection which was redacted at the instance of Yanaikkat-Sey Mantaram-Ceral. 1 Nothing is known of this prince; but we may be safe in regarding him as the immediate predecessor of Yanaik-kat-Sey. Yānaik-kaţ-Sēy Māntaram-Cēral² is wrongly asserted by Mr. Kanakasabhai, in his 'Tamils 1800 Years Ago' to be the son of Sen-Kuttuvan; and this incorrect statement has unfortunately been repeated by Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar and others, and has found its way into a recent text-book of Indian History.3 He is an outstanding figure among the later Ceras of the Sangam period; and we find as many as six poems relating to him in Puranānūru. We can see from the poems that he was a wise ruler, beloved of his subjects who enjoyed peace and prosperity, and protection external from

¹ Vide Ain. 1-10.

² See my article on "The Last Great Cêra of the Sangam Period" in Dr. S. K. Aiyangar Commn. Volume, pp. 217-21.

³ Banerji's Junior History of India, p. 94.

enemies. He was also a great warrior, and in his early wars he seems to have had uniform success; but we have no details of those wars.

Puram 20 gives us a vivid description of this king; and I give below an English rendering of it as it shows how highly he was loved and adored.

> The deep sea may be sounded; and the width Of the vast earth, the air-pervading space And eke the shapoless, overhanging aky, May all be measured; but, O mighty King! Thy wisdom, love and generosity Defy all measure! Those who in the shade Of thy protection live know but the heat Of kitchen fire and of the glowing sun! They only know the rainbow in the sky But not the bow of slaughter! Nor do they Another weapon know except the plough! Illustrious King! Thy valiant foes thou hast With mighty armies vanquished, and their lands Their fruits for thy enjoyment yield! Thy earth But pregnant ladies eat to satisfy Their craving, and is never touched by foes! In thy well guarded forts thy arrows rest; And in thy sceptre righteousness resides! What though new birds may come or old birds leave, What-e'er betide, thou dost thy kingdom guard And peace ensure. And so with anxious hope The world doth pray no harm should thee befall.

In Puram 53 we read that he won a victory at Vilankil, though it does not appear over whom. He had also his reverses. Among his wars was one he waged with Talaiālam-kānattuc-Ceruvēnra Nedum-Seliyan, one of the greatest kings of the Pāṇḍya dynasty; and in

that war, we learn from Puram 17 that he was made a captive by the Pāṇḍya. We read that his previous successes in war had been so constant that this reverse filled all with surprise. However, by his own strategy and valour he escaped, and the incident is immortalised in song in Puram 17, by Kurumköliyūr Kilār. I give its translation below, as it supplies a good and interesting portraiture of the early Cēras, as also of Yānaik-kaṭ-Śēy.

Scion of the royal house whose kings have ruled As undisputed monarch o'er the land Which from Kumari on the south extends To the high mountain on the north, and lies Between the eastern and the western sea!

Thy sires their royal sceptre even held O'er all their subjects whereso-c'er they lived, In hill or mountain, forest or in town; Protecting them with equal justice, they Chastised all wrong and, as their due, received The share of yield from land by law allowed.

O Tondi's lord! Thy land the mountain fence Protects. Its sandy beach like moon-light shines; There flourish stately palms which star-high grow, Laden with bunches of sweet cocoanuts. There spread extensive fields; and in the ponds Of water clear bright flaming lotus blooms!

E'en as a strong and stately elephant
Regardless of the treach'rous pit whose mouth
Is cunningly o'erlaid, impetuous
Unto it rushes, and with tusks, full-grown
And murd'rous, gores the sides and fills it up
With earth it has dug up, and getting out
Goes back and joins the herd in its old haunt,
So thou, the victor in thy wars, whose foes

Bereft of their possessions bow in fee
To gain thy friendship or from policy,
Urged by thy courage irresistible,
Unmindful of thy foe, didst rush to war,
And when, to the bewilderment of all
Thy kith and kin, thou wert a captive made,
By thy unaided strength and stratagem
Thou didst redeem thy lapse and didst escape
And to thy realm and relatives return.

O king of Kuḍanād! I come to praise
Thy valour and thy fame. Unlimited
Is thy munificence! Thy warriors' shields
For rain-clouds are mistook! Large swarms of bees
Settle on thy war-elephants, which they
Mistake for mountains huge! Thy battle hosts,
The terror of thy enemies, are vast
As the great sea to which the clouds resort
For their supply of water! And the sound
Of thy war-drums resemble so the roar
Of thunder that dread snakes and venomous,
Trembling with fright, hang down their hooded
heads!

It must be noted that the battle referred to in this poem cannot be the well-known battle of Talaiālamkānam, which the Pāṇḍya Neḍum-Śeliyan, won against the confederate army of the Cēra, the Cōla and the five Vēlir chiefs: for we learn from Puṛam and Agam that in that battle the Cēra, the Cōla and the chiefs that were their allies were slain by the Pāṇḍyan hero. We read also that in a battle

⁴ Puram, 76 and 77.

⁵ Agam, 36.

between Rājasūyam-vēṭṭa Perunaṛ-Kiḷḷi and Yānaik-kaṭ-Śēy, the latter met with defeat.⁶

His internal administration appears to have been a blessing to his subjects. Poruntil Ham-Kiranar, who is one of the poets that have sung about him, says it requires a bard of the eminence of Kapilar to sing the praises of this king.7 Another poet extols him for his just rule under which his subjects enjoyed the benefits of peace, 'knowing no bow except the rainbow, and no weapon except the plough'.8 and praises the Cera kingdom as a heaven on earth.9 He was also a great patron of learning; and it was at his instance that Ain-kurunūru was collected. Pura-nānūru has a touching lament on his death which one of his bards. Kūdalūr-Kilār had dreaded would happen as he had witnessed the falling of a meteor at midnight, when the planets and stars were in a particular conjunction.10 Unfortunately the astronomical details cannot help us to discover the date of his demise. I am tempted to suggest that he is, perhaps, the hero of the missing 10th Patirrup-pattu.

S. 2. Kök-Ködai-Märban.

The next in that line will be Kök-ködai-Mārban. Poigaiyār, his court poet, tells us

⁶ Puram, 125

⁷ Ibid., 53.

⁸ Ibid., 20.

⁹ Ibid., 22.

¹⁰ Ibid., 229.

that he ruled at Tondi, and that his country was the sea-board region;11 and though it was Neytal land, it also abounded in Kuriñci and Marutam land or rich forests and paddy fields. The poet Nakkīrar tells us12 of an invasion of Kūdal or Madura by Killivalavan who slew Palaiyan-Māran in that campaign, to the great joy of Ködai-Marban. Possibly Palaiyan-Māran, who we saw had been defeated in battle Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, began to give trouble to the Cera, whose power after the defeat of Yānaik-kat-Śēy Māntaram-Cēral by the Cola and the Pandya had begun to wane; and hence Kodai-Marban was rejoiced to see this troublesome chief defeated and killed by the Cola. No details relating to this Cera are available.

He apparently took his name Kōdai-Mārban from his great ancestor Perum-Cēral Irumporai.¹³

S. 3. Kanaikkāl Irumporai.

The next in order in that branch is Kaṇaikkāl Irumporai. Poigaiyār was his court poet. Mūvan, a chief who had incurred this Cēra's displeasure, was punished by having his teeth pulled out and fixed on the gate at Tondi as warning. Kaṇaikkāl Irumporai does not

¹¹ Puram, 48, 49.

¹² See Agam, 366.

¹³ Cf. Patirrup-pattu, 79, 1. 7.

¹⁴ Narrindi, 18.

stand alone in inflicting this mode of punishment; for we read of other similar instances.15 We do not know anything about his reign; but we have definite information about his end. which was very heroic. We gather from Agam16 that Kanaiyan, a feudatory and general of the Cera, along with other feudatory chiefs owing allegiance to the Cera, met in battle Palaiyan, the Côla general, who lost his life in the engagement. This infuriated Sen-Kanan, the Cola King, who marched against Kanaiyan, killed him in battle and captured Kalumalam, a city of the Cera.17 Kanaikkal Irumporai, the Cera King, could not stand this, and naturally went to war with Ko-Sen-Kanan. At the battle of Por, he was defeated and taken prisoner by Kō-Sen-Kaṇān, and confined at Kudavāyil-Kōttam.18 It was on that occasion that Poigaivar sang Kalavali-Narpatu praise of Sen-Kanan, and won the release of his patron, Kanaikkāl Irumporai. In the meantime. Kanaikkāl feeling thirsty, asked for water which was not readily supplied; and he was so much stung by the insult that he preferred to die, rather than accept the water that the warders were so tardy in supplying. Before the order for release could be carried out, the proud and heroic Cera had breathed his last;

¹⁵ Vide Agam, 211.

¹⁶ Agam, 44.

¹⁷ Agam, 270.

¹⁸ Puram, 74.

but he left a short poem, full of true epic grandeur, explaining the circumstances of his death. I give below a translation of Kanaikkal Irumporai's swan-song.

Lo! Issue born but as a lifeless child,
Or even as a shapeless mass of flesh,
Amongst the kingly class with sword is clove!
But meaner e'en than these am I, confined
Like a chained dog, condemned to live in shame!
Can he be king-born who with his own mouth,
Too weak his body's craving to resist,
Begs for a cup of water from his foe?
'Tis better far to die than thus to live!

We have now dwelt with all the Cēra Kings mentioned in *Patirrup-pattu* and *Pura-nānūru*. From the foregoing account, we are in a position to continue the line of succession in the two branches, from where we have left before.

Vañci Branch.

- Kuţţuvan-Ceral or Kuţţuvan-Kodai.
- Cēramān Iļam-Kuttuvan.
- Pālaipādiya Perum-Kadumkō.
- Må-Venkö.
- Cēramān Vañcan.

Tondi Branch.

- 1. Atan-Avani.
- Yānaik-kaţ-Śēy Māntsram-Cēral.
- Kö-ködai Märban.
- 4. Kanaikkāl-Irumporai.

Though we have no means of knowing the exact relationship in which each succeeding

¹⁹ Puram. There is a fine play in Tamil, bearing the title Māna Vijayam, written by the late Pandit V. G. Suryanarayana Sastri, based on this incident.

ruler in the above succession list stood to his predecessor, I think we may safely suppose the correctness of the above order of succession in either branch. Kuttuvan-Ködai or Māk-Kōdai may be regarded as contemporaneous with Perum-Ceral Irumporai and to their period may perhaps be assigned Kāriyārruttuñciya Nedum-Killi besides possibly Côla Nalam-Killi Set-Cenni. Mā-Venkō and Yānaikkat-Śey were both contemporaries of the Cola Rājasūyamvētta Perunar-Killi; and Kō-Kōdai was contemporaneous with Valavan, whom I regard as the as the Cola of that name who died at Kulamurram.20 Lastly Kanaikkāl Irumporai and Colan Sen-Kanan were contemporaries. Thus the Sangam works enable us to gain a connected account of the Ceras for about twelve generations from Udiyan-Ceral to Kanaikkāl Irumporai; and during all this period the Cēra dynasty had continuous social or political relation, the latter sometimes friendly and sometimes hostile, with the Cola line of kings from Tittan's son, Porvaikko Perunar-Killi to Sen-Kanan, The statement found in some books that the Cera ascendency passed away in the course of one generation is wrong.21

²⁰ Puram, 373.

²¹ E.g. Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar's Beginnings of South Indian History, p. 131.

S. 4. Rule of Succession.

In our account of the succession, the rule of lineal descent from father to son has been followed. Recently it has been sought to make out that the rule of succession followed among the Sangam Cēras was collateral, not from father to son, but from uncle to nephew. This is a gratuitous attempt suggested, perhaps, by the prevalence of Marumakkattavam succession in modern Malabar. When and in what circumstances Marumakkattāyam law of inheritance came to be followed in Malabar, need not now be investigated; but it is quite certain that succession among Sangam Cēras was not regulated by Marumakkattāvam law. Mr. M. Srinivasa Aiyangar in his Tamil Studies22 cavalierly assumed that the Sangam Ceras were succeeded by their nephews or sister's sons; but the assumption fell flat on the Tamil world. Quite recently, however, Mr. Somasundara Bharati of the Annamalai University has revived the story.23 It seems to me that he has proceeded on a misunderstanding of the relevant texts in Patirrup-pattu. The portions bearing on the question appear in the patigams, and they are extracted below:-

உதிபஞ் சேரற்கு
வளிபன் வேண்மாணல்லினி மீன்ற மகன்

இமையமைரம்ப செடுஞ், சேரலா தன் Patirrup-pattu, II Patigam.

²² See p. 103 ff.

²³ See Sen Tamil, Vol. XXVII.

சேரலாதற்கு
வெளாவிக் கோமான்
பதுமன் நேலி பின்றமுகன்
....
களங்காய்க் கூண்ணி நார்முடிச் சேரல்
Patirrup-pattu, IV Patigam.

 கெடிஞ்சேரன தற்குச் சேரழன் மணக்கிள்ளி மீன்ற மகன்

> ... செங்குட்டுலன் Patirrup-pattu, V Patigam.

 செடுஞ்சேரலா தற்கு வெள வாளிக்கோமான் நெனி மீன் ந மகன்

> ஆடுகோட் பாட்டுச் சேதலாதன் Patients patte

Patigrup-pattu, VI Patigam.

5. அக்குவற் கொருகக்கை யீன்றமகள் பொறைபன் பெருக்கேவி மீன்ற மகன் செல்லக் கடுங்கேச வாழியாகன் Patirrup-pattu, VII Patigam.

6. செல்வக் கடுக்கோ வுக்கு வேளாவிக்கோமான் பதமன்றேலி மீன்ற மகன் பெருஞ்சேரலிரும்பொறை Patirrup-pattu, VIII Patigam.

 குட்டுவனிரும்பொறைக்கு மையூர்கிழாஅன் வேண்மாள் அந்துவஞ் சென்னே மீன்றமகன்

> ... இனஞ்சேச கிரும் பொறை

Patirrup-pettu, IX Patigam.

In the first extract Vēņmāṇallini is composed of Vēļ (ઉωσ) Māļ (ωτσ) and Nallini (πώσθωθ).

Māļ (ωτσ) means Magaļ (ωσσ), daughter, as

Man (usis) means Magan (usis) son. So the passage literally means: Imayavaramban Nedum-Ceralatan, the son born to Udiyan-Cēral by Nallini, the daughter of Veliyan Vēl, or Velivan, the Velir chief. The translation of the second extract is: Narmudic-Ceral, the son born to Cëralatan by Vël-Avikkoman Paduman-Dēvi, that is, Paduman-Dēvi, the daughter of Vel-Āvikkomān. It was not unusual, and even today in the Tamil country it is usual, to place before the name of the daughter a surname denoting her father. In the preceding extract we have Velivan Vel-mal Nallini. A classical example of this usage is supplied by the name of the authoress of Puram 83, 84 and 85, Perumköli Nāykan Magal-Nakkannaiyār.23 Dēvi does not mean here wife as it has been mistakenly construed; nor does it mean daughter, as contended by It is really a part of the name of the The text in the third extract apparently faulty as there is no lady mentioned in it, unless we take Manakkilli to denote a lady's name. Then Colan Manakkilli would mean Manakkilli whose father was the Cola. Silappadhikaram, however, tells us that Senkuttuvan's mother's name was Narconai, and I

²³a Cf. அஞ்சில் ஆக்கை மகள் காகையார்; Agam, 352. கழூர்க்கோணெடுற்றியார்; Agam, 163; 217;

²³⁵ and 294.

குமிழிஞரமுர் கப்பசபோபர் Agam, 160. பொதும்பில் புல்லானங் கண்ணியார் Agam, 154. பேப்மகள் இனவெயினி Puram, 11.

would, therefore, insert Narconai after Manakkilli to get the true reading. In the next extract Devi is the name of the lady, her father being Vēl-Āvikkomān; and she bore a son, Adu-kötpattu-Cēralatan to Nedum-Cēralatan. In the fifth extract Poraiyan Perum-Devi, the daughter of Orutantai bore Antuvan a son, Selvak-kadunkō. Here Poraiyan Perum-Dēvi may either be the name of the lady or, as is probable, it may mean the 'Queen of the Poraivan' or Cēra. In the next extract, the name is the same as in the second extract and the same explanation as that given in that connection will apply. The last extract says, Maiyūrkilān Vēnmāl Antuvan-Sellai bore Ilam-Cēral to Kuttuvan-Irumporai. It is clear that the name of the lady is Antuvan-Sellai, the daughter of Maiyūr-Kilān-Vēl. Reading the relevent portions, therefore, carefully, we see there is no warrant for saving that there is any trace in them to suggest that the succession was not linear, from father to son; but was collateral, from uncle to nephew.

In Patirrup-pattu,²⁴ Imayavaramban and Ilam-Cēral Irumporai are urged to rival the ancestors of their race and it will be difficult to believe that the ancestors whom they were exhorted to rival were not their paternal forefathers. Again Śelvak-kadunkō is addressed as

²⁴ Patirrup-pattu, 14 and 85. முன்றினே முதல்வர்போல கின்று.

சசன்றோர் பெருமகன்,25 the illustrious son of great ancestors and Senkuttuvan is described as இயயத்த வாவவர் மருள மலே விற் பூட்டிய வானவர் தோன்றல், " 'the descendant of the Cera who imprinted the mark of his bow on the Himalavas'. alluding to Imayavaramban. It will again be difficult to say that the references in these instances are not to direct lineage and ancestry. The advocate of Marumakkattavam succession among the Sangam Ceras would have us believe that Cera women belonged to a matriarchal family, but they were freely married by Vels and Colas, who were not Marumakkavali people. The children born of these marriages had no right to their father's estate, but perforce took their uncle's to the prejudice of their uncle's sons, whose mothers might quite conceivably have been daughters of Cola, Pandya and Velir families. The Cera's sons would, as a result, have no right in their parental family; nor would they have any in the families from which their mothers came, unless those families also followed Marumakkattäyam system. And nobody will suggest that the succession among the Colas, Pāṇdyas and Vēlir was from uncle to nephew and not from father to son.27

²⁵ Patirrup-pattu, 67.

²⁶ Silap., XXV, Il. 1-3.

²⁷ Sea also M. Raghava Aiyangar's Cēravēntar Tāyvoļakku and V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar's The Marumakkattāyam and the Šangam Literature, Z.1.1. Leipzig, IX, 3, pp. 255 ff.

S. 5. A strange view of Patirrup-pattu.

Before we conclude this account, which has been constructed solely from the Sangam classics, we may just glance at a strange assertion about Patirrup-pattu in which a recent critic has indulged.28 He says that all the decads of Patirrup-pattu or at least a good portion of it should be the work of a single author, and the redactor with no clue about the authorship, might have ascribed the poems to different poets. Or the redactor had a number of poems by various authors and he made a judicious selection and arranged them on a uniform plan. The marked difference in style, thought and literary execution that any one can easily see between one decad and another rules out the probability and even the possibility of the whole of Patirrup-pattu being the work of a single poet. If, however, it was the work of a single author, how was it that his very name had been forgotten? He could not have wantonly suppressed it. We are not told why a poet should have practised such a deliberate and calculated joke on the literary world. Surely his name and eminence as a poet would not have suffered if he had owned them as his; on the other hand, the indisputable merit of the poems would have at once won for him an enviable position of pre-eminence among the

²⁸ Vide The Chronology of the Early Tamils, by K. N. Sivaraja Pillai, p. 37.

ancient poets of the Tamil land. By the device that he has adopted, he has wilfully achieved obscurity; for even his very name is unknown. And was there even an absence of tradition about the authorship when the redaction was made? Speculations like this are unprofitable. Each decad has come to us as the work of a different poet; and the poems contain internal evidence to show that all the decads cannot have had the same authorship. Each decad professes to be a contemporary record of the achievements of its hero; and making full allowance for the predilections of court poets, one can still accept them as cogent contemporary documents, as there is not sufficient reason for questioning their authenticity.

S. 6. Kēraļotpatti.

I should say a word about Kēraļōt patti, which is regarded as the oldest account in Malayalam relating to Kēraļa history. It, however, seems to me that to glean history from this work is as hopeless as to seek for a needle in a haystack. Some one has not inappropriately, though with unnecessary severity of language, described it as a farrago of legendary nonsense. It is a work of about the 18th century; and it professes to tell us the story of Kēraļa from the time when at Parašurāma's command the country arose from out the azure main. The floating traditions on which the narrative was sought to be built were unhappily

far too hazy to present any picture in perspective; and thus even as a source-book of early Kēraļa history it cannot unfortunately be of any real use. It tells us that after a period of indigenous kings, who were generally incompetent, the people selected kings from the neighbouring countries and brought them to Kērala, on the understanding that each was to rule for twelve years! These foreign rulers who were brought into the country were known as Perumāls; and the earliest of them is said to be one Këya Perumāl, who made Allur or Kodungallur his capital. A suggestion has been made that this Perumāl is Perum-Šorru Udiyan-Ceralatan; but I see absolutely no warrant for this. It is said that after him were brought in succession into Kērala, Colaperumāļ, Pāṇḍi-perumāl, Cōliya or Kēralaperumāl, Talubham-perumāl, Indra-perumāl, Arya-perumal and others! It is obvious that the author had heard of invasions of Kerala by some Pändya, Cola and other neighbouring kings or chiefs, who probably retired to their territory after their raid; and from that he wove this fanciful list of Perumals brought by the people into Kerala to rule over the country! Even upon a most sympathetic consideration of the legendary mess presented in the pages of Kēralotpatti, all that has been claimed for the work is that it may supply materials 'to fill up some parts of the wide gap in the history of the country from the fourth to the ninth century

A.C. '29 The epoch we have been studying is, as will be shown later on, anterior to this period; and Kēraļōtpatti cannot, therefore, be of any use for our purpose, even supposing it were possible to make it serve the purposes of history.



CHAPTER VI.

Vañci-mūtūr.

S. 1.

Among the problems of ancient Cēra history, nothing is of greater interest or importance than the identification of Vañci, the capital of the ancient Cēra Kingdom.

Puram 11 conveys the information that a Cēra king, said to be Pālai-pādiya Perum Kadunko, was ruling in Vanci, where the cool waters of the Porunai flow; and the scholiast, of whom unfortunately nothing is known, explains Vañci as Karuvur, and Porunai as Anporuntam. This has recently given occasion for learned disquisitions by some scholars,1 who have tried to establish that Vanci is Karur in the Trichinopoly District. That Vanci is Kodum-kolur (Cranganore) of which Tiruvañci-kalam is a suburb, has been held as an undisputed axiom by Tamil scholars from the beginning; and the reasoning by which that view has recently been attempted to be controverted is more perplexing than convincing. I propose to state a few relevant facts of outstanding significance, that will help us in the solution of the question.

The close connection of Silappadhikāram and Manimēkalai with the Cēra country and

See for example Mahāvidvān R. Raghava Aiyangar's Vañcimānagar.

its capital city is well known; and it is worthy of note that, while they speak of Vanci or Vañci-mūtūr, they do not mention Karuvūr at all. Patirrup-pattu, which is devoted entirely to the praise of the Ceras, does not so much as even mention Karuvur, while it refers to Vanci-mutur. The Purananuru^{1*} collection. which contains many lyrics in praise of Cera kings, refers by name to Vanci; but it does not mention Karuvūr anywhere. Among the Aganānūru collection, two poems mention Vanci, and one mentions Karuvūr:2 and this is the only instance in ancient poetic literature, so far as the published works of the Sangam age go, of Karuvur appearing in the text. In Paripādal, no mention of Karuvūr is made; but Vañci³ occurs, and is described as of equal importance with Madura, and Köli (Uraiyūr). So too, in the Pattup-pattue collection, Vanci alone occurs; and its position in the Cera kingdom is described to be of the eminence as Madura in the Pandva kingdom and Urantai in the Cola kingdom. Kalavalinārpatu was composed by Poigaiyār to secure the release of Cēramān Kanaikkāl Irumporai, who had been made a captive by Colan Kō-Senkannan; and in that poem too, only

¹a See Puram, 11, 32, 39, etc.

² See Agam, 263, 396 and 93.

³ See p. 175 (ed. 1918).

⁴ See Śirupāņārruppadai, 1. 50.

Vañci occurs and not Karuvur. An examination of the Sangam works thus shows that the ancient poets knew the capital city of the Cera as only Vañci; and except in only one solitary lyric in Aganānūru,6 they have not mentioned Karuvür at all. Even this solitary instance need not be regarded as really an exception: for Karuvur, as it occurs there, may be explained as a descriptive name meaning simply the prominent or impregnable city, and need not be regarded as a proper noun. That the city which was known to the poets as Vañei and was celebrated by them under that name was not Karuvūr of the Trichinopoly district, also seems to be clear from Agam 263, where Karuvūr Kannampālanār sings of Vañci as the capital city of the Cera. Obviously Vañei and Karuvūr in Trichinopoly were regarded as two different places.

This is also seen from another fact we gather from ancient Tamil literature. That the Karuvūr region enjoyed the unenviable distinction of having frequently been the cock-pit of the Tamil country is patent from ancient works. Kongu-nāḍu was originally under its independent chief; but it afterwards passed into the possession of the rulers of each of the three Tamil kingdoms. Patirrup-pattu⁷

⁵ Kalavali, St. 39.

⁶ Agam, 93.

⁷ Patirrup-pattu, 22, 88 and 90.

shows that it once became subject to the Cēras. Puram 373 shows the territory had been conquered by the Colas; and Agam 253 shows that the Pandyas had also brought it under their sway. A place in a region would obviously be ill-suited for the metropolis of a flourishing kingdom like Cēra-nādu. Puram 5 is sung by a poet called Nariverūt-talaiyār in praise of a Cēra, whose name is given as Ceraman Karuvurēriya-Olvāt-Kopperum-Cēral-Irumporai. Cēral Irumporai must have gone to reign at Karuvūr, as his description signifies, from some other city which was the metropolis of the Cera kingdom; and there can be no doubt that that city was Vanci. I have stated that the extensive conquests towards the north made by Udiyan Cēralātan, Imayayaramban and Palvānai-selkelu-Kuttuvan, the three earliest Cera kings known to Tamil literature, necessitated the establishment of a vicerovalty at Karuvur, which as frontier town was perhaps coveted as a key position; and this Ceral Irumporai, apparently a junior member of the house, went there invested with palatine prerogatives about the close of Palyanai's reign. From that time, the Ceral Irumporais of whom we read in literature probably occupied the position of Cera viceroys of the north, with their seat of government at Tondi, a sea-port town on the West coast; but the Cera king himself had his capital at Vañci and not at Karuvur. To avoid

all possibility of confusion from the use of the expression Karuvūr in the Aganānūru lyric already referred to, the early Tamil lexicons, Pingalantai and Šēntan Divākaram explain by a separate sūtra⁵ that Karuvūr denotes Vañci. That sūtra was necessitated because of the occurrence of the unfamiliar word Karuvūr in a classical lyric; but, perhaps, owing to that very circumstance, later generations in course of time came to give the name Karuvūr the same currency as Vañci, little suspecting that it might lead long years afterwards to confusion.

Another fact that we gather from ancient Tamil classics may also be noticed here. Karuvūr of the Trichinopoly district is, as every one will admit, in what was known as Kongu-nāḍu and not in Malai-nāḍu. The third decad of Patirrup-pattu is written by Pālai-gautamanār, in honour of Palyānai-śel-keļu Kuṭṭuvan, the younger brother of Imaya-varamban-Neḍum-Cēralātan; and one of the poems in that section³ tells us that the hero of that decad effected the conquest of Kongu-nāḍu. Clearly then, Karuvūr of the Trichinopoly District could not have been the original capital of the Cēras; for the Cēras, as we know from literature, had already, before the conquest of

⁸ Pingala, p. 86 and Divakaram, P. 62.

⁹ Patirrup-pattu, 22.

Kongu-nādu, established their fame and power as a ruling dynasty in the Tamil country. Again, we gather from Agam 29 that Kāri, the chief of Mullūr, slew Öri, the chief of Kolli-malai, and delivered it to the Cēra; but Paraṇar who has sung in honour of Sen-Kuṭṭuvan refers in Agam 208 to Ōri as still lord of the Kolli mountains. These circumstances will show that Kongu-nādu and the adjacent region did not belong to the Cēras originally, but they were acquired by them only later. All this clearly indicates that the capital of the Cēra kingdom, Vañci-mūtūr, lay elsewhere than in Karuvūr situate in Kongu-nādu.

S. 2.

Though Vañei and Karuvür had come to be regarded as convertible terms, it did not, however, mean that, in the conception of the Tamil literary world, the capital of the Cëra kingdom was not Vañei in Malai-nāḍu, but was Karuvūr in Kongu-nāḍu. The commentators, who came several centuries after the Śangam period, when they explained Vañei as Karuvūr, took care also to state that it was not the Karuvūr of the Trichinopoly District. Thus Adiyārkku-nallār tells us that Vañei is Koḍuṅkölūr; ond Mahāmahōpādhyāya Dr. V. Swaminatha Aiyar, to whom all lovers of

¹⁰ See P. 19 of the Silap. (3rd ed.).

ancient Tamil literature owe an immense debt. of endless gratitude, writes the warning note that Karuvūr, the capital of the Cera, is the city in Malai-nādu and not the Karuvūr situate in Kongu-nādu. 11 Sēkkilār, the author of Periyapurānam, states clearly that Vañci is Koduńkölür, and that Karuvür in Kongu-nādu is a town of the Colas. 12 The inscriptions found in the latter place are all Cola inscriptions; and there is nothing in them or anywhere in literature that I know which attributes its origin and rise to the Ceras. When Tirujñanasambanda sang a hymn in praise of this place, called it Karuvūr-ānilai, obviously to distinguish it from Karuvūr or Vancı of the Cēras in the West coast. Modern Tamil lexicons, e.g., Abidhāna-cintāmani, explain that Vanci is Kodunkölür near Cochin. the place that Sundaramurti has in his Tēvāram, described as 'Ancaikkalam, of which Makodai on the sea-coast is the ornament,' and which in his day was the capital city of his royal friend, Ceraman Perumal, the well-known Saiva devotee. Makodai or Musiri in the seaport in the delta of the Periyar, the Tiruvaficālimukham of Kēralotpatti, and is a part of the ancient royal city which Laksmi-Dāsa

¹¹ Manimékalai, pp. 190-1, 376.

¹² Tiruttondar Puranam, Vellanai Sarukkam, St. 28.

in his Śukasamdēśa¹³ (c. 900 A.C.) calls Rājadhāni Mahōdayapuri, of which Tiruvañei-kaļam in the centre formed the royal residence. This ancient royal town extended from the bar at the coast to Tṛakaṇāmatilakam, about four miles inland north-eastward, the seat of a famous Śaiva shrine, now unfortunately destroyed, to which the temple at Guruvāyūr and other places were once subordinate. Another name for Tṛkaṇāmatilakam was Guṇapuri or Guṇaka apparently the Guṇavāyil of Śilappadhikāram; and in his description of the place, the author of the Śukasamdēśa employs in relation to the neighbourhood the expression Kanakabhavana¹⁴ which reminds one of the

" उत्तीर्णस्तामुद्धिद्धितामुत्तरेण कमेथा राजसतिद्धिपह्यरथानीकिर्नी राजधानीम् । राज्ञामाज्ञानियमितनृणामाननैस्रीरधाम्नां राजा राजेत्यवनियस्ये गीयते यन्निकेतः" ॥ Pürvasaiñidēša, Sl. 68 (p. 14).

14. "काम्याकल्पः कनकमवने यत्र चोक्तान्तसीम्नां भक्तंकुर्वन् जगति गुणकानाथ इत्युदकीर्तिः । आस्त्ये गौरीकल्हमनुशक्केश्व वेशाक्रनानां वीथीमिक्षाचरणविगुखश्चन्द्रलेखावतंसः॥" Uttarasaffidésa, Sl. 15 (p. 19).

¹³ Sukasaiidėša was published about 60 years ago by the late Višākham Tirunāl Mahārāja of Travancore in the J.R.A.S. The references given in this book are to the edition of the Mangalödayam Company, Trichur, in 1913. Šloka 68 describes Mahūdayapuri as follows:—

Āḍaka-māḍam of Śilappadhikāram. It is interesting to note that, immediately to the north of Cranganore, the modern anglicized name for Koḍunkōlūr, and forming its approach from the backwater leading to Ernakulam, there is a place bearing the significant name of Karūrpaṭaṇa or as it is more generally called Karūrpaṭaṇam, meaning probably the salt pans of Karuvūr. It is only four or five miles north of Tiruvañcikaṭam and this fact suggests that for some reason not now obvious, Tiruvañcikaṭam had also come to be known as Karuvūr.

These considerations lend strong support to the view that Vañci is Tiruvañcikalam. In Silappadhikāram, we read that Sen-Kuttuvan left Vanci-murram to go to the forests. It will be seen that Vañci-murram and Vañci-kalam have the same meaning. Besides, it is seen from that epic that, when Sen-Kuttuvan was about to start on his northern expedition, prasādam was brought to him from a neighbouring Vișnu temple situated at Adaka-mādam which, according to the commentator was the Trivandrum or at Iravipuram. temple at Trivandrum may be rejected as being far away; but Iravipuram was close to Tiruvañcikalam; for I am told that in a Malayalam Campu, Nārāyanīyam, (c. 1600) Tripunittura is called Ravigrāmam; and besides a portion of Ernakulam still bears the name Iravipuram.

¹⁴a Or does it mean 'the port of Karuvur'.

seems to me, however, that we need not travel even to Iravipuram for the temple; for as I have already stated, within the limits of Tṛkanāmatilakam was Kanakabhavana, though unfortunately the place is now in ruins. Besides, Kēralōt patti mentions in more than one place a pon-māḍam in Tiru-Kāriyūr, 145 a place close by, which the late Mr. Kanakasabhai attempted to identify with the Cēra capital.

S. 3.

It has, however, been objected to this identification that the name of the place near Cochin is properly Ancaik-kalam as that is the name which it bears in Sundarar's hymn. 15 No doubt, in Tamil Saivite literature, Tiruañcaikkalam is the consecrated name for the place; but I cannot regard this insignificant difference in spelling as a serious objection. Place-names often changed in form, owing to various reasons; and the change here by no means presents an insurmountable obstacle. In two Vatteluttu inscriptions found in the Siva temple at Tiruvañcikkalam, the name of the place is written as Tiruvañcak-kālam. Is it not possible that by a pardonable mistake va may have been regarded as a transformed. owing to rules of liaison or sandhi, and i changed into ai innocently in pronunciation,

¹⁴b Keraļāt, pp. 46-7. (1890 Ed. Mangalore).

¹⁵ VIIth Tirumurai.

or from false analogy? We know that there is a tendency for Tamil place-names to end in ai (c.f. Urantai, Karantai, Tanjai, Nellai, Anantai, Mailai, Kailai). Thus Tiruvañcik-kalam may have in course of time been unconsciously changed into Tiru-añcaik-kalam. However that be, the objection appears to me to be too trivial for serious consideration. It may also be noted that, in the inscriptions found in the Siva temple at this place, the name of the deity is given as Vañculēśa.16 For some reasons, which at this distance of time it is not possible to ascertain, Vanci, the ancient capital of the Cēras, also came to bear an alternative name Karuvūr: but, as stated above, it misled no Tamilian into believing that that Karuvūr was the town of that name in the Trichinopoly district. It may, perhaps, be that the alternative name of Karuvur for Vanci was known even in the second century of the Christian era, as Ptolemy (circa 150 A.C.) mentions Karoura as the royal seat of Kerobothras. If there were evidence that, even in those early days, the name Kodunkölür had become current, then we might posit that Ptolemy's Karoura had nothing to do with Karuvūr, but was a corruption of Kölür in Kodunkölür. In the absence of such evidence, we may regard Karoura as a corruption of Karuvur. Bishop Caldwell, influenced by the similarity of names, suggested the identification of Ptolemy's Karoura with

¹⁶ Vide Travancore Arch. Series, VI, pp. 191-2.

"Karur, an important town in Coimbatore district originally included in the Cera kingdom",17 and this wrong lead which the learned bishop unwittingly gave has now been followed by some scholars, with what I am compelled to regard as misdirected zest. Possibly when he suggested the identification, Dr. Caldwell had momentarily forgotten that Pliny in his Natural History (before 77 A.C.) had mentioned Muziris as the capital of the Ceras. After stating that Muziris was "the first emporium of India", he said: "The station for ships is at a distance from the shore and cargoes have to be landed and shipped by means of little boats. There reigned there, when I wrote this, Coelobothros". A few years after Pliny, the Periplus (circa 89 A.C.) contained the statement that Musiris "a city at the height of prosperity", was "two miles distant from the mouth of the river on which it is situated" and was "the seat of Government of the kingdom under the sway of Keprobotras". From the language of the classical writers, there can be no doubt that the two places Karoura and Musiris were not regarded as essentially different. By Karoura Ptolemy denoted, perhaps, the interior where the Cera's palace was, the present Tiruvañcik-kalam, while Muziris properly denoted Musiri or Makodai, the commercial mart or port about a mile and a

¹⁷ Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian Languages.

half lower down at the mouth of the Periyarthe Përiyaru of Patirrup-pattu18 or the Tiruvañcăli-mukham of Kēralōt patti and Pseudostomos of the Greek writers. The same fact that Musiri is the Cera's capital and sea-port is conveyed by Puram 343 where we read: "Sacks of pepper are brought from the houses to the market. The gold received from the ships in exchange for commodities sold is brought to the shore in barges at Musiri, where the roar of the surging sea never ceases and where Kuttuvan presents the rare products of the sea and the mountains to those that visit him".

S. 4.

If Vañei is Kodunkölür or Tiruvañeik-kalam, it must follow that the river Porunai or Ān-poruntam could not be the river Amarāvati as has been suggested by some, but should be the Periyār which falls into the sea on the southern side of Kodunkölür. Köṭṭaimukku, which is the site of an old fort at the north-eastern corner of Cranganore, is washed by the river Periyār on the south. The river is mentioned and described in Patiṛrup-pattu as the most important of the rivers in the Cēra kingdom. That Vañei is on the bank of the Porunai river is patent from Puṛanānūṛu,¹³ and Śilappadhikāram.²o Porunai is apparently

¹⁸ Stanzas 28, 43, 88, Agam, 149, Stap. etc.

¹⁹ Lyrics 11 and 387.

²⁰ Silan canto, 29.

the Tamil variant Parni in Tāmra-parni, for which river it is the recognized name in classical Tamil; and according to the lexicons an alternative name for Porunai is Poruntam. Tämraparni is, as is well known, a river of the Pāṇdya kingdom flowing east-ward; and obviously to distinguish the Porunai of the Cēra country from the Porunai of the Pandya kingdom, the prefix an was sometimes added to the former. Thus we have tan an porunai in Puram 36 and Agam 93, meaning, the cool An-porunai. These are the only two instances in old literature that I have come across, where the prefix appears. It has been suggested that in these instances an, is perhaps, a mistake for ār; but it is, I think, a needless suggestion. The ancients may have thought that both the Tāmraparni and the Periyār had the same source; and, indeed, their sources are not far distant from each other.21 They both rise, to use the words of Messrs. Ward and Connor. "in the Alpine chain of the peninsula separating the Tinnevelly district from Travancore"; and so both rivers come to bear the same name. Porunai; but to distinguish one from the other. the above particle was prefixed to the river in the west; and both Pingalantai and Divakaram mention a river Anporuntam, besides Porunai

²¹ The Tāmraparņi rises Agastya-malai, and the Periyār in Alitaimalai, probably the Ayirai-malai of the Sangam works.

or Poruntam. I may state here that Lakshmi-Dāsa in his Śukasamdēśa²² describes the river flowing near the Rājadhāni Māhōdayapuri as the sister of the Tamraparni. After the manner of Kālidāsa, Lakshmi-Dāsa employs a parrot as messenger to take his message to his Trkanāmatilakam or Gunapuram. wife at The expression occurring in the poem for the river is Cūrni. Perhaps it is a variant of Culli-āru, which is another name for Periyār, found in Sangam literature; and there still exists a place called Culli a few miles north of Kāladi. Or it is a mislection for Pūrna: for written in grantha characters, one may easily be mistaken for the other. I also learn that Sankara-Vijaya, wrongly attributed Vidyāranya, Kāladi the birth-place of Śri Sankara is said to be situate on the banks of the Purna river. This work, I am convinced, is spurious, being not more than a century old; but I refer to it only to show that in a Sanskrit work written very long before the present controversy arose the Perivar bears the name Pūrna. We may also note the evidence of Tirupūnatura or Tirupūrnaturai, the town situated on the holy Pürna river. Tirupünatura is the residence of the Mahārājas of Cochin.

²² Pūrvasaindēša, Śloka 65.

[&]quot;सा चाद्रे प्रवहति सरित् सोदरी ताम्रपण्यां-रचुणीं माहोदयपुरवपूरोजचूणीकृतोर्भिः"

Op. cit. (p. 14).

Accepting Annorunai or Annoruntam as an approved literary name for the river on which Vanci is situate, we have still no warrant for taking those names to denote the Amaravati river. The name Amaravati is unknown to the Sangam poets, lexicographers, or the commentators. It is said that in Karuvūr-Sthala Purānam, a recent work by a comparatively unknown author, a line occurs in which Amaravati is said to be otherwise known as Anporunai; and on this statement found in a work of fancy or imagination, is rested the momentous conclusion that Annorunai Amarāvati. Another reason given is that āmbhiram means the mango tree; and as the Pingalantai gives cūtam as a name for 'Anporuntam,22 and as cutam also means the mango tree, Amaravati and Anporunai are identical! I may add in passing that Divakaram does not mention Cūtam as one of the names of Apporuntam. All the lexicons—Pingalantai. Divākaram and Cūdāmani—agree in mentioning Ani-vani as a name for Anporuntam; but it is significant that Amaravati is not mentioned anywhere as an alternative name.

We thus see that the evidence alike of ancient and medieval Tamil literature, of ancient Cera history and of approved and long established Tamil tradition points to the

²³ Ch. IV, P. 99.

conclusion that Vañci is Tiru-Vañcikalam or Koḍum-kōlūr; and the recent attempt to unsettle this view by drawing a red herring across the track is, it seems to me, gratuitous.



CHAPTER VII.

The Date of the Sangam Epoch.

S. 1.

What is the approximate date of the Sangam period? The question has proved to be one of the greatest puzzles of South Indian chronology, if we are to judge by the bewildering diversity of answers given to it. Indeed, one cannot help thinking that the methods of investigation that have been pursued must have been vitiated by some radical defect, when one notices that all possible dates from before the first to the tenth century after Christ have been assigned with greater or less confidence to the Sangam period. For example, the Encyclopadia Britannica informs us that the 'Augustan age of Tamil literature,' as the late Dr. Caldwell called this period, is to be placed somewhere between the ninth or tenth century and the thirteenth century A.C. Mr. L. D. Swamikannu Pillai and the officers of the Madras Archæological department tell us that we should seek for the Sangam period in the seventh or eighth century A.C. Pandit Raghava Aiyangar of the Tamil Lexicon Office has attempted to place the Sangam period in the fifth century A.C. Other scholars, of whom I may particularly mention the late Mr. Kanakasabhai Pillai, Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar, and Mr. Srinivasa Pillai of Tanjore,1

¹ Tamil Varalāru in 2 Vols.

have maintained that the Sangam period should be sought for in the second century A.C. There are yet others who would look for the Sangam period before the Christian era. The expounders of every one of these views are scholars of proved ability and established reputation; but obviously all of them cannot be right, and possibly all of them may be wrong. Each one has attempted to carry on research along his own line, and to state results independently of others; and everybody knows that the pursuit of special lines of investigation easily tends to beget prejudices, and in the statement of results it is not always easy to avoid the fallacies due to individual prepossessions.

In examining the various answers returned to the question, we should remember that a hypothesis, however high the authority for it. can have no significance, if it has no real connection with the facts which it is supposed to explain. Nor can the validity of a hypothesis be inferred from its agreement with a single fact alone. It is a rule of inductive logic that a hypothesis is valid in proportion to the number and variety of facts which it is able to explain. In other words, the guarantee for the validity of a hypothesis consists in the consilience of results. A hypothesis may be accepted as reasonably established, when a number of independent facts point towards it as the one conception fitted to bring them all into intelligible relation. It will be my attempt

here to test the various dates that have been advanced, and see how far they satisfy this rule.

S. 2. The Ninth or the Tenth Century Theory.

In spite of the high authority of the Encyclopædia Britannica, the view there expressed may be rejected as obsolete. That view was first stated about a century ago by the Rev. Dr. Caldwell, when the materials for the investigation of the problem were extremely scanty. In his article in the ninth edition of the Encyclopædia Brittannica, Dr. Rost repeated that view: and that article has been bodily reproduced in the eleventh edition of that work. When Dr. Rost wrote his article, the old Sangam works, excepting the immortal Kural, had not been made available in print; but since then, many of the Sangam works have been published, and much valuable research has been made; and it is strange that the literary and historical material since brought to light has been totally ignored by the editors of the eleventh edition of Encyclopædia Britannica. How far out of focus is the date given in the Encyclopædia Britannica will be patent if we remember that upon that view the Sangam age will be posterior by several centuries to the earlier Saiva hymnists, Jñānasambandar and Appar, who were the contemporaries of the great Pallava Narasimha I, the destroyer of Vātāpi. The

late Prof. Sundaram Pillai of Trivandrum thoroughly exposed the unsustainability of this hypothesis in his "Some Mile-stones in Tamil Literature"; and one can only express one's wonder, not unmixed with pain, that the error should still persist, and find its way into some works, intended to be of authority, like Frazer's Literary History of India and the Imperial Gazetteer of India.

S. 3. The Seventh or the Eighth Century Theory.

If the view expressed in the Encyclopædia Britannica may be summarily dismissed as out of date, the view expressed by Mr. Swamikannu Pillai cannot be dealt with so easily. He is a recognized authority on astronomical calculation; and it is with his assistance that the dates of many of the South Indian kings mentioned in inscriptions have been determined. service that he has done to South Indian chronology is incalculable; and naturally, therefore, a date fixed by him as the result of astronomical calculation will prima command acceptance. And when to his personal authority, which is deservedly high, is added the fact that his date has won ready acceptance among the experts of the Government Archæological department and some other scholars, his view would appear to be too well entrenched

² Published in the Madras College Magazine. Republished in the Tamilian Antiquary now defunct.

to be easily assailed. From certain astronomical data found in Silappadhikaram and the eleventh Paripādal, both Sangam works, he tells us3 that the former work cannot be anterior to 23rd July 756, and the latter must have been written after 17th June 634. The startling definiteness of the dates arrests attention, and tempts, not to say compels, acceptance. 'To beard the lion in his den, the Douglas in his hall' were, perhaps, less hazardous than to oppose Mr. Swamikannu Pillai on the question of an Indian date which he has fixed by calculation; nevertheless, with due deference, I venture to state that his dates in this matter cannot be accepted. To place the Sangam period between the middle of the seventh and the middle of the eighth century would be to ignore altogether the political condition of Tamil India as depicted in the Sangam works, and of the rest of India in that period as now known to us. From the time of Simhavishnu (c. 575-600 A.C.) the Pallava supremacy was the most outstanding fact of South Indian history for nearly three centuries. The period indicated by Mr. Swamikannu Pillai is covered by the reigns of, among others, Mahendra Varman I, Narasimhavarman I, Paramēśvaravarman I. Narasimhavarman II. and Nandi Varman II. all Pallavas of Kāñci; and during this period of Pallava domination. the Ceros and Coles and to some extent

³ The Indian Ephemeris, Vol. I, pp. 100-109.

the Pāṇḍyas did not count for anything practically. The Śangam works make no reference to the Pallavas at all; not one Pallava king, great or insignificant, is even casually mentioned in those works. On the other hand, a large number of Cēras, Cōlas and Pāṇḍyas, with names some of which may be regarded as unpronounceable and almost forbidding, and many feudatory chiefs under them are sung about by the Śangam poets.

It is significant that not one Pandya or Cola king of the seventh or eighth century whom the inscriptions have brought to light is referred to in the Sangam works, while they belaud the prowess and munificence of a host of kings and chieftains that ruled and exercised authority over the Tamil kingdoms. No one would have the temerity to say that the Tamil kings and their achievements detailed in the Sangam works could be made to fit into the epoch of the Pallava ascendancy. These rulers must necessarily belong to a prior age. About the middle of the seventh century the Pandya king of Madura was Kun Pandya alias Ninraśīr Nedu-Māran, and the Pallava king of Kāñci was Narasimha Varma I; while about the middle of the eighth century, the Pandya and the Pallava kings were respectively Jatila Neduñjadaiyan Parantaka, the donor of the Velvikudi grant, and the well-known Nandivarman Pallava Malla. The Velvikudi plates, the text of which was first published

by Mr. K. G. Sankar, in the Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society, Bangalore, mention the Pandyan Mutu Kudumi of the Sangam period as a remote ancestor of Ninraśīr Nedu-Māran or as he is called in the grant, Māra Varman the victor at Nelvēli. This Nedu-Māran was converted to Saivism by Jñānasambanda, whose date is now definitely settled. Jñānasambanda and his elder contemporary Appar, who is said to have converted to Saivism the Pallava Mahendra Varman, son of Narasimha I, have in their Tēvāram hymns referred to the Cola king Sen-Kannan, with reverential devotion; and it is seen from the references that by the time of the two hymnists, a hoary and consecrated legend had become woven about the name of that Cola monarch. Dr. Hultzsch thinks that even at the time of the earliest of the dynastic Colas brought to light by epigraphical research, Sen-Kannan must have been only a name; and we see from the Tiruvālangādu plates of Rājēndra Cōla I, that Karikāla Cōla 'of extensive glory' was an ancestor 'emperor' Kō-Sen-Kannan. All this indisputably shows that we should seek for the Tamil kings of the Sangam era long before the middle of the seventh century.

Besides, as already stated, the middle of the seventh century coincides with the date of

⁴ S.I.I., Vol. III, Pt. III

Jñanasambanda and Appar, and their royal disciples Ninrasir Nedu-Māran and Mahēndra Those were the days when the Jains were most mercilessly persecuted both in the Pandya and the Pallava country. It is difficult to believe that it was during this period of bitter persecution, that the growth of classical Tamil literature went on apace, mainly under Jain auspices; for everybody conversant with Sangam literature knows to what great extent we are indebted to the Jains in that respect. The Sangam age witnessed a predominance of the Jains in Tamil letters. The author of Silappadhikāram was a Jain: while his brother, the Cera King, Sen-Kuttuvan, was a Saivite. It is patent that there was then perfect religious toleration, and the differences in religious belief did not break asunder the bonds of family; much less did they affect the amenities of social life. Again to look for the Sangam period in the seventh and the eighth centuries will be to regard the Sangam poets as contemporaries of the Saiva hymnists and the Vaisnava Alvars; and to do so would be to ignore the evidence supplied by the language, matter and verse-form and metre employed in the works of the Sangam and the hymnal period respectively.

Apart from the historical difficulties noticed above, it has also been shown that none of the dates supplied by Mr. Swamikannu Pillai can really be obtained from the astronomical

data given in Silappadhikāram and Paripādal. In a paper on 'The Date of Silappadhikāram' which I published in 1917 in the Madras Christian College Magazine, I showed that in spite of Mr. Swamikannu Pillai's emphatic statement that 756 A.C. satisfies all the astronomical conditions mentioned in Silappadhikāram, not even one condition could, without very material alteration, be made to apply to that year. Similarly, in regard to 634 A.C. which that distinguished scholar has arrived at from astronomical data found in XI Paripādal, Mr. S. Somasundara Desikar of Tiruarur has examined its correctness in a series of articles contributed by him to Sen Tamil,5 the organ of the Madura Tamil Sangam, and demonstrated its inaccuracy. Mr. K. G. Sankar, in a learned contribution to the Journal of Oriental Research,6 has shown that on 27th July 17 A.C. the major planets were exactly in the positions attributed to them in the Paripadal text and there was also coincidence of lunar eclipse and Agastyodayam, as required by the text.

Mr. Swamikannu Pillai seeks support for his date from the mention of a week-day—Friday—in Silappadhikāram⁷; and there are others who say that the mention of solar signs in Paripāḍal and in Manimēkalai prove that they are late works. I have dealt with this

⁵ Vol. 20, pp. 182-188; Ib. 22, pp. 301 ff.

⁶ J.O.R., IX. pp. 148-155.

⁷ Canto XXIII, 1. 135.

subject elsewhere in some detail.8 The argument is that India borrowed the planetary week-days and the solar signs from the Greeks at some time not earlier than the fifth century after Christ. It is said that the earliest known genuine instance of the use of a planetary week-day is afforded only by the Eran inscription of Budha-Gupta, which has been assigned by Dr. Fleet to 484 A.C.; and according to that great authority, there was no general practice of using the planetary names of days till the eighth century. From this it is argued that the composition of Silappadhikāram may be as late as the eighth or the ninth century. Vol. III of Dr. Fleet's Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, which contains the inscriptions of the early Guptas and their successors, besides the Eran inscription, there are only two other inscriptions, the Verawal inscriptions of 1246 and 1264, that mention a planetary week-day: would we be justified in saving from this that till the middle of the thirteenth century the people in the regions of the early Guptas and their successors were not familiar with the use of planetary week-days? As a matter of fact, the planetary week-days seem to have been known in India centuries before the fifth century. The expression Vāra, which imports a regulated division of the month, occurs in Atharva

⁸ Vide The Date of Śilap., (Madras Christian Magazine, Sep. 1917); Solar Signs in Indian Literature, (Q.J.M.S., 1922).

Jyotisha. In Paithāmaha Samhitā, which is said to be of the same type as Vedanga Jyotisha,9 Tuesday is said to occur. In Gathasaptasati. which is attributed to Hala Satavahana, and which Sir R. G. Bhandarkar thinks was either written by Hāla or was dedicated to him, we come across Angārakavāra (Tuesday). We have to place Hala probably in the closing years of the first century B.C. or the opening years of the first century A.C. Aryadeva (c. second century) employs week-days. The Hitopadeśa mentions Bhattāraka vāra or Sunday. In the Vaikhānasa Dharmasūtra (c. third century) Budhavara or Wednesday is mentioned. Yājñavalkya mentions planetary days. Matsya Purana, which is regarded as the earliest of the Puranas, is not only cognizant of the planetary week, but also deals with astral theology, for a chapter is devoted to the worship of the Sun on Adityavāra.10

In southern India, Tiruvalluvar, who is considerably anterior in date to the authors of Silappadhikāram and Manimēkalai, has a couplet in his Kuraļ¹¹ which indicates the adoption of the seven-day week. One of Jñānasambanda's patigams in the Tēvāram collection mentions all the days of the week in their

⁹ Now published by Dr. R. Shama Sastri in the Mystore Government Series.

¹⁰ Ch. 70.46; Ch. 253.7.

¹¹ செருந்ற சென்குமெல் காதலர் பாழு மெழுகானே மேனி பசக்கு Kupal, 1278.

order;12 and it is clear from that passage that in the minds of the people each day of the week had a well-understood beneficent or malignant influence associated with it. As regards the solar sign, Baudhayana, whom Prof. A. B. Keith places in the fifth century B.C., and Aryadeva (c. century A.C.) mention the zodiacal signs; and so do also some of the Smrtis. Even supposing that the planetary names of the week-days and the solar zodiac were borrowed by India from elsewhere, literary evidence shows that it must have been long before the fifth century of the Christian era. It has been conclusively established that there was extensive intercourse and traffic between India and Babylonia and Assyria; and the recent discoveries at Harappa in the Punjab and at Mohenjo-Daro in Sind, prove the existence in India in the remote past of a civilization and culture closely akin to those of the Sumerians. The borrowing, if indeed there was a borrowing, may well have been from the Babylonian or Chaldean astrologers direct: and that is the view of the late Shankar Balakrishna Dikshit. In any event, India need not have waited till the fifth century A.C. to borrow this knowledge. Indeed Dr. Fleet himself practically concedes this when he admits in his article on Hindu chronology in the elevently edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica that

¹² வேயு அதோனி Patigom, ஞாயிற திங்கள் செல்**யாப்** புதன் கியாழம் வெள்ளி கனி பாம்போண்டு முடனே யாசது சுல்ல எல்லவனை சன்ல சன்ல வடியார்க்கு மிகவே

some of the astronomical books perhaps postulate an earlier knowledge of 'the lords of the days', and other writings indicate a still earlier use of the period of seven days.¹³

S. 4. The Fifth Century Theory.

The fifth century has been suggested as the date of the Tamil Sangam by Pandit M. Raghava Aiyangar in his scholarly monograph on Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan. His argument has been largely influenced by a mislection of the Samudragupta inscription on the Aśoka Pillar at Allahabad, for which Dr. Fleet was originally responsible. The text of the inscription as published by Dr. Fleet contained the words Kauralaka-Mantaraja, and Dr. Fleet thought that Kauralaka must be a mistake for Kairalaka, and he translated the expression as Mantaraja of Kerala. Following this interpretation, the learned Pandit cast about to discover what he thought was confirmation in Tamil literature of Samudragupta's supposed invasion of the Kerala kingdom. He equated Mantaraja with Mäntaram Ceral of Sangam literature, and noticing in an Ahanānūru lyric reference to a military expedition by Vampa Moriyar14 he stated that the expression Vampa Moriyar meant the 'new Mauryas' or Guptas. Unfortunately for this reasoning, Dr. Kielhorn,

¹³ See also my 'Solar Signs in Indian Literature' (O.J.M.S., 1922).

¹⁴ Aham, 251.

in studying the Aihole inscription, identified Kunala therein mentioned with the 'Kaurala' of the Allahabad inscription, and pointed out that Kaurala was a misreading. The proper rendering of the passage was settled by Dr. Kielhorn and Dr. Fleet in 1898; and it is now understood by all that the reference in the Allahabad inscription is to Samudragupta's victory over the king who was reigning over the region round Kollera or Colair lake. Nobody has yet claimed for Samudragupta conquest of any territory, south of Kānci; and Professor J. Dubreuil is of the definite opinion that Samudragupta did not advance south of the Krishna. However, this reasoning has now been given up by the learned Pandit, as in the second edition of his Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan, he has omitted his arguments based on Vampa Möriyar.15

Some have sought to make out that Sangam literature cannot have been anterior to the fifth century, as Manimēkalai makes a reference to the Gurjaras. The expression Kuccara Kudigai¹⁶ occurs in Manimēkalai but in my view it has been wrongly interpreted to mean 'a building in the achitectural style of the Gurjaras'. I understand the expression to mean 'a hut fashioned

¹⁵ Vide my article on The Rosar and the Vamba Morivar. (O.J.M.S., 1924).

¹⁶ Canto XVIII, 1. 145.

being a corrupt form of Kudhra (53) a rock. The context seems to leave no room for doubt that this is the meaning. Prince Udayakumaran, in spite of Manimekalai having become a Buddhist nun, lecherously seeks her in a dharmaśāla where she was serving food to the poor. She at once suspects him, and entering an inner apartment transforms herself with the help of a mantra into a strange lady, and then comes out. The prince does not recognize her, and after a vain search in the inner apartment, leaves the place. It is to denote this inner apartment that the expression Kuccara Kudigai is employed in the poem. 17

It has also been sought to make out that canto 29 of Manimēkalai shows that, that work must have been written after Dinnāga; but this view has been successfully controverted by Professors S. S. Suryanarayana Sastri, 18 K. A. Nilakanta Sastri and S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar. 20

¹⁷ Vide my article "The Gurjaras and Manimēkalai", X, Q.J.M.S., 186.

¹⁸ J.I.H., VIII, 322 sqq.

¹⁹ Cölas, I. p. 72.

²⁰ Intro. to his Manimēkalai in its Historical Setting. Vide also my article on the Date of Manimēkalai in J.O.R., Vol. iii. There is a comparative study of great erudition under the title Niyāyap-piravēśa and Manimēkalai, published as a serial by Pandit Tirunārāyana Iyangar, the learned Editor of Šen Tamīl, in Vols. XXXII and XXXIII of the Journal.

S. 5. The Second Century Theory.

The theory that assigns the Sangam epoch to the second century A.C. falls next to be considered; and if it explains, as I shall presently show it does, facts gatherable from the Sangam writings in such manner as no other date so far considered does, no a priori consideration of the remoteness of the date should deter us from accepting it. I have already referred to the astronomical data found in two of the Sangam works: and there is no reason to suppose that the authors of those works were only romancing when they mentioned those data. Taking the astronomical details found in Silappadhikāram. I have pointed out in my paper21 on the "Date of Silappadhikāram" that 171 A.C. will thoroughly satisfy the conditions in the text for the great fire that consumed Madura. In 171 A.C. Adi twenty-sixth was Friday; Krsna Saptami ended and Astami began 25 gh. 43 p. after sunrise, and Bharani star ended and Kārtigai began at 49 gh. 57 p. after sunrise. Thus twenty-sixth Adi 171 A.C. will fit in exactly for the fire at Madura; and if 171 be accepted as the date of the fire, then Sen-Kuttuva Cēra must be taken to be living at that time. Let us see if this hypothesis will satisfy other facts relevant to our inquiry. Sangam literature discloses that when Sen-Kuttuvan was reigning, Musiri was a flourishing

²¹ Op. cit., Madras Christian College Magazine, 1917.

seaport, frequented by foreign ships. Pliny, who wrote his geography about 80 A.C., says that Musiri was unsafe for ships to call at, owing to the existence of pirates; but apparently that danger had ceased to exist by the time of Ptolemy who died about 161 A.C.; for he speaks of that seaport as a great emporium, which it certainly was in Sen-Kuṭṭuvan's time. Sen-Kuṭṭuvan was a king of great prowess; and one of the titled names Kaḍalōṭṭia Vēl-Keļu-Kuṭṭuvan or Kaḍal-pirakkōṭṭia-Sen-Kuṭṭuvan, by which Sangam poets refer to him is reminiscent of a decisive naval engagement, which, perhaps, resulted as already opined in driving away the pirates from the coast.

Again the value of synchronisms in fixing dates in Indian history is well known; and the matter contained in Silappadhikāram affords scope for several applications of that method. That epic recounts that Sen-Kuttuvan went on an expedition to North India, in which he was assisted by his ally Nurravar Kannar, that on that occasion he fought a battle on the banks of the Ganges, where he was opposed by the combined army of certain "Aryan" princes, among whom Vijaya, son of Balakumara, Rudra and others are mentioned; and that, after defeating the allied Aryan forces he returned with a slab of stone from the trans-Gangetic region for fashioning the image of Kannaki-the nattini-dēvi or wife-goddesswhich he intended to consecrate in a temple

to be built in her memory and honour. At the consecration which the author of the poem attended, the epic tells us that kings of various countries were present, and among them was Gayabāhu, king of Ceylon; and Gayabāhu, on returning to his country, ordered the erection of a shrine in honour of pattini-devi and ordained the annual celebration of a festival for her in the month of Adi. Now, nobody will question that for an invasion of the north by the Cera King, the political condition not only in the other Tamil kingdoms but also outside Tamil India should be exceptionally weak and perturbed; and if we examine the political history of ancient India, there seem to be, so far as the materials now available go, only two or three periods when the Tamils could have marched into North India with any degree of SILCCESS.

Going not further back than the third century B.C., we can state definitely that such an invasion could not have been possible in the times of Chandragupta Maurya, Bindusāra and Aśoka. It could not have occurred in Pushyamitra's time. It could have taken place between Aśoka's death and Pushyamitra's accession, perhaps; that is between 234 and 184 B.C. The period of the later Sungas appears to have been one of confusion; but the Sātavāhanas or Sātakarnis were already attempting to become powerful, and by the close of the first

century B.C., they seem to have supplanted the Kanvas, and in the early years of the second century A.C. Gautamiputra Śri Śātakarni is seen from the Nasik inscription22 to have succeeded in defeating the Ksaharatas and annexing their territory. So another date for the northern invasion might be found, after Pushyamitra's long and eventful reign, possibly in the disturbed and confused period of the later Sungas and Kanvas, that is between c. 148 B.C. and the closing years of the first century B.C., provided the Andhras or Satavāhanas would have presented no obstacle. After Gautamiputra Śri Śātakarni (c. 109 to c. 135 A.C.) came Pulumāyi who is said to have reigned for about thirty years. He came into collision with Rudradaman I, the Saka Satrap of Ujjain, who took from him most of the territory which Gautamiputra Śri Śātakarni had won from the Ksaharatas (Girnar inscription); but Gautamiputra Yajña Śri (c. 173 to 202 A.C.) seems to have again defeated the western Satraps and recovered some of the lost provinces. Rudradāman's aggrandizement is held to have been about 150 A.C. and perhaps, between that date and the date of Yajña Śri's accession, the Śātavāhanas were not powerful and could not have successfully opposed a southern army in its northward march. With the close of Yaina Śri's reign, we enter on the third century

²² Ep. Ind., VIII, p. 61.

which, in the words of Mr. Vincent Smith,²³ "is one of the dark spaces in the spectrum of Indian history, and almost every event of that time is concealed from view by an impenetrable veil of oblivion". In this dark century too an invasion of North India might have taken place.

The fourth and the fifth century of the Christian era is the well-known period of the mighty imperial Guptas; and as Dr. Jouveau Dubreuil observes, in his Ancient History of the Deccan, the fifth century is the century of the Vākāṭaka dynasty,24 which, the learned doctor affirms, is the most glorious and the most important of the dynasties of the Deccan between the third and the sixth century. By the sixth century we are in the period of the powerful Pallavas and Chālukyas who, till the latter were overthrown by the Rashtrakūtas in 753 A.C., were striving against each other for the mastery of the South. It is clear that the political conditions in the fourth and the succeeding four centuries so far as now known were not at all favourable to an attempt by a Cera king to invade Northern India: and there is no need to pursue our analysis further. Now if the fire at Madura occurred in August 171 A.C., and therefore Sen-Kuttuvan was ruling then, how would it

²³ Early History of India (1924), p. 226.

²⁴ See Ch. IV. A reference in this connection may be made with profit to K. P. Jayaswal's History of India.

agree with the political situation we have been examining? The poem tells us that Sen-Kuţţuyan started on his northern expedition on hearing of Kaṇṇaki's apotheosis after the fire, and after he had ascertained through his spies that Nūṛruvar Kannar had promised to assist him and desired to maintain friendly relations with him. Nūṛruvar Kannar can be no other than Śātakarṇi; and we may conclude that Śen-Kuṭṭuvan and Śātakarṇi entered into a treaty for mutual assistance.

We learn from the poem that Sen-Kuttuvan had been away from his state for thirty-two months, when he was on the bank of the Ganges. We may consequently suppose that about the beginning of 175 A.C. the Cera king was occupying the bank of the Ganges. This synchronizes with the period when Yajña Śri Sätakarni would have been seeking the aid of a friendly power to regain from the Satraps the territory lost by his ancestor Pulumāvi. Thus if we hold that Sen-Kuttuvan was, during a portion of his long reign, contemporaneous with Yajña Śri, we will be able to explain satisfactorily his northern invasion, which, while it served the Cera's object, must also have afforded material assistance to the Sätakarni in vanquishing the Satrap. We are told that at the battle of the Ganges, several northern princes were ranged against Sen-Kuttuvan and his ally; and one of the opposing princes was Vijaya, son of Bālakumāra. I suggest that

Bālakumāra is Ptolemy's Baleokouros. I know that it has been suggested by some historians that Baleokouros was probably one of the Śātavāhanas. The surmise may be unfounded; but there can be no doubt that he was historically connected with the Satavahanas: and as Ptolemy mentions him in his geography as a contemporary ruling prince, he must have been in existence before 160 A.C. His son may well have been among the princes that opposed Sen-Kuttuvan at the battle of the Ganges. Yajña Śri himself was according to the Matsyapurāna25 succeeded by a Vijaya; but it is not stated how they were related. Can that Vijaya be the Vijaya mentioned in Silappadhikāram; and if so, was he an usurper, or did he come of a collateral line to which, perhaps, Baleokouros or Bălakumăra belonged? Then, another of the princes that Sen-Kuttuvan defeated at the battle of the Ganges was Rudra; and about this time we have Rudrasimha, if not also Rudrasena of the Satraps. from whom Yajña Śrī must have recovered his lost territory. My suggestion is that the battle of the Ganges was fought by Yajña Śri and his ally against the forces of the Satraps and their allies.

There is also another important synchronism that has to be considered; for, Silappadhikāram informs us that among the various kings

²⁵ Ch. 273, 15.

that attended the consecration of the image of Pattini-dēvi, Gajabāhu, the king of Ceylon, was one. According to the Mahavamsa, Gajabahu was reigning between A.C. 173 and 191. Rājavali says that Gajabāhu took with him some relics of Pattini-devi to Ceylon; and this lends material corroboration to the statement in the poem that on his return Gajabahu ordered a shrine to be constructed and an annual festival to be celebrated in his dominion in honour of Pattini-Kadavul or Pattini-devi. This account enables us to explain the hold that the tradition of Pattini-devi, the 'wife-goddess', has long had on the people of Cevlon, where as Dr. A. K. Commaraswamy observes²⁶ some of the images in temples that depict the old art of that island are those of the apotheosised wife.

S. 6. Conclusion.

Thus we see that 171 A.C. as the date of the fire at Madura satisfies the test afforded by a consilience of results; and we may therefore reasonably conclude that Sen-Kuṭṭuvan who was then the king of the Cēra kingdom must be assigned to the second century A.C.; and as according to Silappadhikāram he had been fifty years on the throne when he built and consecrated the temple of Pattini-dēvi, his reign must have begun in the first quarter of that century. It may be noted that the remarkable

²⁶ J.R.A.S., 1909, p. 292.

concurrence of testimony between Sangam works and the Periplus on the conditions of maritime trade in the Indian seas considerably strengthens this conclusion. The latest attempt to determine the chronology of the Sangam is by Mr. K. N. Sivaraja Pillai, sometime senior lecturer in Tamil in the University of Madras, in his recent book The Chronology of the Early Tamils.²⁷ Mr. Sivaraja Pillai has scant faith in the historical sense of those who have worked on the basis of the Sen-Kuttuvan-Gajabāhu synchronism, because forsooth Silappadhi-kāram and Mahāvamśa, are likely to twist and pervert historical facts as the result of artistic and religious motives.

I have always held that the Sen-Kuṭṭuvan-Gajabāhu synchronism cannot be lightly rejected, simply because these two works are not professedly works of secular history. Such rejection of relevant evidence on a priori grounds is not sound; and the scientific inquirer must be prepared to accept light from whatever source it may proceed. The value of synchronism in fixing dates in early Indian history is well known. I cannot reject the Sen-Kuṭṭuvan-Gajabāhu synchronism as fanciful. Gajabāhu is seen to have been reigning between 173 and 195 A.C.; and we may reasonably postulate that the building and consecration of the temple was

²⁷ Published in 1932.

about 176 A.C. As Sen-Kuttuvan had been on the throne for 50 years when he built the temple. he may be held to have ascended the throne about 125 A.C. In our present state of knowledge. I have no hesitation in regarding this as the 'sheet anchor' of early South Indian history; and I am strengthened in this view by the result arrived at by Mr. Sivaraja Pillai, who had conducted the inquiry along lines which he claims to be more reliable and less objectionable. I have already stated that Sen-Kuttuvan helped Nalam-Killi-Sēt-Cenni to gain the throne of the Cola kingdom; and the synchronistic table accompanying Mr. Pillai's book assigns this Cola to the period 100 to 125 A.C. Set-Cenni's period may as well be 125 to 150 A.C., for Mr. Pillai's date is only conjectural, after all. When we remember that in that table the conventional 25 years' period for each king is what is adopted, the coincidence between our results will admitted to be remarkable. As the Sangam period did not obviously begin and close with the reign of Sen-Kuttuvan, but there were several generations of kings and poets of the Sangam age both before and after him, we may for the present hold as a safe hypothesis that the Sangam epoch covered the first three centuries of the Christian era. This is the view I have all along maintained; and this is the considered conclusion of the late

Mr. Kanakasabhai. This is also the view adopted by Dr. Krishnaswami Aiyangar and Prof. Nilakanta Sastri in their works and in the Cambridge History of India.²⁸



²⁸ See also Dikshitar, Studies in Tamil Literature and History, Ch. I.

CHAPTER VIII.

Chronology of the Sangam Ceras.

I propose to take 125 A.C. as the first year of Sen-Kuṭṭuvan's reign, and with that as the starting point ascertain, with the help of the materials at our disposal, the dates of Sen-Kuṭṭuvan's predecessors and successors.

According to Patirrup-pattu, the following kings had, before Sen-Kuttuvan, reigned for the period mentioned against each.

> Imayavaramban Nedum-Ceralatan 58 years. Palyanai Selkelu-Kuttuvan 25 years. Kalankai-kanni Narmudicceral 25 years.

So, counting back from 125 A.C., the year when Sen-Kuttuvan's reign commenced, we see Imayayaramban Nedum - Cēralātan must have begun his reign in c. 17 A.C., Palvānai Selkelu-Kuttuvan in c. 75 A.C. and Kalankāik-kanni Nārmudiccēral in c. 100 A.C.; and if we, for the present, assign to Vanavaramban Udiyan-Cēralātan the conventional 25 years, which cannot, having regard to the character of his reign, be held to be an exaggerated estimate, that king must on this basis be regarded as commencing his reign in about 8 B.C. It must be confessed that the acceptance of these terms of years does present some difficulty. It may be asked: If Imayavaramban reigned for fifty-eight years, at what

age did his brother become king after him ? Palyanai Śelkelu-Kuttuvan must be at least about 60 when his reign commenced; and his age must have been 85 when he passed away. Such ripe old age may be uncommon, but is certainly not unknown, as a reference to Kushana, Gupta and Pallava history will show. For instance, Kuzulo Kadphises ruled for nearly 55 years,1 and was over eighty, when he died and was succeeded by his son Wima Kadphises who reigned for 30 years;2 and after him followed the great Kaniska whose reign covered not less than 45 years. Nandi-Varman Pallavamalla reigned for nearly sixty-five years (715-780 A.C.) and his son Dantivarman. who succeeded him, reigned for fifty years (780-830).3 In recent history, we may instance William I who became King of Prussia in his 64th year and Emperor of Germany in his 74th year and lived to be 91 years old. The Ex-German Emperor William II, who ascended the throne in 1888, is now 76 years old. Frederick the Great of Prussia reigned for 46 years, and was 74, when he died. Queen Victoria reigned for 64 years and was 82 when she passed away. Edward VII succeeded her, when he was about 60 years of age; and George V, the Silver Jubilee of whose reign was celebrated

¹ Rapson's Ancient India, p. 185.

² Sten Konow, I.H.Q., III.

³ Cambridge Shorter History of India.

last year, passed away in his 71st year. The advanced age of Palyānai Śelkeļu-Kuṭṭuvan need not present any difficulty, and it would afford another very cogent reason for the institution of a viceroyalty in the northern province during his reign.

There is another difficulty which we cannot ignore. If Sen-Kuttuvan was the son of Imayavaramban, he must have been, at the lowest calculation, over fifty years of age, when he became sovereign of the Cera Kingdom; and if we accept the statement that he ruled for fifty-five years, he must have been something more than a centenarian when he died. Nor can we construe the statement in the patigam to mean only that he lived for fifty-five years; for that will give to his reign not more than five years' duration! The only resolution of the tangle that suggests itself to me is to take that Sen-Kuttuvan was not a son, but was a grandson of Imayayaramban. I venture to suggest that Nedum-Ceralatan in the Patigam of fifth Patirrup-pattu, means only 'the great Cēralātan', the king referred to being Kalankāikanni Nārmudic-Cēral. I do not forget the passage in Silappadhikāram which describes Sen-Kuttuvan as இம்பத்து வானவர் மருள்ப&விற் யுட்டியவானவர் தோன்றன். Here the expression need not necessarily mean son; it may denote a descendant. From the narration of Ilanko-Adigal's early history, where Devanti praises his self-sacrifice in favour of

Sen-Kuttuvan, by taking holy orders' that the latter may become king, we can see that Sen-Kuttuvan's father has only two sons, Sen-Kuttuvan and Ilanko-Adigal, and the setting given to the incident there related completely negatives the existence of other sons. my mind, is significant as making it impossible that Sen-Kuttuvan could be one of four sons of Imayavaramban, as is now usually believed. Two things are clear from this incident; and they are that when Sen-Kuttuvan's father's reign was about to close, he had only two sons and immediately on the death of the father, one of the two sons and not the brother stood to succeed him. I propose, therefore, to regard Sen-Kuttuvan as the son of Narmudic-Ceral. At any rate, the construction I suggest will remove the almost insuperable difficulties we have to encounter, if we regard Sen-Kuttuvan as a son of Imayayaramban, as is now usually done. If this interpretation is accepted, the duration given for Sen-Kuttuvan's reign may be allowed to stand. Roughly then, Udiyan Cēral's reign may be held to have begun about 8 B.C. or practically at the beginning of the 1st century, and Sen-Kuttuvan's to have terminated about 180 A.C.

In the main or Vañci line, we have seen that there are five kings after Sen-Kuţţuvan and in the absence of any material for ascertaining how long they reigned, we

⁴ Silap. 30, Il. 170 seq.

may provisionally regard them as representing five generations, and thus bring the story of the kings of that line to the close of the third century of the Christian era. In the northern line, we read about ten kings; and of these, we see from Patirrup-pattu that four kings reigned for 96 years in the aggregate. For the remaining six, even if we assign to each of them only twenty years on the average, their reigns may be taken to have occupied 120 years, so that practically we have the story of that line for over two centuries. As I have explained before, there is good reason to think that that line began in the later years of Palyanai Selkelu-Kuttuvan's reign, that is, some years before the close of the first century A.C.; and so, we have the story of the northern Ceras also up to the close of the third century A.C. During these three centuries, the Ceras were brought into relation with the Colas and the Pandyas very often; and from the Puranānūru lyrics, we can identify most of them. The following statements give the pedigree, the probable dates and contemporaneity of the Ceras of the two branches, and the names of the Colas and Pandvas. whose periods more or less synchronised with theirs. It is needless to say that the tables cannot pretend to be strictly accurate: but they are the best that can be constructed from the materials, and are tentatively offered as acceptable.

Probable Genealogy and Chronology.

CERAS OF VAÑCI

1. Vānavaramban.

Udiyan-Céral, (c. 17 A.C.).

 Palyānai Śeikeļu Kuttuvan.

(c. 75-100 A.C.).

- Imayavaramban. (c. 17—75 A.C.).
- 4. Närmudic-Cëral. (c. 100—125 A.C.)
- Sen-Kuttuvan, (c. 125—180 A.C.).
- Kuttuvan-Ködai. (c. 180—205 A.C.).
- 7. Ilam-Kuttuvan. (c. 205-230 A.C.).
- Pālaipādiya Perum-Kadumkō.
 - (c. 230—255 A.C.).
- 9. Mā-Veņkō. (c. 255—280 A.C.).
- 10. Vafican. (c. 280-305 A.C.).

⁵ Does Cëramën Vancan simply mean the Cëramën who ruled at Vanci ? If so, the name of this Cëramën is missing.

CERAS OF TONDI LINE



Cēras of Vañci.	Cēras of Toṇḍi.	
1. Vānavaramban Udiyan-Cēral		
 Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan. 		
 Palyānai Śelkeļu- Kuţţuvan . 	1. Karuvūrēriya Perum-Cēral	
4. Nārmuḍic-Cēral .	. { 2. Antuvan-Cēral. 3. Āḍukōṭpāṭṭu Cēralātan	
5. Sen-Kuttuvan	. 4. Šelvak-Kadumkō Vāļi-Ātan	
 Kuṭṭuvan Kōdai or Mā-Kōdai . 	5. Perum-Cēral Irumporai	
7. Ilam-Kuṭṭuvan .	. 6. Ilam-Cēral Irumporai	
8. Pālaipāḍiya Perum- Kaḍumkō	7. Ātan Avini 8. Yānaikaţśēy Māntaran- Cēral	
9. Mā-Veņkō		
10. Vañcan	. 9. Kōdai-Mārpan	
	10. Kaņaikkāl Irumporai	

Cōlas.		Pāṇḍyas.
Põrvaikkõ Peru-Narki	ļi.	
••		
	٦	••
Mudittalai Kōperu- narkilli Uruvapahrēr Ilam-set- Cenni		••
Karikāla Cōla Nalamkiļļi Šēt-Cenni	U0 13.5	Āriyappaḍai Kaḍanta. Neḍuñjeḷiyan.
	÷	Verrivēr Šeļiyan.
	\$	Iļavantikaippalli- tuncia Nan-Māran
••		Talaiālam Kānattu Neḍuñjeliyan.
Rājasūyam Vēṭṭa Perunaṛkiḷḷi		Ugra-Peruva <u>l</u> uti.
Kuļamurrattutunciya Kiļļi Vaļavan		
Šen-Kaņņān		

CHAPTER IX.

Political, Social and Religious Background.

S. 1.

We have now pieced together the story of the earliest Cera kings known to Tamil literature. The antiquity of the Cera kingdom is From the occurrence of beyond dispute. Cēra-pādah in Taittirīya Āranyaka, Mr. P. T. Srinivasa Aiyangar concludes that the Cera country had even in that long distant past come under the influence of Brahmanical or Arvan rites and rituals,1 and he cites the authority of Prof. A. B. Keith for construing the expression as relating to the Ceras. Perhaps this is doubtful, as Sāvana takes Cēra to mean snake. Leaving this aside, as also the evidence of the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyana, we have in more recent times inscriptional evidence of the existence of an independent Cera kingdom afforded by the Girnar inscription of Aśoka of c. 250 B.C. which mentions the country of Kēralaputra as one of the southern kingdoms. With one possible exception, Tamil literature, however, does not take us to that distant period in the history of South India, though it is clear from Tolkappiyam that at the date of that ancient work the Cera kingdom had been in existence for a long time. The exception is this.

¹ History of the Tamils, p. 29.

There are references to the Moriyar in Aganānūru2 and in those poems, the passage of their war-chariots through a mountain-pass, which possibly had been thought to impassable, receives prominent mention. It is also clear from Agam 281, that the objective of the Möriyar army was South India; and that the Vadukar, (literally 'northerners') a ferocious people3 probably formed the van-guard. It has been suggested that the reference in these poems is to a Mauryan invasion. If so, the alleged Mauryan advance must have occurred before Aśoka; for Aśoka tells us that the Tamil kingdoms of the South were politically free; and there is no possibility of a Mauryan invasion after his time. Tārānāth, the Tibetan historian, speaks of Bindusāra's conquests in the Dekhan and South India; and perhaps, the Aganānūru lyrics refer to one of those invasions, as I suggested many years ago.5 Or possibly, Moriyar is not the correct reading:6 and if so, the reference would be, as suggested by me elsewhere,7 to a southerly march into

² Agam, 69; 251; 281.

³ Agam, 107 and 381.

⁴ The latest writer on the subject, Mr. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar takes this view in his book "The Mauryan Polity", pp. 58—61. My own view is that the alleged Mauryan invasion is a myth.

⁵ Date of Silappadhikaram : Madras Christian College Magazine, 1917.

⁶ Cf. Puram, 175.

⁷ Köśar and Vamba Möriyar. Q.J.M.S., 1924.

India, through a north-eastern pass of the Himalyas, of a trans-Himalyan martial tribe at some remote period. However, to whatever occurrence the passages in Aganānūru may refer, they do not relate to the Cēra kingdom; and the account we have been able to gather from the Śangam works about the Cēra kingdom, does not take us earlier than the first century of the Christian era.

Let us try to get a glimpse of the political, social and religious background of the Cēra history of this period as presented by Sangam literature. It is clear that the rules of Hindu or Aryan polity mainly governed the administration. There is abundant evidence to show that the enunciation of the three-fold duties of the king given in the Mānavadharma Sāstra³ was followed. The king was an indispensable institution; and his authority rested on Dharma of which he was the guardian.³ Emphasis is laid on his position as a father of his people.¹o It is the duty of the king to know and remember that he is the source of the life of the world, and not cereals and water.¹¹

Hereditary monarchy seems to have been the prevailing form of government. We read

⁸ VII, 88.

^{9.} Purom, 55. அற செறிமுதற்றேயாகின் கொற்றம்.

¹⁰ Ibid., 5. காலல் குழவிகொள் பவரினேம்பு.

¹¹ Ibid., 186. செல்லு முபிரன்றே கிருமுயிரன்றே மன்னனுயிர்த்தே மலர்கில யுலகம்.

of no disputed succession or civil war in the Cēra kingdom during the period we have studied, though during this period there were instances in the Cola kingdom. The King was essentially an absolute monarch. respected and followed the wise counsel of Ministers and other learned men. He extended equal protection and justice to all his subjects. 12 The minister was a responsible officer; and the unsympathetic and tyrannical minister who misleads the kings to oppress the people is denounced even by the royal poet Pālaipādiya-Perum-Kaduńko. Thus, in Pālaik-kali,13 he likens the scorching sun of the sandy deserts to 'a king, who by a minister bad, unsympathetic and unjust, is led'; and in Pālai 9, he writes that the desolate region of the desert is

> Like a land where the ministers fleece without scruple And grind without mercy the people who groan Under crushing misrule.

The minister's position was obviously delicate, and he could not be always certain of the king's favour. Thus in $P\bar{a}lai$ 7, we read—

The minister who in the sunshine basks Of royal favour, working still with zeal But for his master's good, without regard For his own benefit, doth sudden fall Under displeasure and he loses all!

¹² Purom, 17 and 55.

¹³ See Pālai, 7.

From the occurrence of the expressions ஐம்பெருங்குமு and எண் பேராயம் in some ancient works like Silappadhikāram, Manimēkalai and Perum-kadai, some scholars have committed themselves to the view that in the Sangam period government was conducted with the aid of popular assemblies and representative councils. This is an entirely fanciful view. A reference to Divakaram for the meaning of these terms would conclusively show that these groups connoted well-known adjuncts to royalty or royal paraphernalia.14 They comprised ministers, purohits, army captains, embassage, spies, astrologers, near relations, citizens, and various denominations of soldiers. The ideal king was a benevolent autocrat, and the people were devoted to him, under whose protection they were able to follow their pursuits in peace. Ancient Tamil literature does not seem to record any instance of resistance to the will of the king by his subjects; and in those ancient days, the fundamental value and importance of peace between the ruler and his people was also prominently recognized. Thus we read in the Kural:

"Blest though the land in all things else, it naught avails

If there's no peace between the people and the

King."

-Kural, 740.

¹⁴ Vide also Mani, p. 10 foot-note.

S. 2.

The effective protection of his people is stressed in Sangam literature as the essential function of the king. Whether for the satisfactory discharge of that essential function or from motives of personal ambition, or from sheer irresistible blood-lust, kings often indulged in war, and not infrequently in such excess that learned men seeking their bounty felt compelled to remind them that peace had its victories no less renowned than war.15 Almost every great king of the Sangam period appears to have been a great warrior, and the Cera monarchs were no exception. Necessarily, they maintained armies of well-equipped soldiers, who defensive armours (Quininamp) and were armed with bows and arrows, spears and swords, and used shields made of bull-hide.16 Before starting for war, elaborate sacrifice to Korravai,17 the Dravidian Durga, and the presiding deity of the war-drum was made. 18 The kings themselves often led their armies in person in the field of battle; and the first act of provocation by an invading army seems to have been the felling of the Kāvalmaram or totem tree of the enemy from the encircling woods.19 The lifting of the enemy's

¹⁵ Cf. Puram, 5.

¹⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 45.

¹⁷ Literally 'The Goddess of Victory'.

¹⁸ Patierup-pattu, 30; Patigam III.

¹⁹ Puram, 36.

cattle was also a prelude to war.20 The forts were well guarded and the gates secured with bolts of tough wood;21 and the fortifications were surrounded by moats filled with water.22 On the field, the kings used war-chariots; and besides foot-soldiers, they employed horses and elephants in war.23 Appalling slaughter, and utter devastation and destruction by fire followed in the wake of war,24 and frequently personal humiliation, often brutally vindictive, were inflicted on the vanguished foe and even on his women-folk.25 Indeed, showing elemency to a vanguished enemy evoked surprise and wonder.26 The souls of heroes slain in battle were believed to attain Vira svarga, which corresponds to the Valhalla of Gothic mythology; and so firmly was this notion rooted that among the warrior clan, a still-born child was cleft in twain at birth that it might attain 'the heroes' heaven'.27 The hero could not bear to receive a wound on the back in the field of battle: and he atoned for the disgrace by selfimmolation by starvation on the scene.28

²⁰ Agam, 372.

²¹ Puram, 20.

²² Ibid., 14 and 37.

²³ Ibid., 14.

²⁴ Patierup-pattu, 25, 26, 43 and 48.

²⁵ Ibid., V Patigam.

²⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 32.

²⁷ Puram, 74.

²⁸ Ibid., 65.

It is interesting to note that in those days, the martial spirit animated not only the men, but also the women of the land. At a time of war, the women-folk urged their male relations to march to battle, resolved to win or die like heroes. The wife rejoiced to see her husband display his valour, and the mother was proud of her son who showed his bravery; and neither was troubled by the thought of any possible danger to the life of her hero. They regarded a dastard in war with contempt. Puram 278, given below, depicts vividly this significant trait in the character of the ancient Tamil dame.

The dame of ancient age, with shrunken veins,
And loosely hanging tissues, heard her son
Had from the battle turned in fear and fled.
In towering rage she vowed, if that be so,
She would for very shame cut off her breasts
That gave the despicable coward suck.
She snatched a sword, swept with impetuous speed
Into the gory battle-field, and searched
The heaps of warriors slain, when lo! she found
Stretched on the field of glory, cut in twain,
Her valiant son. Then swelled, indeed, with pride
The mother's heart, which was with gladness filled,
Intenser far than when she gave him birth! 25%

Purap-porul-Venbā-Mālai, the author of which is a Cēra, gives the grammar of warfare as understood in ancient Tamil India; and we see from it that the approved rule was that the invading army should not molest the enemy's cattle, which before the battle began, should be

²⁸a Cf. Puram, 277; 279; 295; 86.

removed to a place of safety. The rules also enjoined that the invading army should—

> Spare the temples where sacrifices are offered; Spare the consecrated dwellings of the ascetics; Spare the residence of the holy Védic Brahmanas.

It is seen that the vanquished king was sometimes kept in confinement as a prisoner by the victor, possibly in expectation of suitable ransom.²⁹

S. 3.

Watered by perennial streams, the Cēra country was very fertile, and its prosperity was maintained by the Cēra kings. A large proportion of the population pursued agriculture; but other occupations, such as fishing and hunting were also followed. Arts, trade and commerce were also pursued. The Cēra Kings, as Kurumköliyūr-Kilār, writes in Puram 17—

Their royal sceptre even held O'er all their subjects, where-so-e'er they lived, In hill or mountain, forest or in town; Protecting them with equal justice, they Chastised all wrong, and as their due, received The share of yield from land by law allowed.

This share is explained by the commentator to be one-sixth, the Sadbhāga of the Dharmaśāstra and the Arthaśāstra. Among the industries pursued in the country, were spinning

²⁹ Puram, 74 and 17.

and weaving. Spinning cotton was an occupation of women;30 who also prepared beaten rice(அலல்) with wooden pestles (உலக்கை, 31 Besides cotton cloth, also silk was woven,12 and they were of superior quality. Files, axes and other iron implements and metal-lamps supported by metal-stand were produced,33 and jewellery in gold, silver and precious stones were made.34 Carpentry and work in hides also flourished. We have definite mention that hides were fashioned into shields for the use of soldiers in battles,35 cut into thongs and circlets for use in Yagas36 and into straps which were stitched with ease and dexterity for cots' by cobblers.37 Salt was manufactured in salt-pans and carried in carts for sale,38 There was also extensive trade in fish39 in which fish-women took an active part. The land grew spices, especially pepper, which was much sought after in the western world,40 and it abounded in

³⁰ Puram, 125.

³¹ Patirrup-pattu, 29.

³² Ibid., 12.

³³ Puram 36; and Patirrup-pattu, 47 and 52.

³⁴ Puram 66; Patirrup-pattu, 16.

³⁵ Patirrup-pattu, 45.

³⁶ Ibid., 74.

³⁷ Puram, 82.

³⁸ Neytal, 21; Nar. 4; Agam, 119; 310; and Kurun, 165.

³⁹ Puram, 343.

⁴⁰ Agam., 149.

cocoanut palms,⁴¹ sandal-wood, *Agil* and sugarcane.⁴² Its elephants gave ivory; its mines yielded precious stones and its seas yielded pearls.⁴³ The country was beyond doubt very flourishing and wealthy.

S. 4.

Ship-building industry does not appear to be mentioned in Sangam works; but the people of the Cera country were familiar with navigation of the high seas44 and from early times they had trade relations with foreign nations. We have evidence that in the very dim past, the rare products of Malabar found their way to Babylon and Egypt, and later the Phoenicians controlled the spice trade of Malabar. Chinese junks were also attracted to the ports of the Cera country, with which it seems obvious the trade relations of the Chinese, which probably began long before the ships of Greece and Rome called at the Cera ports, must have continued for a long time; and from the architecture of the buildings on the Malabar coast, which reproduces the distinctive features of the architecture of Mongolian countries, particularly in its temples, one may conclude that a Chinese colony had been established, where, as generally happened with people living

⁴¹ Purom, 17.

⁴² Patirrup-pattu, 87.

⁴³ Ibid., 67.

⁴⁴ Pālai, 4; Maņi, IV, 11. 29-34.

in an alien land, the Chinese settlers lived in houses built in the style of their native country. To my mind the Mongolian curves and the upturned eaves and gables that we see in Travancore houses and temples supply strong evidence of Chinese influence which must have sprung from Chinese trade relationship with the Cēra country.

With the discovery of Hippalus about the beginning of the first century of the Christian era, that, by taking advantage of the monsoon winds, ships could sail straight from the Red Sea and reach India in 40 days, a new era in the commercial activity of the Cera kingdom was inaugurated. The direction of the wind led the ships straight to Musiri which Pliny described as the nearest mart in India. Patirrup-pattu and Puranānūru poems bear eloquent testimony to the commercial activity of Musiri and other ports of the Cera kingdom: and we are told that in exchange for gold that foreign vessels brought, they took home pepper and other valuable products from those ports. To what extent trade in pepper and other products was carried on with Rome will appear from the words of Pliny who says: 'In no year does India drain us of less than 550,000,000 sesterces, giving back her own wares which are sold among us at fully 100 times their first cost,' Large quantities of Roman gold and silver came into the Cera kingdom, as the result of export of pepper to Rome, and thousands of Roman

coins, mostly of the reigns of Augustus, Tiberius, Gaius and Claudius, have been discovered on the Malabar coast, and in the districts of Madura and Coimbatore,45 trade at the Cera ports was so extensive, that warehouses had to be erected.46 We notice from Pliny that in his days (c. 50 A.C.) a safer and more convenient port than Musiri was Barake, to which pepper was brought down the river in dugouts or boats scooped out of a single tree from Kottanāra (Kutta-nādu). Barake has now been rightly identified with Porakad, south of Alleppey.47 By the time of the Periplus, however, Musiri, modern Cranganore, had become the gate of India, and the foremost port for foreign trade.

Indeed, in the days of the *Periplus*, it was a very busy port. The author of the *Periplus* says that from this port were purchased pepper, pearls, ivory, silk, spikenard, malabathram (Skt. Tamālapatra), transparent stones like beryl, diamonds and rubies, and tortise shell; and according to Mr. Schoff, the latest translator of the *Periplus*, pepper supplied, perhaps, three-fourth of the total bulk

⁴⁵ See Warmington "Commerce between Rome and India", Chap. 7.

⁴⁶ Patirrup-pattu, 55.

⁴⁷ The credit of this identification belongs to Mr. I. C. Chakko, a former Director of Industries, Travancore. Is Nileynda the present Nindakara, just north of Quilon?

of the average west-bound cargo. Pliny's language is almost furious when he writes of the import of pepper into Rome. He says: "It is quite surprising that the use of pepper has come so much into fashion, seeing that it is sometimes by their substance and sometimes by their appearance that articles attract our notice: whereas pepper has nothing in it that can plead as a recommendation to either fruit or berry, its only desirable quality being a certain pungency; and yet it is for this that we import it all the way from India! Who was the first to make a trial of it as an article of food? And who, I wonder, was the man that was not content to prepare himself by hunger only for the satisfying of a greedy appetite ? " In spite of such strictures, the Roman import trade in pepper grew; and we are told that when Alaric the Visigoth laid seige to Rome. among the terms he offered for raising the seige was the immediate payment of 3,000 lbs. of pepper. It is clear from the accounts that there was flourishing foreign trade on an international scale at the port of Musiri. Besides pepper, pearl was another important export from South India; and about the craze for pearls in Rome, Pliny writes: "Our ladies glory in having pearls suspended from their fingers, two or three of them dangling from their ears, delighted even with the rattling of the pearls as they knock against each other; and now, at the present time, the poor classes are

even affecting them. They put them on their feet, and that, not only on the laces of their sandals, but all over the shoes." He mentions Lollia Paulina, the wife of the Emperor Caius, who was seen on an ordinary betrothal wearing pearls to the value of 40,000,000 sesterces or roughly £333,000!

There is thus remarkable concurrence of testimony, as already stated, between the Sangam works and the Periplus on the commercial activity of the ports of the Cera country; and at these sea-ports there were warehouses maintained for storing foreign merchandise.48 It is interesting to note that the valuable products of the country were sold to foreign merchants for gold;49 while apparently paddy was adopted as the usual measure of value for internal trade. Thus in Puram 343, we learn that fish was bartered for paddy. There were recognized measures for measuring paddy (செல்லின் அம்பண அளவை®) and tribute paid in paddy, we read, was measured into the state granaries. 51 Apparently, metallic currency alone was employed in foreign trade.

S. 5.

The Tamils appear to have been a brave, warm-hearted and warm-blooded people who

⁴⁸ Patirrup-pattu, 55; 67 and 74.

⁴⁹ Agam, 149 and Puram, 343.

⁵⁰ Patirrup-pattu, 66 and 71.

⁵¹ Ibid., 66.

cared much for the mere joys of living. Thev cultivated poetry, music and dancing. Their staple food was rice; but fish and meat were also used. They had almost a partiality for palmwine. One might say that, perhaps, wine and women, war and song, largely claimed the attention of at least the leisured classes in those early days. The Cera kings liberally patronized poetry and song, and were easily accessible to poets and singers. These came from both sexes and from all castes and classes: and they were all very munificently rewarded without distinction of caste, creed or sex. The author of the 'History of the Tamils' cynically observes:-" Besides protecting his subjects, the only other function of Rajas was to be surrounded by beggar bards, who eulogized them in their poems and were plied with food and drink as reward." 52 There seems to be some warrant for the last statement, afforded by Patirrup-pattu, 43, lines 34 and 35, which run as follows:-

கிறைக்கு கெடிதிரார்த்த சம்பின் வயிரியர் உண்டெனத் தவா அக்கள்ளு ;

but there is ample evidence that the bards were also more substantially rewarded. The variety of $Y\bar{a}ls$ mentioned in Sangam literature, and the institution of $p\bar{a}nar$ indisputably show that the times and conditions were specially favourable for the art of music to flourish.

⁵² Page 191.

Minstrelsy was much patronized not only in courts but also in urban life; and we read of special adaptations of musical instruments for entertainment in rural areas. Sangam poetry is full of life and colour, and affords a faithful mirror of ancient Tamil Society. It may be noted that several Cera kings and other members of the Cera royal family occupy an honoured place among the Sangam poets.

Adult marriage was the normal rule among the ancient Tamils. From Tolkappiyam it is seen that the approved form of marital union was of the nature of what is known amongst Sanskrit law-givers as the gandharva form of marriage. It consisted in voluntary union in secrecy from reciprocal desire; and Tamil usage required no religious rite to give it validity. Tolkappiyam explains that in course of time the sanctity of Kalavu or secret union was violated by deceitfulness and treachery; and so Aryans or learned men-Aiyar is the term employed and it is either a corruption of Aryar or is a derivative from gg, meaning (Tolkappiyam) and denotes 'men who evoke admiration'-enjoined the rule of Karpu or open marriage, following the usage of the higher classes (Mēn-makkal), 53 and thus publicity, which distinguishes a recognized marriage from an illicit connection or concubinage, was secured to the union.

⁵³ Tol: karpiyal, 3 and 4.

consisted in the bride being given away in marriage by her parents or other relations; and it was usually a convention that concluded the relation that had begun and had continued for some time in the Kalavu form. It is interesting to note that the approved forms of marriage according to the Sanskrit Smrtis were regarded by the Tamils as inappropriate or unapproved marital unions-Poruntā-k-kāmam. Sometimes the bride-groom was chosen by a trial of strength in bull fight (mullai 9) and occasionally bride-price was paid.54 When the choice of a husband by the parents happened to be different from a woman's own choice, she over-reached them by eloping with her lover, 15 a procedure which custom recognized. An unsuccessful woocr often succeeded in getting his sweet-heart to marry him, by having recourse to madal-ēral, which consisted in his wearing a wreath of senna (avirai) and madar flowers and going about in a vehicle of palmyra fronds, beseeching public sympathy and help.56

There was no rule against polygamy; and concubinage seems to have been prevalent,

⁵⁴ Nar., 300.

⁵⁵ Pālai, 8 and Agam, 153.

⁵⁶ Neytal, 21, 22, 24 and Kural, 1,133; 1,135. Vide my 'Glimpses into the Married Life of the Ancient Tamil People', XXII, Q.J.M.S., For a description of Madal-tral see Dikshitar's Studies in Tamil Literature and History, pp. 275-6 and my translation of Neytal 22 in the article cited (XXII, Q.J.M.S.).

especially in urban areas, Maruta-nilam, where a life of ease and luxury, wealth and pleasure was possible. The frequent mention in Agam literature of hetaerae and their influence shows that they formed a recognized institution, in early Tamil society; and we read that they used also to throng at festivals, where acting and dancing prevailed.⁵⁷ The danseuse not infrequently acted as a procuress.⁵⁸ The following extract from Marutak-kali voices the piteous complaint of a faithful wife addressed to her husband guilty of marital disloyalty.

Hard-hearted, long hast thou forsaken me!

My beauty's wasted; and my eyes have known

No sleep! And if unable to sit up,

I seek my couch at times to close my eyes,

The sounding drums that do announce each day

Thy visit to thy artful courtezans,

Who fragrant garlands wear, prevent my rest!

Thou hast neglected me! my weeping eyes,

Deprived of sleep so long, seek transient rest,

Comforted by my darling's son's caress;

The merry song thy youthful mistresses

Sing as they dance in jollity in homes

Thou hast provided, drives such rest away!

—Marutam, 5.

Though there is overwhelming evidence in Sangam literature of the husband's infidelity to his wife, the ancient Tamil wife apparently never swerved from loyalty to her husband even in very trying circumstances. The following

⁵⁷ Agam, 326 and 222.

⁵⁸ Nar., 310.

poem from Pālaikkali is illustrative of the typical Tamil wife of those days.

Thou tellest me the desert is so parched For utter want of rain that the wild deer On prickly cactus plant is forced to feed; And, by the shafts of heartless robbers pierced, Wayfarers in that arid region lie Writhing with thirst which they attempt to slake With tears that trickle to their dried-up tongues! My lord and husband! Thou dost not, perhaps, My nature comprehend. It is not meet Thou shouldst our bond thus disregard and go! To go with thee and in thy journey share With thee the perils of the desert track, Know that alone can give me happiness!

-Pālai, 5.

When the husband left on a long journey, the wife kept count of the number of days he was away by making marks on a wall, 50 a method of keeping count of days then common 60 as it is even now in some villages.

Women were very fond of jewels, and this trait was so pronounced in their nature, that even in Sangam literature they came to be referred to, by metonomy as *Grange* and Grange* Besides ordinary jewels like bangles, anklets, belts, rings, etc., there was in use a jewel fashioned like or made of tiger's teeth which

⁵⁹ Agam, 351,

⁶⁰ Agam, 61 and 289.

⁶¹ Puram, 3 and Patirrpu-pattu, 65 and 88.

⁶² Neytal, 22.

ladies were along with the tāli.63 Music and dance were cultivated; and there were professional minstrels called pāṇar and viṛali and dancers. In Marutam 14, we read of 'the circlet which accomplished dancers wear on their fair forehead, when they appear upon the stage'. Among musical instruments frequent mention is made of the shepherd's reed⁶⁴ and the seven stringed Yāl,65 which from its synonym sociosic for may, perhaps, be thought to have been shaped like a plough. Collyrium was used by women; and men smeared themselves with sandal-paste and wore garlands of flowers and strings of pearls.

S. 6.

Fasts and ceremonial baths were common.⁶⁷
It is clear that belief in omens and astrology was general. For example, Kākkai-pāḍiniyār Nacceļļaiyār writes in *Kuruntokai* 210 that the cawing of the crow presages the arrival of a guest,⁶⁸ a belief that exists even now in Tamil villages. It is due to this circumstance that the term Kākkai-pāḍiniyār is prefixed to her name.

⁶³ புகிப்பற் கோத்த புலம்பு மணித்தாகி Agam, 7. Even now tiger-claws cased in gold are used as jewel for children.

⁶⁴ Cf. Neytal, 13 and 14.

⁶⁵ Cf. Pālai, 8.

⁶⁶ Cf. Puram, 206. (Commentator's note).

⁶⁷ Patirrup-pattu, 31, 1, 6.

⁶⁸ விருக்கு வரக்கரைக்க காக்கை.

The throbbing of a woman's left eye-lid or her left shoulder or arm, was believed to betoken the happening of something good to her; while in the case of a man that significance was conveyed by the throbbing of his right eve-lid, arm or shoulder,69 a belief that persists to this day. The 'click-click' of the wall-lizard prognosticated good or evil according to the direction from which it proceeded, 70 and even today that belief persists among country folk. We see from Agananaru, that omens used to be consulted before going to battle. Knowledge of planetary astronomy and astrology existed among the Tamils in those early days:71 and lunar asterisms and months of the year were also known.72 The appearance of a comet or the falling of a meteor, it was believed, indicated the approaching death of a king. 73

Among the mythological stories then current, we may mention Tripura-Samhāra by Śiva,⁷⁴ Śūrapadma-Samhāra by Subrahmaṇya⁷⁵ and the Kṛṣṇa legends.⁷⁶ Kṛṭṭikā-dīpam or

⁶⁹ Pălai, 10.

⁷⁰ Pālai, 10; Agam, 9; 151, 289, 351 and Nar., 246 and 333.

⁷¹ Paripādal, XI; Śilap., and Patirrup-pattu, 24.

⁷² Puram, 229; Agam, 137 and 141.

⁷³ Puram, 229.

⁷⁴ Pālaik-kali, V and Puram, 55.

⁷⁵ Patirrup-pattu, 12.

⁷⁶ Śilappodhikāram refers to hoyish sports and dances of Kṛṣṇa.

illumination on Tiru-Kārttigai day was common even in those early days;¹⁷ and we read of a *Panguni* festival (Agam 137).⁷⁸

With much that belonged to non-Aryan practices and primitive culture, Aryan rites and culture had also widely spread over the land. Worship of departed heroes was common. Puranānūru and Aganānūru contain several poems, from which we see that dolmens or rather stones were set up for the departed. whose weapons were placed leaning on the stones. These stones were decorated with red flowers and peacock feathers, and the name of the deceased hero was inscribed on them; and intoxicating liquor and worship were offered to them. 79 Malignant demons were propitiated with sacrifice. 80 Korravai, was worshipped with elaborate sacrifices, especially before going to war. The dead were cremated, 81 though burial also seems to have practised.82 There is abundant proof that Vēdic religion had spread over the land, and Vēdic rites and rituals prevailed.83 Among

⁷⁷ Nar., 202.

⁷⁸ Pungunni-Utsavam, which falls in March-April, is the most important festival in Śri Padmanabha Svāmi temple at Trivandrum as also in temples of Tamil districts.

⁷⁹ Puram, 232, 263, 264 and 329.

⁸⁰ Patierup-pattu, 71.

⁸¹ Puram, 245 and 246.

⁸² Patirrup-pattu, 44.

⁸³ Ibid., III, and VII.

the deities that were worshipped were Siva, Visnu, Krsna, Balarāma and Muruga or Skanda. Brahmanas performed their Vedic rites84 and pursued their six-fold duties. They discharged the onerous duty of expounding Dharma to the kings, and they were chosen as king's ministers. In speaking of Palyānai Selkelu-Kuttuvan, Pālai Kaudamanār writes in Patirrup-pattu, III:

> தைல் ஷேட்டலவை பிரர்ச் செய்த லிதல் ஏற்றல் என்று புரிக்தொழுகும் அறம் பரி அத்தணர் வழி மொழிக்கொழுகி ஞாலம் கீன் வழி பொழுகப் பாடல் சான்ற காடுடன் விளங்கு காடா. Patirrup-pattu, 24.

As preceptors of Dharma, they were held in respect by kings. In Patirrup-pattu, VII, Kapilar in his praise of Selvak-kadumkō Vāli Atan writes: பார்ப்பார்க் கல்லது பணிபதி பணேமே. From the testimony of Sangam literature, it is clear that Vēdic rites were not infrequently performed even by kings, and Brahmanas were liberally helped to perform Yagas. Gifts of cows and land were freely bestowed on them. We also read of Brahmana ascetics 55 and one Cēra king, as we saw, became, after a life of military glory, an ascetic like his Brahmana preceptor. Though Brahmanism was predominant in the land, Buddhism and Jainism also prevailed among the people, and the adherents

⁸⁴ Neytal, 2 and 13.

⁸⁵ Pālai, 8.

of all the three religions lived in the country in perfect friendliness.se

Such is the political, social and religious background that Sangam literature presents in regard to the Cera kingdom, which, richly endowed as it was by nature, was ruled over by sympathetic monarchs, who besides being great warriors, were also liberal patrons of learning and art, and made the welfare of the people their dominant concern. The Cera Kingdom still flourishes like the bay tree; for is gratifying to note that, though of the three Tamil kingdoms celebrated in Sangam literature, the Pandya and Cola kingdoms have long ago ceased to exist, there still thrives under the rule of its own kings the Ccra kingdom,-

"Bright breadth of plain, blue-veined by many a stream, Umbrageous hills, sweet glades, and forest fair."

⁸⁶ This is evident from a study of Śilappadhikāram.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

Original Sources.

Abidhānacintāmaņi.

Aganānūru, edited by Rajagopala Ayyangar.

Ainkuru-nūru.

Atharva Jyotisha.

Cüdāmaņi Nighaņdu.

Divākaram.

Gäthasaptasati.

Hitopadēśa.

Kalavali-nărpatu.

Kalingattupparani.

Karuvür Sthalapuränam.

Kěralotpatti.

Kuruntogai.

Mahābhārata.

Mahāvamsa.

Mānavadharmaśāstra.

Manimekalai in its Historical Setting edited by

DE TUDOPA

Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar.

Matsyapurāņa.

Nārāyanīyam.

Narrinai.

Paithāmaha Samhitā.

Paripādal.

Patirrup-pattu.

Pattiņap-pālai.

Pattup-pāţţu.

Periplus.

Periyapurāņam.

Pingalantai.

Porunar-ărru-padai.

Puranānūru.

Purapporul-venbā-mālai.

Pürvasamdésa.

Rājavali.

Rāmāyana.

Śankaravijayam.

Silappadhikāram.

Sirupānārruppadai.

Śukasamdēśa.

Tirukkural.

Taittarīya Āranyaka.

Tolkāppiyam.

Vaikhānasa Dharmasūtra.

Vedänga Jyotisha, published by Dr. Shama Sastri in the Mysore Government Series.

Journals.

Epigraphia Indica.

Indian Culture.

Indian Historical Quarterly.

Journal of Indian History.

Journal of Oriental Research.

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.

Madras Christian College Magazine.

Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society.

Sen Tamil.

South Indian Inscriptions.

S. R. V. Research Institute Bulletin.

Tamilian Antiquary.

The Indian Ephemeris.

Travancore Archaeological Series.

Zeitschrift für Indologie und Iranstik.

Modern Works.

Aiyangar, Krishnaswami, Dr. S., Beginnings of South Indian History.

Aiyangar, Raghava, Pandit M., Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan.

Aiyangar, Raghava, Mahavidvan R., Vañcimānagar.

Aiyangar, Srinivasa, M., Tamil Studies.

Aiyangar, Srinivasa, P. T., History of the Tamils.

Banerji, Junior History of India.

Caldwell, Comparative Grammar of Dravidian Languages.

Cambridge History of India.

Cambridge Shorter History of India.

Dikshitar, Ramachandra, V. R., Studies in Tamil Literature and History.

Dikshitar, Ramachandra, V. R., The Mauryan Polity. Encyclopsedia Britannica.

Fleet, Dr., Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum.

Frazer, Literary History of India.

Imperial Gazetteer of India.

Jayaswal, K. P., History of India.

Jouveau Dubreuil, Ancient History of the Decean.

Kanakasabai, Tamils 1800 years Ago.

Krishnaswami Aiyangar Commemoration Volume.

Pillai, Sivaraja, K. N., The Chronology of the Early Tamils.

Pillai, Sriniyasa, Tamil Varalāru.

Pliny, Natural History.

Rapson, Ancient India.

Sankaracharya, Sri, Madras Discourses.

Sāstri, Nilakanta, K. A., The Colas, Vol. I.

Sastri, Suryanarayana, Pandit V. G., Māna Vijayam.

Smith, Dr. V. A., Early History of India.



INDEX

Abidhāna-cintāmayi explains Vañei as Kodunkölür, 86.

Adaka-mādam, same as Trivandrum or Iravipuram, 88.

Adi-Manti, daughter of Karikāla C5la, 29.

Adiyarkku-nallar, 85.

Ādu-kotpāttu, significance of the prefix of, 28.

Ādu-kötpāttuc-Cēralātan, 4.

Adu-kötpättuc-Ceralatan came next to Sen-Kuttuvan, 27 f; son of Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan, brother of Narmudic-Ceral and the hero of the sixth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 27, 50, 51; conquests of and social conditions under, 28 f; extent of the kingdom of, 29; name answers to the title Vanavaramban, his battle at Venni with Karikala and death in it, 29 f; Perum Tölatan, his title ? 31; richly rewarded Naccellaiyar, and took her to himself, 32; reigned for 38 years, 32, 48; to which group he belonged, 47-48; his chronology, 48; recovered eattle and brought them to Tondi, 50; were his father and the father of Narmudic-Ceral identical \$51; consanguine brother of Selvakkadunko, and his father was also known as Antuvan-Cēral, 51; succeeded Antuvan-Cēral, 51; ruled at Tondi, 54.

Agam, 7, 8, 9, 29, 30, 41, 54, 55, 58, 60, 61 n, 65, 82, 85, 93.

Aganānūru, a Sangam collection, value and nature of, 2; sings in honour of Cēramān Perum-Sorru Udiyan-Cēralātan, 5; refers to Udiyan-Cēral's conquests, 8, 9; 55, 82, 84.

Agap-porul, 58.

Ainkurunüru, a Sangam collection by Örampökiyar collected and reducted at the instance of Yānaikat-Sēy Māntaram-Cēral, 62. Airai-Malai, probably the same as Aiyitai-Malai in Central Travancore, 15.

Akkūran, one of the seven first Vallals, 13.

Alattur Kilar, 60.

Allür, see Kodungolür, 78.

Amaravati, 95.

Ancaikkalam (see Kodunkolür) capital of Ceraman-Perumäl, 86.

Affei also known as Nedumidal, 17; identity of, 17—18; must have been the chief of Kutiraimalai with his headquarters at Takadur, 18; conquered by Kalankāik-kanni son of Nedum-Cēralātan, 17; a predecessor of Auvai's friend, Atiyaman Nedumān Añci.

Andhrabhrtya, 12.

Angarakavara, 107.

Āni Vāni, R., 45, 95.

Anporunal, 93, 95, 10 000337

Anporuntam, see Porunai, 80, 92, 93, 95.

Antuvan, husband of Perum Dēvi, 74.

Antuvan-Ceral ; Adu-kotpattuc-Ceralatan of Tondi,

Atan Avini to be placed before Mantaram-Ceral, 62. Antuvan-Ceral Irumporai, Tondi capital, 47.

Arasil Kilar, sung the eight decad of the Patirruppattu, in praise of Perum-Ceral Irumporai, 41, 43; made the king's minister, 43.

Arugai, an enemy of Palaiyan and an ally of Sen-Kuttuvan, 22.

Ārya-Nādu, 50.

Ārya Perumāl, 78.

Aśoka, 9, 16, 18.

Atiyaman and Satiyaputra, discussion of, 18.

Atiyaman Elini, defeated at Takadur in Kollikkurram by Perum-Ceral Irumporai, 42.

Auvai, and Vellivitiyar, 29.

Banavasi Kadambas, 12.

Belief in omens and astrology, 152-3.

Bhagavati worship, spread of, due to the consecration of a temple to Pattini Kadavul, 27.

Bhattaraka vāra, 107.

Bhavāni, R., 45.

Caldwell, Bishop, 90, 91, 99.

Cambridge Shorter History of India, 24.

Cape Comorin, 11, 21,

Cēra Kingdom, source for the early story of, 1.

Ceras, a line of rulers who bore the name of Irumporai, 34.

Cēras of Vañci and Tondi, Genealogy of, 52.

Cëralătan, see Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan, 14 f.; see Ādu-kōt-pāttuc-Cēralātan, 28 f.

Cēralātan, 40; see Šelvak-kadunko-valiātan,

Ceralatan, the father of Narmudic-Ceral, 51, 73.

Cëral Irumporais, represented a junior branch of the Cëra dynasty, 34—35; their seat of Government at Tongs, 83.

Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irumporai, 4: mentioned in Patigam of Patigrup-patiu, VII, 35, 36; belonged to the northern line of the Cēras and was the son of Karuvūr-ēriya Perum-Cēral, 36, 47, 50; frequent invasions of the Cennis and the Killis into his territories 36—37; entry of Mudittalai Kō Perunarkilli into Karuvūr which belonged to him 30; invasion and capture of Pamalūr, by Ilam Šet-Cenni, and the battle of Pōr where both died, 37, 50; his other name Kuḍakko Neḍum-Cēralātan, 37, 51; or simply Neḍum-Cēralātan, 38; had a son Šelvak-kaḍunkō-vāliyātan 38; succeeded by Āḍu-kōtpaṭṭue-Cēralātan, 50, 51;

Cēramān Kudakko Nedum-Cēralātan, 37; see Cēramān-Antuvan Cēral Irumporai.

Ceraman Kadalottiya Vel Kelu Kuttuvan, 5.

Cēramān Kaduńkō Vāļiyātan, 4.

Cēramān Kaņaikkāl Irumporai, 5.

Cēramān Kaşuvūr eriya Öļavāļ-Koperum-Cēral Irumporai, 4; mentioned in Purum 5, 34; first viceroy of the Cēras in the north of their empire, 35, 50; time of, 35 f; ruled with Tondi as his capital, 47; succeeded by Antuvan Cēral as ruler of Tondi, 50.

Cēramān Karuvūr Ēriya Öļvāt Kopperum-Cēral Irumporai, 83.

Cēramān Kok-kodai Mārban, 5.

Cēramān Koţţambalattut-tuñeiya Mākkōdai, 5.

Cēramān Kudukkō Cēral Irumporai, 5.

Cēramān Kudakkō Nedum-Cēralātan, 5.

Ceraman Kuttuvan Kodai, 5.

Cēramān Mākkōdai, 29,

Cēramān Ma-Venkō, 5, 56; mentioned in Purum 36, 56, 73; perhaps the same as Pālaipādiya Perum Kadumko, 56, 57.

Cēramān Pālaipādiya Perum Kaduńko, 4.

Cēramān Perumāl, Añcaikkaļam his capital, 86.

Cēramān Perum-Cēralātan, 5; defeated at Venni by the Cōla Karikāl Valavan, 29 f; the same as Ādu-kötpāttuc-Cēralātan, 30.

Cēramān Perum-Sorru Udiyan Cēralātan, 4; mentioned in Puranānāru, 5; supplied food to the rival armies at Kurukṣētra ? 7; sung by Köṭṭambalattuñeiya Cēramān, 7, identical with Köṭṭambalattuñeiya Mākködai, 8; called Vānavaramban, 8—9; founder of the Cēra dynasty of the Śangam Period, 9—10; father of Imayavaramban Neḍum-Cēralātan, 10, married Nallini the daughter of Veliyan Vēnmān, 10; founded Udiyan-pērūr, the Diamper of the Christians, 10; his descendants mentioned in the Patirrup-pattu, 33.

Cēramān Takadūrerinta Perum-Cēral Irumporai, 5. Cēramān Vañcan, 5, 60, 69. Cēramān Yānaikkāṭ-Śēy Māntaram Cēral Irumporai, 5.

Cēran Šen-Kuthuvan, 27, 49, 110.

Cēra women, freely married Vēls and Cölas, 75.

Ceruppu mountains, 15.

Cennis and Killis, rival chiefs or clans striving to extend their territory, 36-7.

Cūdāmaņi Nighandu, 8.

Coelobothros, 91.

Côla Nalam-Killi Set Cenni, a contemporary of Kāriyarruttunciya Nedum Killi, Perum-Cēral Irumporai and Kutţuvan Ködai, 70.

Colan Ko Śeńkannan, 81;

Colan Manakkilli, 73, 74.

Coliya or Kerala Perumal, 78.

Commaraswamy, A. K., Dr., 119.

Cranganore, anglicised form of Kodunkölür, 88.

Cūdāmaņi, 95.

Cūrņi, 94. Cūtam, 95.

Dandāraniyam, in Ārya Nādu, 50.

Dēvānām-priya, discussion about, 9;

Dikshit, S. B., 108.

Divakaram, 84, 93, 95.

Dubreuil, J. Dr., 116.

Gajz-bāhu, attended the consecration of a temple to Pattini Kadavul, 27.

Gunaka, 87.

Guņapuram, 94.

Guņapuri, 87.

Guruvāyūr, 87.

Himālaya, 6, 11, 21, 75.

Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, here of the ninth decad of the Patigrup-pattu, 44; his liberality and greatness, 44f; defeated Perum Cēla, Ilam-Palaiyan Māran, and Vicci and destroyed the five forts, 45; Patronised Perum Kunrūr Kilār, and reigned for sixteen years, 46; descendant of Imayavaramban, Närmudieceral and Perum-Ceral Irumporai, 47.

Ilam-Ceral, son of Antuvan Sellai, Kuttuvan Irumporai, 74.

Ilam-Kuttuvan, 55.

Imayavaramban Nedum Cēralātan, 4, 14, 33; sou of Udiyan Cēral and Veļiyan's daughter Nallini, 10; his conquests, 10 f; Punishment of the Yavanas by, 13; his munificence, and the condition of the country under him, 8, 13; 14; reigned for fifty-eight years, 14, 48; his title Imayavaramban transformed into Imaya Varman by some Malayalam scholars 14; his brother Pal Yānai Śel Kelu Kuṭṭuvan, 14, 48; his alleged son, Śen-Kuṭṭuvan, 21; Ādu-köṭpāṭṭuc-Cēralātan another alleged son, 27; ruled at Vañci. 47; and Śen-Kuṭṭuvan, 48—49; his sons' rule, 49—50, 52, 73, 74, 75, 84.

Indian Culture, 18.

Industries pursued, 140-46.

Indra Perumāļ, 78.

Iravipuram, 88, 89,

Kadal Pirakköttya Sen-Kuttuvan, 4.

Kadambas (Kadambu clan), conquest of by Imayavaramban, 11, country of, 12; and the Banavasi Kadambas, 12; were they pirates, 12.

'Kadambu' clan, discussion about, 11; conquest of the, by Imayavaramban, 11 f; its chief Nannan, conquered by Kalankāik-kanni Narmudic-Cēral, 17 and 19.

Kaduńkō, see Śelvakkaduńkōvāliātan.

Kudavāyil Kottam, 68.

Kākkai-pādiniyar Naccellaiyār, author of the sixth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 27; rewarded by Ādu-kōtpāṭṭuc-Cēralātan, 32.

Kāladi, 94.

- Kalankāik-kaņņi Nārmudie-Cēral 4 son of Imayavaramban Nedum-Cēralātan, 17; hero of the fourth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 17; his conquets, 17 f; defeated Nedumidal or Añei and Nannan, 17 f; an ideal monarch, 19 f; extent of the kingdom of, 20; also called Vānavaramban, 20; ruled for twenty-five years, 21, 48; ruled at Vañei, 47; reigning at Mantai ? 49. Were his father and Kudakko Nedum-Cēralātan identical, 51;
- Kaļavaļinārpatu, composed by Poigaiyār to secure the release of Cēramān Kaṇaikkāl Irumporai, 81; Kalingattuparani evidence of Karikāla's victory at

Venni, 30, 31.

- Kalittogai, by Nallantuvanār, 57, redacted at the instance of Ugrap-peruvaluti, 58.
- Kaluval, an Idayar chief defeated by Perum-Cēral Irumporai, 41—42.
- Kaņaikkāl Irumporai, his punishment of Mūvan, a chief, 67 f; his death, 68—69; a contemporary of Colan Sen-Kanan, 70.
- Kanaiyan, a Cêra feudatory killed Palaiyan a Côla general in battle, for which he was defeated and killed by Śen-Kanan the Côla King, 68.

Kanakasabhai, 62, 89.

- Kannaki, the anotheosised wife or Pattini Kadavul, 24; her death mentioned by hillmen mentioned in the Śūappadhikāram, 27.
- Kapilar, one of the greatest of the Sangam poets, the author of the seventh decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 38; patronised by Pāri and after him by Śelvakkadunkō-vāliyātan, 38—39; has sung two lyries about his patron in the Puranānāru, 39 f.
- Kāppiyārru Kāppiyanār, author of the 4th decad of the Patierup-pattu, 17; describes the ideal rule of Nārmudiceēral, 19 f.

Kāri, of Mullur, slew Öri of Kollimalai, 85.

Karikāla, Cōla, war of succession after the death of, 23 f; date of, 29; his daughter? Ādi Manti, 29, 53; won a battle at Venni against Cēramān Perum Cēralātan or Perum Tōlātan?, 29—30; identification of, 30; evidence of the Kalingattuparani, 30 f; effect of the victory of Venni on, 32.

Kāriyāru, 60.

Kāriyārruttuñeiya Nedum Killi a contemporary of Perum-Cēral Irumporai, Kuţtuvan Kōdai and Cōla Nalam Killi Set Cenni, 70.

Karūr, 91.

Karuvūr, different from Vañci, 35, 82, 84; not the Cēra capital, 83; and Vañci, 84, 85, 86, 88, 90.

Karuvūr, (Triehy District)—same as Vañci, § 80, 81, 82; in Kongu Nādu and not in Malai Nādu, 84, 85, called Karuvūrānilai by Tirujñānasambanda, 86, 90.

Karuvür-snilai see Karuvür, 86.

Karuvūr Kannampālanār, 82.

Karuvar-sthala Puranam, 95.

Keith, A. B., 108, 132.

Keralotpatti, value of, 77-79, 86-89.

Keprobothras, 91.

Keya Perumāl, 78.

Killis, and Cennis, rival chiefs or class striving to extend their territory, 36-7.

Killivalavan, invested and captured Vañei, 60; his court poetess Mārök-Kattu Nappa Śalaiyār, 61; said to have invaded Kūdal and died at Kulumurram, 61; invaded Kudal and slew Palaiya Māran, 67; contemporary of Kok-Ködai Marban, 70.

King—hereditary, 134, assemblies 136, function of being, 137.

Ködai Märpa, Perum-Cēral Irumporai, addressed as, 43; a subsequent Cēra bore that title, 44. Kodukur, a stronghold of Nannan, destroyed by Sen-Kuttuvan, 23.

Kök-Kodai Märban—his rule at Tondi, 66—67, 69; contemporary of Killi-valavan, 70.

Kodumanam, probably the modern Kolumam, formed part of the kingdom of Śelvak-kadunko Valiyātan, 41.

Kodumkölür, (Cranganore), same as Vañei, 80, 85, 86; described as Āñeaik-kaļam by Sundaramūrti, 86, 88, 90, 96.

Köli (Uraiyür), 81.

Kolli, Perum-Cëral Irumporai, the lord of, 42.

Kollimalai, 85.

Kölür in Kodunkölür, 90.

Könättu Ericealür Mädalan Maturaik-kumaranär eulogised Kuttuvan Kodai in Puram (51), 53, 54; has also sung of Ilavantip-pallit-tunciya Nalam Killi Set Cenni, 53.

Kongar, war against the, 22, 34.

Kongar-Nādu, conquered by Palyānai Sel Kelu-Kuţţuvan, 15; Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, the lord of, 45, 82, 84, 85, 86.

Korravai, worshipped by Palyānai Śel Kelu-Kuttuvan, 16.

Ko Śen Kanān, the Cola king relations with Kanaikkāl Irumporai, 68, 70.

Köttambalam, 7.

Kottambalattut-tuñciya Māk-Kōdai, identical with Kuttuvan Cēral, 54.

Kourellour, see Kulumür, 8.

Krishnaswami Aiyangar, Dr. S., 62, 97, 111.

Krttikādīpam, 153.

Kuccara Kudigai, 100-111.

Kudakkō Iļam-Cēral-Irumporai, 4.

Kudakko Nedum Cëralātan, father of Ādu-köṭpāṭṭuc-Cēralātan, also known as Antuvan-Cēral, 51.

Kūdal or Madura, invaded by Killivalavan, 67.

Kūdalūr Kiļār a bard of Yānaik Kat-śēy, 66.

Kuda-Nādu, 50.

Kulamurram, same as Kurāppalli according to Dr. Pope, 61; the place of battle in which Killivalavan died, 70.

Kulumür, perhaps Ptolemy's Kourellour, identification of, 8.

Kumuli, same as Kulumür, 8.

Kun Pandya, 102,

Kuriñei land, 67.

Kurukşētra, battle of, 7.

Kurumköliyür Kilar, 64.

Kuruntogai, 41, 59, 60.

Kuttu, Sen-Kuttuvan's partiality for, 25-26.

Kuţţuvan Cēral or Kuţţuvan Ködai of Vañei, 69; contemporaneous with Perum Cēral Irumporai, Kāriyārruñeiya Nedum Killi and Cöla Nalam Killi Set-Cenni, 70.

Kuttuvan Irumporai, 74.

Kuttuvan Ködai alias Mäk-Ködai; same as Kuttuvan Cēral son of Šen-Kuttuvan, 53, 54; succeeded Šen-Kuttuvan, 53—54; identical with Kottambalattut-tuñciya Mäkkodai a royal poet, 54.

Kuttuvar-Nādu, Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, the lord of, 45.

Maiyürkilän Vēnmāl Antuvan Šellai bore Iļam-Cēral to Kuţţuvan Irumporai, 74.

Mākkōdai, see Kuṭṭuvan Cēral or Kuṭṭuvan Kōdai, 53, 69.

Maködai, or Musiri ornament of Aficaikkaļam, 86, the Tiruvaficāļimukham of Kēralotpatti, 86; called by Lakşmi-dāsa as Rājadhāni Mahōdayapuri, 87. Malai Nādu, 84.

Māmūlar or Māmūlanār, author of a lyric (Agam 233), 7; refers to Udiyan Cēral, 8, 41; mentions the conquests of Imayavaramban, 12; and the Kadambas, 12; mentions Imayavaramban's conquest of Māntai, 13; mentions Vāna Varamban, 20.

Māna Vijayam, 69 n.

Mangalore, 29, 50,

Manimekalai, 80.

Māntai, probably the same as Mandagara of the Periplus, 13; a line of Ceras ruling at 1, 49.

Mantaran Ceral, to be placed after Atan Avini, 62.

Mäntaram Poraiyan Kadunko see Śelvak-kadunko Vāļiyātan, 41.

Mārōk-Kattu Nappaśalaiyār court poetess of Killivaļavan, 61.

Maduran Iļa-Nākanār, a contemporary of the Pāṇḍya Ilavantikaip-pallit-tuñciya Nanmāran, 58; has sung about Nallantuvanār, 57.

Marumakkattāyam, law of, 71-75.

Marutam land, 67.

Mä Venkö, 60—61; a contemporary of Räjastiyam vētta Perunarkilli, 70.

Möśu Kiranār, the author of Puram (50); his patronage by Perum-Cēral Irumporai, 43.

Mudittalai Kö Perunarkilli, a Cöla, entered the precincts of Karuvür, 36—37; perhaps the same as Velpahradakkai Perunar Killi, 37.

Mukkūdal, 26.

Mullūr, 85.

Muraficiyūr Mudināgarāyar, celebrates Cēramān Perum Śoŗŗu Udiyan Cēralātan in Puņam, 5. Musiri, Musari in Sanskrit literature, infested with piracy during the days of Pliny, 8; but a safe port during the days of Sen-Kuţţuvan, 23; trade at, 26; sacked, 60; also known as Makōdai, 86, 92.

Nakktrar, 57; refers to an invasion of Kudal by Killivalavan, 67.

Nalam Killi alèns Set Cenni also referred to as Ter Van Killi, 46 n.

Nallantuvanār, the redactor of Kalittogai, 57; sung about by Marudan Iļa-Nākanār, 58.

Nallini, wife of Udiyan Cēral, 10; daughter of Veļiyan Vēnmān, 10.

Nallini, daughter of Velian Vel, 73.

Nannan, chief of Pūli and the head of the Kaḍambu clan 19; called by Paraṇar as Nannan Udiyan, 19; revolt and subjugation of by Śen-Kuṭṭuvan, 23; helped by the Cola and the Pāṇḍya, 23.

Nannans, territory of the, 'the gold producing Konkonam' of ancient Tamil literature, 11.

Naoura of the Peripius, Naravu, the Nitria of Pliny, 29; identified with Mangalore by Yule and others, conquered by Adu-kötpättu Ceralätan, which has been referred to by Vellivitiyär, 29, 50.

Nārāyaṇīyam a Malayalam Campu, 88.

Nareonai-Sen-Kuttuvan's mother, 73, 74.

Narivērūttalaiyār, a poet who sings in Puram (5), about Cēramān Karuvūr-eriya Oļvāl Köperum-Cēral Irumporai, 34, 83.

Nārmudic-Cēral, son of Cēralātan by Paduman Dēvi, 73.

Narrinai a Sangam collection, value and nature of, 2; 58, 59.

Natural History, 91.

Nedumbāratāyanār accepted by Palyānai-Śel-Keļu Kuṭṭuvan ss his preceptor, 16.

Nedum Šeliyan, won the battle of Talai-alamkanam, 65;

Nërivayal the place where Sen-Kuttuvan defeated the Collas and placed Perum Killi on the throne, 24.

Netravati, R., 29.

Neytal land, 67.

Neytalankānal Iļam-Šēt-Cenni, probably a contemporary of Mudittalai Perunar Killi, 37; identity with Uruvapahrar Ilam-Šēt-Cenni, 37; capture of Pamalūr, and the consequent battle of Pör beween him and Antuvan Cēral where both died, 37.

Nilakanta Sastri, K. A., 111.

Nitria of Pliny, the Naoura of the Periplus or Neravu, 29.

Nürruvar Kannar, 115-17.

Okantur, a village dedicated to Visnu by Šelvak-Kadunko Väliyätan, 39.

Örampökiyar, has sung of Atan Avini in Ainkurunūru, 62.

Ori of Kollimaiai slain by Kāri, 85.

Orutantai, father of Perum Devi, 74.

Pālai Gautamanār the author of Patirrup-pattu III helped by Palyānai Šel Keļu Kuţţuvan, 16.

Pālaik-kali of Pālaipādiya-Perum-Kaduńkō, 56, 59.

Pālaipādiya Perum Kadumkō, 56; chronology of, 57 f; his poems, 58 f.

Palajyan Māran, (defeated by Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, 67); slain by Killivalavan, 67.

Palaiyan, Mögur-Mannan or lord of Mögur, conquered by Sen-Kuṭṭuvan, 22. Pal-Yānai-Sel-Keļu Kuṭṭuvan, brother of Imayavaramban, 14, 84; his conquests and achievements, 15, 16, 33; lord of Pūli Nāḍu, the
Ceruppu mountains and the Aiyirai-Malai, 15,
33, and Kongunāḍu, 34; culogised as Kuṭṭuvan,
15; the change in him, 15—16; worshipped
Koṛṭavai as a warrior, 16; accepted Neḍumbāratāyanār as his preceptor, 16; performed
Devayajāa and Atithiyajāa, 16; reigned for
twenty-five years, 16, 48; became an anchoret,
17; succeeded by Kaļankāik-kaṇṇi Nārmuḍiccēral, 17; appointed a viceroy in the northern
part of his empire, 34, 50, 83.

Pāṇdi Perumāl, 78.

Panguni festival, 154.

Paranar, author of Agam (258), 19; of the fifth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 21; 25; was one of the greatest poets of the Sangam age, 21; should have died before Sen Kuttuvan's northern expedition, 24; the revenue from Umbarkkādu given to him by Sen Kuttuvan, 26; author of Agam, (246), 30; Kuttuvan Kodai was entrusted to his care, 53, 54; speaks of the munificence of Pāri, 38, 41; has sung in honour of Senguttuvan in Agam (208), and refers to Ōri, 85.

Paraśurāma, 77.

Paravūr, a town in N. Travaneore, 26.

Pāri, his bountifulness, spoken by Paranar; patronised Kapilar, 38—39.

Paripādal, 81.

Patigam, antiquity of, 3-4;

Patirrup-pattu, 47, nature and value of, 1—2; a Patikam appended to each, 3; deals with the achievements of eight Cera kings, 4; and Udiyan Ceral, 9—10; and Imayavaramban Nedum-

Ceralatan, 10, 11, 12, 14; and Pal-Yanai Sel-Kelu Kuttuvan, 15, 16; Sen-Kuttuvan the hero of its fifth decad, 21, 24, 25; the revenue from Umbarkkādu given to its author, 26; next deals with Adu-kötpättue-Ceralatan, 27 f. 51; its sixth decad written by Kākkai-pādiniyār Naccellayār. 27; will afford explanation for the name Perum Tolatan, 31; descendents of Céraman Perum Corru Udiyan Ceralatan, according to it, 33; mentions Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irumporai. 36; its seventh decad with Selvak-kadunkovāliyātan as hero, is written by Kapilar, 38; its eighth decad with Perum Cēral Irumporai by Arasil Kilar, 41-43; its ninth decad written by Perum Kunrür Kilär, 44; evidence of on Tondi, 50; a strange view of, 76-77; 81, 82; third decad written by Pālai-gautamanār in honour of Palyanai-Sel-Kelu Kuttuvan, 84, 85.

Pattinap-pālai, its hero Karikāla, 36.

Pattini Dēvi, Šen-Kuttuvan's march to North India to bring a stone for making an image of 24; consecration of a temple to, 27, 113—114.

Periplus, 13, 20; Naoura of, 29, 91.

Periyapurāņam, 86.

Periyar, R., 15, 26, 86, 92, 93, 93 n, 94.

Perumāls, 78.

Perum Cēral Irumporai, son of Śelvak-Kadunkō Vāliyātan, succeeded his father, 41; Araśil Kilār sang the eighth decad of the Patigrup-pattu in praise of him, 41; defeated Kaluval and won a battle against Atiyamān Elini at Takadūr, 42; his greatness: lord of Kolli and Puhār, 42; his patronage of men of letters like Araśil Kilār and Mośu Kīranār, 43; ruled for 17 years, 43; is addressed as Kodai Mārpa, 43—44; succeeded

by his son Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, 44; ruled with Toṇḍi as his capital, 47; a contemporary of Kuṭṭuvan Kōḍai Kāriyarṛuttuñciya Neḍum Kiḷḷi and Cōḷa Nalam Kiḷḷi Śet Cenni, 70.

Perum Côla Ilam Palaiyan Māran, defeated by Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, 45.

Perum Killi, afterwards known as Nalam Killi Set Cenni, brother-in-law of Sen-Kuttuvan, succeeded Karikāla, and his right contested, but placed securely on the throne by Sen-Kuttuvan, 23 f.

Perumköli Nāykan Magal Nakkannaiyar, 73.

Perum Kunrūr Kilār, a Šangam poet, 12; refers to the large gifts made to Kapilar, 39; author of the ninth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 44; patronised by Ilam-Cēral Irumporai, 44, 45, 46; his alleged singing of Puram (266) in honour of Urvapahrer Ilam-Šet-Cenni, 46 n.

Perum Corru Udiyan-Ceralatan of Vafici, 52, 54. Pey-maka Ila Veyini, the authoress of Puram (11), 56.

Pingalantai, 84, 93, 95.

Pliny, piracy during the days of, 23; Nitria of, 29; Natural History of, 91.

Poigaiyār the court poet of Kök-Ködai-Mārban and Kaņaikkāl Irumporai, 66, 67; sang Kaļavaļinārpatu in praise of Šen Kaņān, 68.

Pör, the battle of, 37, 51, 68.

Poraiyan Perumdêvi, 74.

Porunai, explained as Anporuntam, S0; should be Periyar, 92.

Porunarārrupadai, its bero Karikāla, 30.

Poruntam, 94.

Poruntil Ilam Kīranar, a poet who has sung about Yānai Kat-Sēy Māntaram Cēral, 66; Pōravaikko Perunarkilli, son of Tittan, 70.

Potiya, 6.

Ptolemy, 8; and the Kadambu clan, 12; and the Yavanas, 13.

Pugar, Perum Ceral Irumporai, the lord of, 42.

Pūli Nādu, ruled by Palyānai Śel-Kelu-Kuttuvan, 15, 33; also known as Konkānam, 33.

Pūli-Nādu Ilam Cēral Irumporai, the lord of, 45.

Punishment, nature of, 67-68.

Puram, 8, 20; nature of, 1; mentions Cēramān Perum Šörru Udiyan Cēralātan, 5; 29; 31, 34, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46 n, 53, 56, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 73, 83, 92, 93.

Pura-nānūru, 33, 39; a collection of 400 lyries, 1; their colophous, 3; Cēra kings mentioned in, 4—5; commentator of, 6; 39, 53, 55, 56, 62, 66, 69, 81, 92.

Pūrņa, R., 94.

Raghava Iyengar, Pandit M., his menograph on Ceran Sen-Kuttuvan, 27, 49, 53, 75 n, 109.

Raghava Iyengar, Pandit R., of Ramnad, 7.

Rājadhāni Mahodayapuri, of which Tiruvañeikaļam formed a part, see Makodai, 87, 94.

Rājasūyam vēṭṭa Perunarki]li—a contemporary of Mā Venko and Yānai Kaṭ-śēy, 29, 70; the Cola mentioned in the Manimēkalai as the victor of Kāriyāru, 60; his battle with Yānai-Kaṭ-śēy, 70.

Ramaehandra Dikshitar, V. R., 9 n, on the Satyaputras, 18; on Marumakkattäyam, 75 n, 133 n.

Ravigrāmam, 88.

Sangam period, 1, 9; literature, 28; works, 1.

Sankar, K. G., 103, 105.

Śankara-Vijeya, 94.

Satakarni, a friend of Sen-Kuttuvan, 24. Satiyaputra, Satyaputra, discussion on, 18. Sayana on Cera, 132.

Šēkkiļār, states that Vañci is Kodunkolūr, 86.

Selvak-Kaduńko, an illustrious ancestor of Ham-Cêral Irumporai, 45; son of Cēramān Antuvan Cēral Irumporai and the hero of the seventh decad of Patirrup-pattu, 4, 38 f, 51; condition of the country under his patronage of art and letters, and particularly of Kapilar, 38-9; sung about in the Puranānūru by Kapilar, 39-40; his supremacy owned by the Côlas and the 40; his other names, Mantaram. Pāndvas. Poraiyan, Poraiyan Kadunko. Pasumpūt Poraiyan and Perumput Poraiyan, 4; reigned for twenty-five years and died at Chikkarpalli, 41: extent of his territory, 41; succeeded by his son Irum-Cēral Irumporai, 41; ruled with Tondi as his capital, 47.

Sen-Kannan, 103.

Sen-Kuttuvan, a son of Imayayaramban, 21; hero of the fifth decad of the Patirrup-pattu, 21; hero of the Silappadikāram, 21; his conquests, 21f; his defeat of Palaiyan, 22; won a naval victory and took the title Kadal Pirakköttiya, 22: Yavana ships called at the port of Musiri during the days of, 23; put down the revolt of Nannan, and destroyed Viyalür and Kodukür, 23: interfered in the war of succession in the Cola country on the death of Karikala and securely placed Perum Killi on the throne, 23-24: undertook an expedition to North India. for consigning the remains of his deceased mother in the Ganges, 24; led another expedition to the Gangetic region for fetching a suitable stone for making an image of Pattinikadavul, 24; date of, 24 f; his insignia, the fish, the tiger and the bow, 5; administration and society under, 25 f; his partiality for Kāttu, 25 f; his gifts to Paranar, 26; his contribution to the spread of the Bhagavati worship, 27; reigned for 55 years, 25, 27, 48; monograph on by Pandit M. Raghava Iyengar, 27; contemporary and relation of Karikāla, 30; ruled at Vañei, 47.

Šet Cenni also known as Nalam Kiļļi and Ter Vaņ Kiļļi, 46 n.

Silappadhikāram, 1; nature and value of, 2; written by Iļamkō Adikal the Cēra Prince, 2, 21; its hero Sen-Kuṭṭuvan, 2, 21, says that Nannan was helped by the Cōla and the Pāṇḍya against Śen-Kuṭṭuvan, 23; refers to Śen-Kuṭṭuvan's northern expedition, 24, 25; on the king's partiality for Kūṭṭu 26; mentions Śen-Kuṭṭuvan's hearing of Kaṇṇaki's death, 27, 80, 81, 88, 92.

Sivaraja Pillai, K. N., 76 n., 120-121.

Somasundara Bharati, S., 71.

Somasundara Desikar, S. 105.

Srinivasa Aiyangar, M., 71.

Śukasamdeśa, 87, 87 n., 94.

Sundarar, 89.

Suryanarayana Sastri, S. S., 111.

Swamikannu Pillai, L. D., 97, 104-5.

Swaminatha Ayyar, Mahamahopādhyaya Dr. V., on Karuvūr, 85.

Takadür, battle of, where Perum Ceral Irumporai defeated Atiyaman Elini and two other great kings, 42, 47.

Tagaçur crinta Perum-Ceral Irumporai, 4.

Tagadāryāttirai, an old Tamil work, now missing, which celebrates the conquest of Takadār by Perum-Cēral Irumporai, 42.

Talaiālamkānam, battle of, 65.

Talaiālamkānattuc-Ceruvēņra Nedum Śeliyan, a Pāņdya king, 63, 65.

Tamils 1800 Years Ago, 62.

Tamraparni, 93, 93 n.

Tēvāram, 86, 107.

Tiru-añcaik-kaļam, 90.

Tirujñānasambanda, 86.

Tirukāriyūr, 89.

Tirupūnatura, 94.

Tiruvalluvar, 107.

Tiru Vaficikalam, 35, 96.

Tiruvanantapuram, 20.

Tiruvañeak-kāļam-see Tiruvañeikkaļam, 89, 91.

Tiruañeaikkalam, see Añeaikkalam, 89, 90; a suburb of Kodun-kolūr, 80, 87, 88, 92.

Tittan father of Veliyan Vēnmān, 10; carliest of the Côla kings who ruled at Uraiyūr, 10.

Tondi, headquarters of the North Cera country, 35, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54.

Tondi, Ilam-Ceral Irumporai, the lord of, 45.

Tondi branch, members of, 69.

Tṛakaṇāmatilakam, 89; also known as Guṇapuri or Guṇaka apparently the Guṇavāyil of the Śilappadhikāram, 87, 94.

Tripunittura-ealled Ravigrāmam, 88.

Tṛpura-samhāra, a story recited with action by a Śakkiyan of Paravūr before Śen-Kuṭṭuvan and his queen, 26. Udayan Bāna Varman, see Vānavaramban, 9.

Udiyan Ceral, see Perum-Sorru Udiyan Ceralatan, 10.

Ugrap-peruvaludi, the Pāṇḍya, 57; his successor sacked Muśiri, 60.

Umbarkkādu (elephant forest) perhaps the region round the Anaimalai in N. W. Travancore, 14; its revenue given to Paraņar by Śen-Kuţţuvan, 26.

Umbarkkādu, vidu, 14.

Uraiyūr Ēniccēri Muḍa Mōśiyār—sang Puram (13), 36.

Urantai (Uraiyūr), 81.

Uruva-pahrer Iļam Šet Cenni, his son Karikāla, the famous Coļa emperor, 30; sung about in Puram (266) by Perum Kunrūr Kilār? 46 n.

Vāgai Parantalai, 45.

Vagaipperunturai, the place where Nannan was defeated, 19.

Vallals, 13.

Vampa Möriyar, 109-110.

Vānavaramban, a name of Udiyan Cēral in Puram (2), discussion about, 8, 9; mentioned by Nighandus, 10; mentioned by Māmūlar, 20; ruled at Vañci, 47.

Vañci, the identification of, 80; in Malai-nāḍu, 83, 84, 85, 86, 90, 92.

Vañei, same as Tiruvañeikalam, 35.

Vañcikaļam, 88.

Vañeimurram, 88.

Vaficimūtūr, 61; lay elsewhere than in Karuvūr, 85. Vaficulēša, 90.

Vāṇi (Vāhini) different from Bhavāni and Ani Vāni, and running north of Coimbatore, 45. Vañei branch, members of, 69. Vätäpi, 99.

Vēl-Āvikkēmān Paduman Dēvi, ses Paduman Dēvi 73; bore a son Ādu-kētpāṭṭne-Cēralātan to Nedum-Cēralātan, 74.

Velir, chief of the, 73.

Veliyam or Veliyattu, probably the modern Veliñjiyam 20; its probable corruption Balita said in the Periplus to be in the Côla kingdom, 20.

Veliyan Vēl, the Velir chief, 73.

Veliyan Vēlmāl Nallini, 73.

Veļiyan Vēņmān, later known as Colan Porvaikko-Perunarkiļļi, 10; son of Tittan, 10; father of Nallini, 10.

Vellivītiyār, 29; date of 29.

Velpahradakkai Peruvirar Killi, 37.

Velpahradakkai Perunar Killi, perhaps the same as Mudittalai Perunar Killi, 37.

Vēļs, 75.

Velvikudi plates, 102.

Venni, the battle of, in which Karikāla won a battle against the Cēra, the Pāṇḍya and the Vēlir chieftains, 29—30; Āḍu kōṭpāṭṭu died in it, 31; interest of the battle of, 31 f.

Vicci, defeated by Ilam Ceral Irumporai, 45.

Vidyāraņya, 94.

Vilankil, victory of Yānaikatśēy at, 63.

Višākham Tirunāl Mahārāja, 87 n.

Viyalür—a stronghold of Nannan destroyed by Śen-Kuttuvan, 23.

Yānai Kaṭ-śēy Māntaram Cēral Irumporai, came sometime after Ilam-Cēral Irumporai; not the son of Sen-Kuṭṭuvan, 62; his wars, conquests and reverses, 63 f; his reverse described by Kurumköliyür Kilär, 64—65; sung about by Ilam Kiranär, 66; Ainkurunüru collected at his instance, 66; perhaps the hero of the missing 10th Patigrup-pattu, 66; Cëra power began to wane after him, 67; ruled at Tondi, 69; a contemporary, Rājasüyam vētta Perunarkilli, 70.

Yavanas, discussion about, 13; punishment of by Imayavaramban, 13; their calling at the port of Muśiri, 23, 26.

Yule, 29.





